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## SUMMARY

The following is a summary of general information regarding the proposed District provided for the convenience of the reviewers of this Service Plan. Please note that the following information is subject in all respects to the more complete descriptions contained elsewhere in this Service Plan.

Proposed Districts: Mesa Ridge Metropolitan District No. 1 ("District No. 1") Mesa Ridge Metropolitan District No. 2 ("District No. 2") (collectively the "Districts").

Property Owner/Developer
Development:
Mesa Ridge Joint Venture, LLC.
Residential and commercial project on approximately 531 acres of real property north of Fountain, Colorado in El Paso County, Colorado.

Proposed Improvements: Water, wastewater, street improvements and safety protection, park and recreation, drainage, landscaping, mosquito control, transportation, and television relay.
) Infrastructure

Capital Costs:
Debt Authorization:
Proposed Mill Levy:

Proposed M/L Cap
Proposed Development Facility Fee

Functions of the Owner Developer:
$\$ 23,000,000$
$\$ 35,000,000$ Limited Tax Obligation Bonds
30 Mills for Debt Service
7 Mills for Operations and Maintenance
50 Mills Total
$\$ 1500$ per SFE. $\$ 500$ per multi-family. and $\$ .25$ per square foot of improvements for commercial/industrial

The Owner/Developer will initially meet the obligations of operations, maintenance, and funding of organizational costs subject to reimbursement as provided by law.

# Functions of the 

 DistrictsMesa Ridge Metropolitan District No. 1 will see that all infrastructure is designed and constructed to appropriate local and state standards. District No. 1 will maintain all infrastructure with the exception of roads and associated drainage pipes which will be conveyed to the County. The Developer may provide financial assistance for construction and maintenance.

Mesa Ridge Metropolitan District No. 2 shall provide financing for public facilities, services, and programs benefiting the future residents and landowners of the community.

Material Modifications: Modification to this Service Plan shall be required if there is a change in the mill levy cap or a change in the basic services provided by the Districts, including the addition of any types of services provided and for any other matter described as a material modification by state law.

Current Population: 0
1 Current Valuation for Assessment of both Districts
\$64.694-District No. 2

## A. INTRODUCTION

Necessary public facilities, services. and programs will be provided to the 531 acres at Mesa Ridge development by two metropolitan districts which will be created pursuant to Title 32 Colorado Revised Statutes. The two districts will be named Mesa Ridge Metropolitan District No. 1 ("District No. 1") and Mesa Ridge Metropolitan District No. 2 ("District No. 2"). The Districts will coordinate their efforts to provide public services in the most efficient manner possible.

District No. 1 will be responsible for managing the design, construction, and operation of the public facilities and improvements and for providing funding to support the necessary costs and services and as such, will be deemed to be a "Managing District".

Mesa Ridge No. 2 will be responsible for providing funding to support costs related to services and improvements utilizing the tax base, fees, and charges and will be deemed to be the "Financing District" in order to generate the necessary revenues.

An intergovernmental agreement (Exhibit 8) will clarify the nature of the functions and services that each District will provide and will set forth the arrangements for the financing, construction and operations of the improvements.

There is the potential that Mesa Ridge may be annexed into the City of Fountain hereinafter referred to as (the "Annexation"). If such Annexation occurs, then notwithstanding any other provision contained in this Service Plan to the contrary:
i) the Fountain City Council shall become the Approving Authority under Part ii of the Special District Act for any approvals required under the Act for either District from and after the date of Annexation; and
ii) the City of Fountain shall have the option of acquiring for future operation and maintenance purposes, any District constructed or financed public improvement located within the City of Fountain and which in the absence of Annexation would have been transferred by the District to the County other public entity for operation and maintenance purposes; and
iii) unless otherwise provided in the Annexation Agreement with the City of Fountain the installation and construction of all facilities and the provision of all services by both Districts shall at all times be subject to and comply with all applicable city standards, requirements and regulations, including but not limited to, all City conveyance, acceptance, and warranty
requirements: and
iv) any attempt to over-rule or exempt either one or both of the Districts from the Citi of Fountain's zoning regulations through any' statutory location and extent process shall be deemed a material modification of this Service Plan.

In addition the Districts may enter into an agreement with a non-profit development corporation for the purposes of providing coordinated maintenance, administration, and other serices and the imposition of fees on certain land sales which fees would be committed to sernicing debt. The Districts may impose other fees, rates, tolls, and charges as allowed by Colorado statutes.

This dual district structure is proposed to provide the following benefits: (1) services and improvements can be financed in the most favorable and efficient manner: (2) services will be available when needed through a managed and phased development; and. (3) there will be a capped mill levy and reasonable tax burden as a result of managed financing and coordinated completion of infrastructure. Financing will be phased to avoid long term carrying costs that could occur if improvements are constructed too early.

It is proposed that the Districts provide the following municipal services. both within and without the boundaries of the Districts as may be necessary:

WATER - Design and construction, of a complete potable and non-potable water supply system, including, but not limited to, storage, transmission, and distribution system. consisting of, but not limited to, transmission lines, distribution mains, laterals, storage facilities, pumping facilities, and easements and appurtenant facilities. Potable water will be provided by either Widefield Water. and Sanitation District or the City of Fountain. All water improvements shall be dedicated to the appropriate governmental entity.

WASTEWATER - Design and construction of a complete sanitary sewage and storm drainage collection and transmission system, consisting of. but not limited to. collection mains, laterals, transmission lines. and pumping facilities and all necessary, incidental and appurtenant facilities, land and easements. Wastewater services will be provided by Fountain Sanitation District and the wastewater improvements shall be dedicated to Fountain Sanitation District for ownership and maintenance.

STREET IMPROVEMENTS AND SAFETY PROTECTION - Design, acquire, and construct arterial and collector street improvements and related safety protection devices including. but not limited to, bridges, fencing, trails, lighting. landscaping, traffic and safety controls and devices. Streets are to be dedicated to the County or the City of Fountain, should Annexation occur. for ownership and permanent maintenance. Any street related medians and
landscaping will be owned and maintained by the District. The Country or the City of Fountain , will only maintain those improvements located within public right of way that have been accepted bythe County or the City of Fountain. as the case may be. .

PARK AND RECREATION - Design, acquire, construct, operate and maintain recreational facilities including. but not limited to, active parks, pocket parks, open spaces, trails. fencing and landscaping. In the event of Annexation the District's public parks may be dedicated to the City of Fountain upon request and upon satisfaction of all customary convevance and acceptance procedures and requirements and subject to all applicable warranties.

MOSQUITO CONTROL - Provide for the eradication and control of mosquitoes, including but not limited to, elimination or treatment of breeding grounds and purchase, lease, contracting or other use of equipment or supplies for mosquito control.

TELEVISION RELAY AND TRANSLATION - Provide for the design: acquisition, construction, completion, installation, operation and maintenance of television relay and translation facilities, cable and communication facilities, fiber optic conduit network. together with all necessary, incidental and appurtenant facilities, land and easements, and all necessary: extensions of and improvements to said facilities.

TRANSPORTATION - Design, acquire, construct, install, and/or operate and maintain transportation system improvements, including, but not limited to. transportation equipment, park and ride facilities and public parking lots, structures, roofs, covers and facilities, together with all necessary incidental and appurtenant facilities, land and easements together with extensions of and improvements to said facilities within and without the boundaries of the Districts; provided however, that the design, acquisition, construction, installation, and/or operation and maintenance of public transportation improvements shall, where applicable, be subject to entry into authorizing contracts pursuant to the provisions of Part 2, Article 1 of Title 29, Colorado Revised Statutes.

DRAINAGE - Design, acquire, and construct all necessary drainage facilities including, but not limited to. detention ponds, culverts, pipes. channels, swales, and weirs in accordance with an approved regional drainage plan and in conjunction with adjoining drainage features.

OTHER POWERS - In addition to the above-enumerated powers, the Districts shall have the authority (1) to amend this Service Plan as needed, with the approval by the Approving Authority subject to appropriate statutory procedures; (2) without amending this Service Plan, to defer, forego, reschedule or restructure the financing and construction of certain improvements and facilities, to better accommodate the pace of grouth; resources availability, and potential inclusions
of property within the Districts with the County heing notified if any of these actions take place: and. (3) to provide such additional services and exercise such powers as are expressly or impliedly. granted by Colorado law.
(1) A map of the proposed Districts and a legal description of their boundaries are found at Exhibits 1 and 2.
(2) The creation of the Districts will be in the best interests of the property proposed to be served. The Districts will have the flexibility and statutor powers to provide long-term services to the residents and property owners. New districts have numerous advantages over other vehicles which could be utilized to supply services to this area.

While a Homeowners Association might be set up to provide these services by way of covenants imposed on the real property, an Association may lack both the financing ability, the flexibility and the longevity that would be prorided by the Districts. Additionally. an Association would not possess certain of the statutory powers that are allowed to the Districts.
(3) Adequate municipal services for the development are not expected to be made available through any other county, municipality or quasi-municipal corporation. The Owners have also explored the possibility of annexation into the Cross Creek Metropolitan District.
(4) Insofar as the development consists of proposed municipal-type residential and commercial densities within its boundaries, Districts, as entities which are separate from the developer, can provide these services on a long term basis. A publicly controlled district with an elected board of directors, versus a Homeowners Association or any private Utility Company', would be the most capable of providing these municipal services.
(5) Although there is municipal debt to be authorized. the Owner, if necessary, may supply the credit enhancements needed for the initial debt.'Limited tax general obligation debt will be issued from time to time depending on the current market conditions, success of development and pace of development as determined by the Districts. In addition there will be a mill levy cap not to exceed 50 mills.

The market analysis shows several scenarios of proposed build-out that are conservative and demonstrate that taking into account the fees and charges for municipal services together with the overlapping mill levy from other governmental entities, that Mesa Ridge will be competitive in the market place. A Market Analysis is included at Exhibit 4. This Market Analysis shows both the likely scenario for build-out and also the "Horst case" scenario.
(6) The proposed Districts will be in compliance with the approved Sketch Plan, which has
recently been filed and is pending. The approval of the Sketch Plan. as one of its conditions. may authorize the formation of a district that will be responsible for the provision of municipal services.

## B. DEVELOPMENT ANALYSIS

1. Attached as Exhibit 3 is an analysis of the Infrastructure Capital Costs for the water system. the wastewater system, the street improvements, parks and recreation, drainage. and engineering and surveying for the public improvements. The Districts will only construct and finance public improvements. Exhibit 3 is a summary of the anticipated costs with a breakdown of the unit costs. It is estimated that the total infrastructure for the entire District will take approximately seven years to construct. Exhibit 5 show's the Sketch Plan.

The properties to be initially included within the Districts are owned free and clear by the Owner.
2. At complete build-out. the Districts are planned to consist of 1.580 single family: units, 340 multi-family units and 30 acres of commercial property and the overall density uill be approximately 3.7 units per acre. There will be a ten acre school site and a ten acre park site together with trails and open spaces.
(a) Attached as Exhibit 4 is a Market Analysis of this project. This Analysis is conservative in nature and shows a worst-case scenario. It projects that between 2006 and 2008 there will be the addition of 230-920 residential units and starting in 2009 until 2012 there will be 0 to 240,000 square feet of commercial space constructed. The Districts* Financing Plan, attached as Exhibit 6, projects absorption within the Market Analysis range.
(b) The absorption and market share of development to be captured by the Districts represents about $11 \%$ of the Competitive Market Share projected for this part of El Paso County. The Market Analysis has taken into account the impacts of competitive developments that are located in this part of El Paso County or may be proposed in the near future.
(c) Because of the use of two districts, the limited mill levy, and the phased development, the financial risks will be assumed by the Owner/Developer and or the bond holder. Future bonded indebtedness will only be done as accepted by the market conditions at the time of issuance of limited tax general obligation bonds.

## C. FINANCLAL PLAN ANALYSIS

If necessary, the Owner/Developer may arrange for the credit enhancements needed for the initial debt to be issued by the Districts and are financially capable of owning bonds. Limited tax general
obligation debt will be issued by District ㅊo. 2 as accepted by the market. It is anticipated that the debt service mill levy will be 30 mills and the operations'maintenance mill levy would be 7 mills. The maximum mill levy for both debt service and operations is 50 mills provided that if, on or before January 1, 2004. there are changes in the method of calculating assessed valuation or any constitutionally mandated tax credit, cut or abatement, the mill lery limitations described herein may be increased or decreased to reflect such changes, such increases or decreases, to be determined by the Districts in good faith (such determinations to be binding and final) so that to the extent possible. the actual tax revenues generated by the mill levy: as adjusted for changes occurring after January 1, 2004. are neither diminished nor enhanced as a result of such changes. The mill levy cap will insure future residents that property' taxes will not become excessive even if development plans are not met. The 50 mill cap will not only be set forth in this Service Plan, but will also be a contractual part of the IGA and will be described in the debt issues which will be a part of the ballot for the organizational election.

1. Attached as Exhibit 6 is a Financial Plan projecting finances over the next thirty four (34) year period of time. This Plan shows the number of units projected to come on line each year and then depicts the income to be derived from the mill levy of 37 mills and the development Facility. Fee. The Plan also estimates the annual operating costs of the Districts and for the maintenance of park, recreation and storm water detention facilities. The maximum term of bonds may be forty (40) years.

I It is proposed that the Districts will be authorized to issue indebtedness up to 35 million dollars (limited tax general obligation bonds, 2004 equivalency) in principal although it is anticipated that this entire authorization will not be needed. The mill levy will be capped at 50 mills total although it is anticipated that any debt will be satisfied with a mill levy of 30 mills per year Before the amount of the District's outstanding debt in whatever form, be it GO debt or some other form of multiple fiscal year financial obligation, exceeds in aggregate $\$ 28,000,000$, the District shall first obtain permission from the Approving Authority:

At the time of the election forming the Districts, it is proposed that there also be a ballot question authorizing up to 35 million dollars in debt (limited tax) plus interest and issuance costs for purposes of meeting the obligations of the cost of capital infrastructure. In addition, the election will authorize the Districts to pay operation and maintenance expenses. Refunding, re-issuance or restructuring of debt shall not count against this debt limit, and obligations of the Districts in their intergovernmental agreement shall not count against the debt limit.

There are no land acquisition costs reflected in this project as all land is owned free and clear and the Owner will give land or interests in land, as reasonably needed and mutually agreed, to the Districts without charge. The Infrastructure Capital Costs (Exhibit 3) depicts the estimated costs of infrastructure capital costs. including engineering, for the entire development as well as for the

Districts' share.
(a) The Districts may issue revenue bonds necessary for the initial improvements and this shall not constitute debt or indebtedness within the meaning of any provision or limitation of the laws of Colorado or the State Constitution. The exact interest rates, discounts, and their form will be determined at the time of issuance and will reflect market conditions at the time. The primary source of revenues will be mill levies by District No. 2 pursuant to the intergovermmental agreement. The bonds may be credit enhanced by the Owner if necessary.
(b) Subject to the assessed valuation, market conditions, and development needs, District No. 2. pursuant to the Intergovernmental Agreement (IGA, Exhibit 8), will issue limited tax general obligation debt in order to pay for necessary infrastructure and/or to refinance the earlier debt issues. Any debt that is issued will be in compliance with state law.
(c) The Infrastructure Capital Costs (Exhibit 3) consist of a breakdown of all development costs contemplated which are identified by type of service and further identified by the year in which the installations will occur.
(d) All major infrastructure capital improvements together with the necessary easements will be assigned and deeded to the El Paso County or the appropriate service provider such as Fountain Sanitation District or Widefield Water and Sanitation District or the City of Fountain.
(e) The Owner consists of two financially successful entities which are capable of meeting any necessary financial obligations.
(f) As reflected in the Marketing Plan (Exhibit 4), these Districts should be capable of providing economical service within the boundaries.
(g) If development does not occur as projected then there will be a delay in the addition of infrastructure and the incurring of additional debt.
(h) It is anticipated that in the formative years the Districts will have revenue shortfalls to meet monthly operations and maintenance expenses. The Owner is prepared to assume these obligations for the development of the initially included property within the Districts subject to reimbursement.
(i) All taxes have been paid and are current. See Exhibit 7.
(j) It is not anticipated that there would be any financial difficulties that could affect the performance of the proposed Districts under this Service Plan due to the fact that there is a cap on the mill levy and the development occurs in phases.
(k) It is anticipated that all improvements will be constructed to the standards imposed by El Paso County: its Department of Transportation, or if applicable, the City of Fountain, or any district provider of services. such as Widefield Water and Sanitation District, and the State Health Department.
(l) In addition to property taxes, the District may also rely upon other revenue sources authorized by law and this Service Plan to offset the expenses of capital construction and District management, operations and maintenance. -These will include the power to assess fees. rates, tolls, penalties. and charges as provided in Title 32. CRS. as amended.
(m) Reimbursements to the Developer/ shall be paid only from limited tax General Obligation Bond proceeds and the interest rate on any financial obligations owed by the District to the developer shall be fair and reasonable and in no event shall the rate exceed ten percent.
(n) At the request of the Approving Authority, bond documents may be reviewed and commented upon in advance.

## D. INFRASTRUCTURE ANALYSIS

A general description of the facilities to be constructed and the standards of such construction showing that they are compatible with the facilities and standards of El Paso County follows:

## ARTERIAL AND COLLECTOR STREET IMPROVEMENTS \& SAFETY PROTECTION

By the IGA, District No. 1 intends to provide for the acquisition, construction, completion, installation and/or operation and maintenance of street improvements. both on-site and off-site. including curbs, gutters. sidewalks, bridges, overpasses, bike paths and pedestrian ways. irrigation, landscape, streetscape and entryway's, parking lots and structures, and a system of traffic and safety controls and devices on streets and highways, including signalization, together with all necessary, incidental, and appurtenant facilities, right-of-ways, land and easements, together with extensions of and improvements to said facilities within and without the boundaries of the Districts. The Districts will only provide for the arterial and collector roads and streets that serve the entire
development.
All streets and safety protection facilities will be constructed in accordance with engineering and design requirements appropriate for the surrounding terrain. and shall be compatible with the standards of the County of El Paso, the Colorado Department of Highways, and other local public entities as may be appropriate.

The Districts recognize that they may be required to make a fair contribution to offsite road improvements needed for C \& S Road.

An extensive Traffic Impact Study is on file with El Paso County as part of the sketch plan.

## DRAINAGE

All storm sewers and facilities will be constructed in accordance with the standards and specifications of the Colorado Department of Highways, the Country of El Paso. and any other local jurisdiction as appropriate. Again, the drainage improvements would be phased along with other capital construction improvements; however, if drainage improvements are required to be upfronted or constructed in advance of a normal schedule, the Owner will be entitled to reimbursement. The drainage improvements would consist of detention/retention ponds, roadway swales and piping. Drainage improvements that are an integral part of the street and roadway system will be maintained by El Paso County along with routine street maintenance. Detention ponds will be maintained by District No. 1.

## WATER DISTRIBUTION AND STORAGE

The water distribution and storage system will consist of. but not be limited to. pipes, fire hydrants, storage facilities, pumping stations. controls, and related equipment. The water system will be coordinated with the Security Fire Protection District. or the City of Fountain in the event of Annexation, in order to meet their requirements with regard to fire flows. Water quality will meet all standards as set by the State Department of Health or other applicable laws and regulations. The water system shall comply with all federal, state, and county requirements, including any requirements from Widefield Water and/or the City of Fountain..

In the event of annexation, the annexed territory will not object to exclusion from the Security Fire Protection District and fire protection services will be provided by the City of Fountain.

The total water distribution and storage system will again be phased along with other capital
improvements. See Exhibit 3.

## SANITARY SEWER SYSTEM

The wastewater treatment and/or collection facilities will be designed. constructed and maintained in accordance with the standards of the Colorado Department of Health and the County: of El Paso, and Fountain Sanitation District. The sanitary sewer system would consist of a complete collection system with forced main sewage lift stations.

## PARK AND RECREATION

Park and recreation facilities of the District would consist of undisturbed open space. improved park areas, recreation centers, and trails. Again, these improvements would be phased along with the rest of the development. See Exhibit 3. The proposed Districts will cooperate with the recreational and trail plans of the Fountain Mutual Metropolitan District. and. in the event of Annexation, the City of Fountain. Mosquito control as required will also be provided.

## TELEVISION RELAY AND TRANSLATION/CABLE TELEVISION

The Districts may design, acquire, construct, complete, install, operate and maintain television relay and translation facilities, cable and communication facilities, fiber optic conduit network, together with all necessary, incidental and appurtenant facilities, land and easements, and all necessary extensions of and improvements to said facilities.

Exhibit 3 does not detail the Capital Infrastructure Costs for the installation of cable as it is presently unknown whether the cable operation will be retained and owned by the District or whether services will be provided by an outside entity.

## OPERATION. MAINTENANCE AND ADMINISTRATION

The proposed District No. 1 would operate and maintain the public facilities that are not conveyed to and accepted by the County and/or the City of Fountain. Estimated cost for such operations. maintenance and administration are shown in the Financial Plan at Exhibit 6 and do not include those costs associated with the marketing and development which are not the responsibility of the Districts.
(a) District No. 1 will cause improvements to be designed and constructed according to County and other local and state specifications as appropriate.
(b) The Districts understand that other agencies may be involved due to the type of
infrastructure.

## E. INTERGOVERNMENTAL AGREEMENTS

Exhibit 10 sets forth a draft of a proposed intergovemmental agreement ("IGA") to be entered into between the two Districts. The final IGA will set forth the terms for facilities funding. construction. and operation. The IGA recognizes the voter approved debt and requires District No. 2 to issue this debt when and as it is needed. The IGA further provides the guidelines for construction management and operations by District No. 1.

## F. MISCELLANEOUS

The following is additional information to further explain the functions of the Districts:
(a) The contemplated municipal services are under the jurisdiction of the Special District Control Act and not the Public Utilities Commission.
(b) The Owner intends to meet all requirements of the El Paso County Land Development Code as may be required.
(c) At this time there are no plans to exercise the power of eminent domain within or without the Districts' boundaries; however, the power shall be available for use by the Districts as allowed by law.
(d) It is contemplated that should Boards of Directors so desire, after all debt has been has been retired. the two Districts may be consolidated or District No. 1 may be dissolved and its functions taken over by District No. 2.
(e) In the eventuality of annexation into the City of Fountain. the City of Fountain will then become the Approving Authority pursuant to CRS 32-1-204.7.
(f) The Developer/Owner shall not be reimbursed for any land, easements, licenses, water, water rights or other property that the Developer/Owner would be required to dedicate.
(g) The Districts shall not exercise the power of eminent domain without the consent of the Approving Authority.
(h) Except for inclusions and exclusions of land between the two Districts, the Districts
will not change their territorial boundaries without the consent of the Approving Authority.
(i) Except as between the two Districts. the Districts shall not consolidate with any other district without the consent of the approving authority.

## G. COMPLIANCE

The Districts agree that:

1. An annual report will be required and submitted as described in C.R.S. 32-1207(3)(d) by April 1 of each vear;
2. The Districts may be dissolved in accordance with statutory procedures in the event development activity permanently ceases and the Districts are failing to provide any services; and
3. Should material modifications occur as described in C.R.S. 32-1-207(2). the Districts shall apply for approval of such modifications to the Board of County Commissioners or the City of Fountain whichever is the Approving Authority. in advance of the modification occurring.

## H. CONCLUSION

To meet the long term public improvement and service needs of the future residents of Mesa Ridge it is proposed that the Mesa Ridge Metropolitan District No. 1 and Mesa Ridge Metropolitan District No. 2 perform the municipal functions that are described in this Service Plan.

It is submitted that this Consolidated Service Plan for the proposed Districts establishes that:
(a) There is sufficient existing and projected need for organized service in the area to be serviced by the proposed Districts:
(b) The existing service in the area to be served by the proposed Districts is inadequate for present and projected needs;
(c) The proposed Districts are capable of providing economical and sufficient service to the Development;
(d) The area to be included in the proposed Districts does have, and will have, the
financial ability to discharge the proposed indebtedness on a reasonable basis;
(e) Adequate service is not, and will not be, available to the area through the County or other existing municipal or quasi-municipal corporations, including existing special districts, within a reasonable time and on a comparable basis;
(f) The facility and service standards of the proposed District are compatible with the facility and service standards of the County within which the proposed special district is to be located and each municipality which is an interested party under Section 32-1-204(1), Colorado Revised Statutes:
(g) The proposal is in substantial compliance with a master plan adopted pursuant to Section 30-28-106, CRS; and.
(h) The proposal is in compliance with any duly adopted County, regional, or state longrange water quality management plan for the area; and,
(i) The creation of the proposed Districts is in the best interests of the area proposed to be served.

Susemihl, McDermott \& Cowan , P.C.



## LEGAL DESCRIPTION One Acre Tract:

That portion of the Southeast Quarter of Section 28, Township 15 South, Range 65 West of the 6th P.M., EI Paso County, Colorado, more particularly described as follows:

Commencing at the South Quarter comer of said Section 28; thence $\mathrm{N} 00^{\circ} 18^{\prime} 33^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ (all bearings used in this description are relative to the West line of the Southwest quarter of said Section 28, as monumented by a 3 " dia. Alum. surveyor's cap marked PLS 17654, at the Southwest corner of said Section 28 and a $3^{\prime \prime}$ dia. Alum. surveyor's cap marked PLS 14611 at the west Quarter comer of said Section 28, and was assumed to be $\mathrm{N} 00^{\circ} 28^{\prime} 06^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ ) on the East line of the Southwest quarter the Southeast Quarter of said Section 28,30.00 feet to a point on the northerly right-of-way line of C \& S Road; thence $N 89^{\circ} 44^{\prime} 27^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ on said northerly right-of-way line, 1490.44 feet to a point of intersection with the northwesterly right-of-way line of Marksheffel Road; thence $\mathrm{N} 14^{\circ} 23^{\prime} 17^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 257.80$ feet to the point of beginning of the tract to be described herein; thence $\mathrm{S} 89^{\circ} 44^{\prime} 27^{\circ} \mathrm{W}, 250.00$ feet north of, as measured at right angles, and parallel with the aforementioned northerly right-of-way line of C \& S Road, 208.72 feet; thence $\mathrm{N} 00^{\circ} 15^{\prime} 33^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 208.71$ feet; thence $\mathrm{N} 89^{\circ} 44^{\circ} 27^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$, parallel with the aforementioned northerly right-of-way line of C \& S Road, 208.72 feet; thence $S 00^{\circ} 15^{\prime} 33^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 208.71$ feet to the point of beginning; said described tract contains 1.00 acre, more or less.

## LEGAL DESCRIPTION:

## Legal Description: District No. 2


#### Abstract

That portion of Sections 27, 28, and 29, Township 15 South, Range 65 West of the 6th P.iv., and of Tracts 1 and 2, in SUBDIVISION NO. I OF THE FOUNTAN SUBURBAN HOMES CORPORATION, EI Paso County, Colorado, according to the plat thereof as recorded in Plat Book L at Page 44, EI Paso County, Colorado, more particularly described as follows:


Commencing at the Southeast corner of said Section 29; thence N $00^{\circ} 28^{\prime} 06^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ (all bearings used in this description are relative to the East line of the Southeast quarter of said Section 29, which was assumed to be N $00^{\circ} 28^{\prime} 06^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ ) on the East line of the Southeast quarter of said section, 1320.15 feet to a point on the North line of the South half of the Southwest quarter of said Section 28 and the POINT OF BEGINNING; thence continue $\mathrm{N} 00^{\circ} 28^{\prime} 06^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ on said East line, 180.02 feet; thence $\mathrm{N} 89^{\circ} 31^{\prime} 54^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 177.27$ feet; thence $\mathrm{N}+4^{\circ} 06^{\prime} 10^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$, 338.98 feet; thence $N 09^{\circ} 07^{\prime} 50^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 5+6.02$ feet: thence $N 04^{\circ} 3^{\prime} 14^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 206.93$ feet: thence on the arc of a curve to the left whose chord bears $583^{\circ} 19^{\prime} 07^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$, having a central angle of $21^{\circ} 04^{\prime} 17^{\prime \prime}$, a radius of 1460.00 feet and an arc length of 536.93 feet, more or less, to a point on the easterly line of the City of Fountain, El Paso County, Colorado as shown on the Annexation Plat of North Fountain Addition No. 15 as recorded in Plat Book D-4 at Page 62 of the records of said El Paso County, Colorado; thence N00 $22^{\prime} 20^{\prime \prime}$ E, on said easterly line of the aforementioned Annexation Plat of North Fountain Addition, 1154.97 feet, more or less, to a point on the northwesterly right-of-way line of Mesa Ridge Parkway. as recorded in Book 6788 at Page 538 of the records of said El Paso County Colorado; the following two (2) courses are on the aforementioned northwesterly right-of-way line of Mesa Ridge Parkway; (1) thence $\mathrm{N} 50^{\circ} 45^{\prime} 14^{*} \mathrm{E} .999 .09$ feet; (2) thence on a curve to the left whose chord bears $N+6^{\circ} 35^{\prime} 57 \times$, having a central angle of $8^{\circ} 18^{\circ} 34^{\prime \prime}$, a radius of 1096.98 feet and an arc length of 159.09 feet to a point on the southerly boundary of the Main Canal of the Fountain Mutual Irrigation Company as described in Book 5506 at Page 1290 of said records; thence easterly on said southerly line for the following five ( 5 ) courses: (1) thence $\$ 8 t^{\circ} 13^{\prime} 08^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 92.09$ feet; (2) thence on the arc of a curve to the right having a central angle of $90^{\circ} 54^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime}$, a radius of 23.00 feet and an arc length of 36.49 feet; ( 3 ) thence S06 $6^{\circ} 40^{\prime} 52 \mathrm{WW}, 209.80$ feet; (4) thence on the arc of a curve to the left having a central angle of $32^{\circ} 12^{\prime} 44^{\prime \prime}$, a radius of 152.00 feet and an arc length of 85.46 feet; ( 5 ) thence $N 64^{\circ} 28^{\prime} 08^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 10.00$ feet to a point on the southerly line of said Main Canal as described in said Book 5992 at Page 1279; thence easterly on said southerly line for the following forty-three (43) courses: (1) thence on the arc of a curve to the left whose chord bears S $26^{\circ} 15^{\prime} 44^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$, having a central angle of $01^{\circ} 27^{\prime} 4^{\prime \prime} 4^{\prime \prime}$, a radius of 142.00 feet and an arc length of 3.62 feet: (2) thence $S 26^{\circ} 59^{\prime} 36^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 16.67$ feet: (3) thence on the arc of a curve to the left having a central angle of $31^{\circ} 18^{\prime} 30^{\prime \prime}$, a radius of 108.00 feet and an arc length of 59.01 feet; (4) thence $S 58^{\circ} 18^{\prime} 06^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 16.12$ feet; ( 5 ) thence on the arc of a curve to the left having a central angle of $49^{\circ} 09^{\prime} 42^{\prime \prime}$, a radius of 100.00 feet and an arc length of 85.80 feet; (6) thence $N 72^{\circ} 32^{\prime} 12$ " $\mathrm{E}, 75.82$ feet: (7) thence on the arc of a curve to the left having a central angle of $49^{\circ} 24^{\prime} 15^{\prime \prime}$, a radius of 119.00 feet and an arc length of 102.61 feet; ( 8 ) thence $\mathrm{N} 23^{\circ} 07^{\prime} 57^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$, 36.72 feet; (9) thence on the arc of a curve to the left having a central angle of $16^{\circ} 41^{\prime} 49^{\prime \prime}$, a radius of 217.00 feet and an arc length of 63.24 feet: ( 10 ) thence N $06^{\circ} 26^{\prime} 08^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 203.01$ feet; (11) thence N $14^{\circ} 22^{\prime} 23$ " $\mathrm{E}, 139.91$ feet: (12) thence $N 01^{\circ} 10^{\prime} 48^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 93.63$ feet; (13) thence on the arc of a curve to the right having a central angle of $17^{\circ}+2^{\prime} 33^{\prime \prime}$. a radius of 136.00 feet and an arc length of 42.04 feet; ( 14 ) thence $N 16^{\circ} 31^{\prime \prime}+5^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 102.28$ feet; (15) thence on the arc of a curve to the right having a central angle of $25^{\circ} 16^{\prime} 31$ ", a radius of 10.00 feet and an arc length of $4 .+1$ feet; (16) thence $X+1^{\circ}+8^{\prime} 16^{\prime \prime}$ E. 123.05 feet: ( 17 ) thence $S 51^{\circ} 05^{\prime} 39^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 110.92$ feet: (18) thence on the arc of a curve to the right having a central angle of $36^{\circ} 52^{\prime} 30^{\prime \prime}$, a radius of 44.00 feet and an arc length of 28.32 feet: (19) thence $S 14^{\circ} 13^{\prime} 09^{\prime \prime} E, 45.30$ feet; (20) thence on the arc of a curve to the left having a central angle of $13^{\circ} 34^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime}$. a radius of 172.00 feet and an arc length of 40.73 feet; (21) thence $\mathrm{S} 27^{\circ} 47^{\circ} 09^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$, 319.85 feet: ( 22 ) thence $S+1^{\circ} 09^{\prime} 52 " E .43 .9+$ feet: (23) thence on the arc of a curve to the left having a central
 feet: (25) thence $S 74^{\circ} 5+111$ "E. 80.06 feet: (26) thence on the arc of a curve to the right having a central angle of $25^{\circ} 13^{\prime} 15^{\prime \prime}$. a radius of 51.00 feet and an arc length of 22.45 feet: ( 27 ) thence $S+9^{\circ} 40^{\prime} 56^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E} .523 .46$ feet: ( 28 ) thence on the arc of a curse to the left having a central angle of $29^{\circ} 38^{\prime} 12^{\prime \prime}$. a radius of 175.00 feet and an arc
length of 90.52 feet; (29) thence $\mathrm{S} 79^{\circ} 19^{\prime} 08^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 312.08$ feet; (30) thence on the arc of a curve to the right having a central angle of $37^{\circ} 39^{\prime} 42^{\prime \prime}$, a radius of 58.00 feet and an arc length of 38.12 feet; ( 31 ) thence S41 ${ }^{\circ} 39^{\prime} 26^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 256.99$ feet; (32) thence on the arc of a curve to the right having a central angle of $34^{\circ} 30^{\prime} 25^{\prime \prime}$, a radius of 55.00 feet and an arc length of 33.12 feet; (33) thence $S 07^{\circ} 09^{\prime} 01$ " $\mathrm{E}, 1+7.36$ feet; ( 34 ) thence on the arc of a curve to the left having a central angle of $77^{\circ} 34^{\prime} 32^{\prime \prime}$, a radius of 142.00 feet and an arc length of 192.26 feet; (35) thence $S 84^{\circ} 43^{\prime} 33^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 42.45$ feet: (36) thence on the arc of a curve to the right having a central angle of $14^{\circ} 06^{\prime} 03^{\prime \prime}$, a radius of 233.00 feet and an arc length of 57.34 feet; (37) thence $\mathrm{S} 70^{\circ} 37^{\prime} 30^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 42.79$ feet; (38) thence on the arc of a curve to the left having a central angle of $89^{\circ} 02^{\prime} 05^{\prime \prime}$, a radius of 91.00 feet and an arc length of 141.41 feet; (39) thence $\mathrm{N} 20^{\circ} 20^{\prime} 25^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 404.62$ feet; (40) thence $\mathrm{N} 24^{\circ} 23^{\prime} 23^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 214.77$ feet; (41) thence on the arc of a curve to the right having a central angle of $40^{\circ} 00^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime}$, a radius of 75.00 feet and an arc length of 52.36 feet; (42) thence $N 64^{\circ} 23^{\prime} 23^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 154.90$ feet; ( 43 ) thence on the arc of a curve to the left having a central angle of $17^{\circ} 58^{\prime} 41$ ", a radius of 250.00 feet and an arc length of 78.44 feet to a point on the North line of the South half of the Northeast quarter of said Section 28 ; thence $\mathrm{N} 89^{\circ} 44^{\prime} 40^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ on said North line, 2366.24 feet to a point on the North line of the South half of the Northwest quarter of said Section 27; thence N89 $9^{\circ} 55^{\prime} 36^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ on said North line, 2118.62 feet to a point on the northwesterly right-of-way line of Marksheffel Road; thence southwesterly on said northwesterly right-of-way line for the following three (3) courses: (1) thence $S 33^{\circ} 56^{\prime} 45^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 1429.37$ feet; (2) thence on the arc of a curve to the right having a central angle of
 point on the northerly right-of-way line of C \& S Road; thence $589^{\circ} 44^{\prime} 27^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ on said northerly right-of-way line, 1490.44 feet; thence $N 00^{\circ} 18^{\prime} 33^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 1290.05$ feet to the northeast comer of Tract 1 of Subdivision No. 1 of The Fountain Suburban Homes Corporation as recorded in Plat Book L at Page 44 of said records, said point also being on the North line of the South half of the Southwest quarter of said Section 28 ; thence S $89^{\circ} 44^{\prime} 3 l^{\prime \prime W}$ on said North line, 1075.05 feet to a point on a line being 1565.00 feet East of and parallel with the West line of said Section 28; thence $S 00^{\circ} 28^{\prime} 06^{\prime \prime W}$ on said parallel line, 1290.11 feet to a point on the northerly right-of-way line of said C \& S Road; thence $S 89^{\circ}+4^{\prime} 27^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ on said northerly right-of-way line, 400.00 feet to a point on a line being 1165.00 feet easterly of and parallel with the West line of said Section 28 ; thence $N 00^{\circ} 28^{\prime} 06^{\prime \prime} E$ on said parallel line, 1290.12 feet to a point on the North line of the South half of the Southwest quarter of said Section 28 ; thence $S 9^{\circ} 44^{\prime} 31^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ on said North line, 400.00 feet to a point on the West line of the easterly 200.00 feet of the westerly 965.00 feet of Tract 2 in Subdivision No. 1 of the Fountain Suburban Homes Corporation; thence $\mathrm{S} 00^{\circ} 28^{\prime} 06^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ on said Wiest line, 1290.13 feet to a point on the northerly right-of-way line of said $C \& S$ Road; thence $589^{\circ} 44^{\prime} 27^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ on said northerly right-of-way line, 600.00 feet to a point on the East line of the westerly 165.00 feet of said Tract 2 ; thence N $00^{\circ} 28^{\prime} 06^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ on said East line, 1290.14 feet to a point on the North line of the South half of the Southwest quarter of said Section 28 ; thence S $89^{\circ} 44^{\prime} 3$ I "W on said North line, 165.00 feet to the Point of Beginning; EXCEPTING therefrom that portion thereof included within said Mesa Ridge Parkway; said described tract contains 522.74 acres, more or less which includes Mesa Ridge Parkway.
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Cross Craek Preliminary Cost Estimates－Croas Creek
El Paso County
，

Row witth
Roadway Widths
Tum Lanes
\＃of intersections Asphatt Section
urb and $G$
Sidewal

## Street Improvements

| tem | Quantity | Unit Cost |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 C \＆G | 8.000 | \＄ | 8.00 | M．F． |
| 2 Asphalt | 24，889 | \＄ | 18.10 | IS．Y． |
| 3 Sidewalk | 40，000 | \＄ | 3.00 | IS．F． |
| 4 Curt Prep | 8.000 | \＄ | 1.50 | R．F． |
| 5 Subgrd Pred | 24，889 | 5 | 0.75 | IS．Y． |
| 8 Cross Pans |  | \＄ | 4.50 | IS．F． |
| 7 Squars Reums | 12 | \＄ | 750.00 | TEa |
| 8 Handicap Ramps | 1.560 | s | 4.00 | IS．F． |
| 9 Tum Lanes | 7，200 | \＄ | 16.85 | IS．Y． |

80 Feet
80 Feet
Yes
3
3
7
Yes．
Inches
Yes．
5 Fee
No

Quantity Unit Cost $\begin{array}{rlrl}8.000 & \$ & 8.00 & \text { n．F．} \\ 24.889 & \$ & 18.10 & \text { IS．Y．}\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llll}24,889 & \$ & 16.10 & \text { IS．Y．} \\ 40,000 & \$ & 3.00 & \text { IS．F．}\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{cccc} & 30,000 & \$ & 3.00 \\ 8,000 & \$ & 1.50 & \text { I．F．} F .\end{array}$ 24.88950 .75 IS．Y． 12 \＄ 750.00 IE． Ea ． $\begin{array}{rrrr}1.560 & \$ & 4.00 & \text { IS．F．} \\ 7.200 & \$ & 16.85 & \text { IS．Y．}\end{array}$

Lengt
Grading
Gas Main Relocation
Area of Tum Lanes／ln WJC Bridge Fee／Ac WJC Drainage FeelAc Asphatt Acreage

Ext．Cost
Ext．Cost
s $\begin{array}{r}84,000.00 \\ 400.711 .11\end{array}$
S 400.711 .11
$\$ 120.000 .00$
$\begin{array}{ll}\$ & 12,000.00 \\ \$ & 18,688.67\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{ll}\$ & 18,686.87\end{array}$
\＄ $9,000.00$
$\$ \quad 6.240 .00$
\＄ $121,320.00$ Add＇1 Ashpalt \＆Sub Prep Only
\＆ 751.937 .78

Water System－Major System Requirementsw

| Hem | Quantity |  | Unit Cost |  |  | Ext．Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $112^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{PVC}$ | 4，000 | \＄ | 25.00 | 几F． | \＄ | 100，000．00 |
| $216^{\circ} \mathrm{PVC}$ | 0 | s | 48.50 | R．F． | \＄ | ． |
| $34^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{PVC}$ | 0 | \＄ | 66.50 | R．F． | 5 | － |
| 4 Hydrants | 4 | \＄ | 2．800．00 | Ea | \＄ | 11，200．00 |
| $512^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime}$ Cross | 3 | \＄ | 1.200 .00 | Ea． | \＄ | 3，600．00 |
| $612^{\prime \prime}$ Valves | 19 | \＄ | 1.600 .00 | Ea． | 5 | 30．400．00 |
| Sub－Total |  |  |  |  | \＄ | 145，200．00 |

Sanitary Sewer System－Major System Requirement

| Item | Quantity | Unit Cost |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $18{ }^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{PVC}$ | 4.000 | \＄ 20.00 | LF． |
| $212^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{PVC}$ | 0 | \＄ 25.00 | 几．F． |
| 2a 12＂PVC | 0 | 45.00 | ת．F． |
| 3 Manholes | 10 | \＄ 2.500 .00 | Ea． |
| 3a Deep Manholes | 0 | \＄3．800．00 | IEa． |
| 4 Underdrain | 4.000 | 4.00 | n．F． |
| 5 Bedding | 4.000 | 5.00 | n．F． |
| Sub－Total |  |  |  |
|  | Quantity | Unit Cost |  |
| WJC Bridge FeelAc | 7.35 | \＄ 2.096 .00 | IAc． |
| WJC Drainage Fea／Ac | 7.35 | 57.633 .78 | IAc． |
| JC Bridge Fee／Ac | 0.00 | \＄ 305.00 | lac． |
| ${ }^{\text {c }}$ D Drairage FeetAc | 0.00 | \＄ 8.411 .00 | lac． |
| Landscaping | 4.000 | \＄50．00 | A．f． |
| Telephone |  |  | 几．F． |
| Gas |  | 10.00 | n．F． |
| Electric |  | 10.00 | n．F． |
| Grading |  |  | IC．Y． |

Ext Cost
$80,000.00$
$\begin{array}{ll}\$ & . \\ \$ & 25.000 .00\end{array}$
Deep Portions $>20^{\circ}$
Deep Manholes $>20$
\＄ $18,000.00$

| $\$ \quad 20,000.00$ |
| :--- |
| $\$ \cdot 141,000.00$ |

\＄ 35.25 ft
Ext Cost ${ }^{\prime}$
$\$ \quad 15.397 .81$
$\begin{array}{ll}\$ & 56.079 .19\end{array}$
\＄ $200,000.00$
$\mathbf{5}$
$\mathbf{5}$
5
5
$\begin{array}{ll}\$ & - \\ \$ 1309814 \\ \$ 159\end{array}$
$\frac{\$ 1.309,614.58}{5.196 .442 .9}$
$\$ 1.56,442.9$
$\$ 1.506 .056 .77$

Cross Croek Proliminary Cost Estimates - N-S Collector El Paso County.

## Parameters




Sanitary Sewer System - Major System Requirements

| Hem | Quantity |  | Unit Cost |  |  | Ext Cost |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $18^{\prime \prime}$ PVC | 3,600 | \$ | - 20.00 | L.F. | \$ | 72.000.00 |  |  |
| 2 12" PVC | 0 | \$ | - 25.00 | M.F. | \$ | 72.000.00 |  |  |
| 2a 12" PVC | 0 | \$ | - 45.00 | 几.F. | \$ | - | Deep Portions>20' |  |
| 3 Manholes | 10 |  | 2,500.00 | Ea | \$ | 25,000.00 |  |  |
| 3a Deep Manholes | 0 | \$ | 3,800.00 | Ea. | \$ | - | Deep Manholes>20 |  |
| 4 Underdrain | 3.600 | \$ | - 4.00 | M.F. | \$ | 14,400.00 |  |  |
| 5 Bedding | 3.600 | \$ | 5.00 | R.F. | \$ | 18,000.00 |  |  |
| Sub-Total |  |  |  |  | \$ | 129,400.00 |  | \$ 35.94 /it |
|  | Quantity |  | Unit Cost |  |  | Ext. Cost |  |  |
| WJC Bridge Feelac | 6.61 |  | 2,096.00 | IAc. | \$ | 13,857.85 |  |  |
| WJC Drainage FeelAc | 6.61 | \$ | 7.633 .78 | IAc. | \$ | 50,471.27 |  |  |
| $J C$ Bridge FeelAc | 0.00 | \$ | 305.00 | IAc. | 5 | 50.471.27 |  |  |
| JC Drainage Fee/Ac | 0.00 | \$ | 8.411 .00 | iAc. | \$ | - |  |  |
| Landseaping | 3,500 |  | \$50.00 | R.F. | \$ | 180,000.00 |  |  |
| Telephone |  |  |  | n.F. | \$ | 180,00.00 |  |  |
| Gas |  | \$ | 10.00 | ^.F. | \$ | . |  |  |
| Electric |  | \$ | 10.00 | n.F. | \$ | - |  |  |
| Grading |  |  |  | C.Y. | \$ | - | - |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  | 085.049.12 |  | . |
| $15 \%$ Engineering \& Contingency Tctai |  |  |  |  | \$ 162.757.37 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | \$1.247.806.49 |  |  | \$346.81 /t |



| Water System－Major System RequirementsW |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hem | Quantity | Unit Cost |  |  | Ext Cost |  |  |  |
| $112^{-}$PVC | 2.000 | \＄ | 25.00 | ת．F． | \＄ | 50，000．00 |  |  |
| 2160 PVC | 0 | \＄ | 48.50 | 几．F． | \＄ | － |  |  |
| 3 24＂PVC | 0 | \＄ | 66.50 | ת．F． | \＄ | － |  |  |
| 4 Hydrants | 3 | \＄ | 2，800．00 | ／Ea． | \＄ | 8，400．00 |  |  |
| 5 12＂x12＂Cross | 1 | \＄ | 1，200．00 | Ea． | \＄ | 1，200．00 |  |  |
| $612{ }^{\text {－Valves }}$ | 8 | \＄ | 1，600．00 | Ea． | \＄ | 12，800．00 |  |  |
| Sub－Total |  |  |  |  | \＄ | 72，400．00 | \＄ | 36.20 ／t |

Sanitary Sewer System－Major System Requirements

| tem | Quantity | Unit Cost |  |  | Ext．Cost |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $18^{\prime \prime}$ PVC | 2.000 | \＄ 20.00 | L．F． | \＄ | 40，000．00 |  |  |
| 2 12＊PVC | 0 | \＄ 25.00 | IL．F． | \＄ | － |  |  |
| 2a 12＂PVC | 0 | \＄ 45.00 | 几．F． | \＄ | － | Deep Portions 20＇$^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3 Manholes | 5 | \＄2，500．00 | IEa． | \＄ | 12，500．00 |  |  |
| 3a Deep Manholes | 0 | \＄3，800．00 | Ea． | 5 | － | Deep Manticles＞20 |  |
| 4 Underdrain | 2.000 | \＄ 4.00 | ת．F． | 5 | 8，000．00 | － |  |
| 5 Bedding | 2,000 | \＄ 5.00 | n．F． | 5 | 10.000 .00 |  |  |
| Sub－Total |  |  |  | \＄ | 70.500 .00 |  | \＄ 35.25 r |
|  | Quantity | Unit Cost |  |  | Ext Cost |  |  |
| WJC Bridge Feelac | 3.67 | \＄ 2.096 .00 | IAc． | \＄ | 7，698．81 |  |  |
| WJC Drainagë FeelAc | 3.67 | \＄7，633．78 | IAc． | \＄ | 28，039．60 |  |  |
| JC Bridge FeelAc | 0.00 | \＄ 305.00 | IAc． | \＄ | － |  |  |
| JC Drainage FeelAc | 0.00 | \＄ 8.411 .00 | IAc． | \＄ | 100，000－00 |  |  |
| Landscaping | 2.000 | \＄50．00 | 几．F． | \＄ | 100，000．00 |  |  |
| Telephone |  |  | I．F． | \＄ | － |  |  |
| Gas |  | \＄ 10.00 | n．F． | 5 | － |  |  |
| Electric |  | \＄ 10.00 | R．F． | \＄ | － |  |  |
| Grading |  |  | IC．Y． | 5 | － |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  | 5 | 597，705．07 |  |  |
| 153 Engineering | Coningen |  |  | \＄ | 89，855．76 |  |  |
| Teral |  |  |  | 5 | 687，360．83 |  | \＄ 343.68 ／t |



Water System - Major System RequirementsW

| Item | Quantity | Unit Cost |  |  | Ext Cost |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $112^{\prime \prime}$ PVC | 1,300 | s | 25.00 | I.F. | \$ | 32,500.00 |
| $216{ }^{\prime \prime}$ PVC | 0 | 5 | 48.50 | nF. | \$ |  |
| 3 24* PVC | 0 | \$ | 86.50 | A.F. | \$ | - |
| 4 Hydrants | 2 | \$ | 2,800.00 | Ea. | \$ | 5,600.00 |
| $512^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime}$ Cross | 1 | 5 | 1,200.00 | Ea | \$ | 1.200 .00 |
| 6 12" Valves | 6 | \$ | 1,600.00 | /Ea | \$ | 9,600.00 |
| Sub-Total |  |  |  |  | \$ | 48,900.00 |

\$ 37.62 / t
Sanitary Sewer System - Major System Requirements

| $18^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{PVC}{ }^{\text {tem }}$ | Quantity |  | Unit Cost |  |  | Ext Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1,300 | \$ | 20.00 | L.F. | \$ | 26,000.00 |
| 2 12" PVC | 0 | \$ | 25.00 | n.F. | \$ |  |
| 2a 12" PVC | 0 | \$ | 45.00 | n.F. | \$ |  |
| 3 Manholes | 4 | 5 | 2,500.00 | Ea | \$ | 10,000.00 |
| 3a Deep Manholes | 0 | \$ | 3.800 .00 | Fa | \$ | 10,000.00 |
| 4 Underdrain | 1,300 | \$ | 4.00 | R.F. | \$ | 5.200.00 |
| 5 Bedding | 1,300 | \$ | 5.00 | R.F. | 5 | 6.500.00 |
| Sub-Total |  |  |  |  | \$ | 47,700.00 |
| WJC Bridge FeelAc | Quantity |  | Unit Cost |  |  | Ext Cost |
|  | 1.79 |  | 2,096.00 | IAc. | \$ | 3.753 .17 |
| WJC Drainage FeedAc | 1.79 | 5 | 7,633.78 | IAc. | \$ | 13.659 .30 |
| JC Bridge FeelAc | 0.00 | S | 305.00 | IAc. | \$ | 13.609.30 |
| JC Drainage Fee/Ac | 0.00 | \$ | 8.411 .00 | /ac. | 5 |  |
| Landscaping | 1,300 |  | \$50.00 | I.F. | \$ | 65,000.00 |
| Telephone |  |  |  | n.F. | 5 | 55.000.00 |
| Gas |  | \$ | 10.00 | 几.F. | 5 |  |
| Electric |  | \$ | 10.00 | n.F. | \$ |  |
| Grading |  |  |  | IC.Y. | \$ | . |
| Total | - |  |  |  | \$ | 366.789.14 |
| $15 \%$ Engineering \& Cortingency Total |  |  |  |  | \$ | 55,018.37 |
|  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 421.807.51 |

\$ 38.69 ht

## Cross Creok Proliminary Cost Estimates－Valley Ranch El Paso County

## Parameters



Water System－Major System RequirementsW

| Hem | Quantity | Unit Cost |  |  | Ext Cost |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 12＊PVC | 2，100 | \＄ | 25.00 | 几．F． | \＄ | 52，500．00 |
| $216{ }^{\prime \prime}$ PVC | 0 | \＄ | 48.50 | n．F． | \＄ | － |
| 3 24＊PVC | 0 | \＄ | 66.50 | n．F． | S | － |
| 4 Hydrants | 3 | \＄ | 2，800．00 | Ea． | \＄ | 8.400 .00 |
| 5 12＂x12＂Cross | 1 | \＄ | 1，200．00 | Ea | 5 | 1，200．00 |
| 6 12＂Valves | 8 | \＄ | 1，600．00 | Ea． | S | 12，800．00 |
| Sub－Total |  |  |  |  | \＄ | 74，900．00 |

\＄ 35.87 h
Sanitary Sewer System－Major System Requirements

| Item | Quantity |  | nit Cost |  | Ext．Cost |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $18^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{PVC}$ | 2.100 | \＄ | 20.00 | L．F． | 5 | 42，000．00 |  |
| 2 12＂PVC | 0 | \＄ | 25.00 | n．F． | S | － |  |
| 2a 12＂PVC | 0 | \＄ | 45.00 | ＾．F． | \＄ | － | Deep Portions＞20＇ |
| 3 Manholes | 6 | \＄ | 2，500．00 | ELa． | \＄ | 15，000．00 |  |
| 3a Deep Manholes | 0 | \＄ | 3，800．00 | Ea． | \＄ | － | Deep Manholes $>20$ |
| 4 Underdrạin | 2,100 | \＄ | 4.00 | 几．F． | \＄ | 8，400．00 |  |
| 5 Bedding | 2，100 | \＄ | 5.00 | 几．F． | S | 10，500．00 |  |
| Sub－Total |  |  |  |  | \＄ | 75，900．00 |  |



## Cross Crook Prollminary Cost Estimates - Sneffots El Paso County

## Parameters

| ROW Width | 60 Feet | Length |  | Feet | . |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Roadway Widths | 44 Feet | Grading |  |  |  |  |
| Tum Lanes | Yes | Gas Main Relocation | No |  |  |  |
| \# of intersections | 0 | Area of Tum Lanesilnt | 2400 | S.Y. |  |  |
| Asphalt Section | 6 inches | WJC Bridge FeelAc | \$ 2.098 .00 |  |  |  |
| Curb and Gutter | Yes | WJC Drainage FoelAc*. | \$ 2.096 .00 |  | JC Bridge Fee/Ac | \$ 305.00 |
| Sidewalk | 5 Feet | Asphatt | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 7,033.78 \\ \$ \quad 2.30 \end{array}$ | IS.Y-Inch | JC Drainage FeelAc | \$8.411.00 |
| Trail | No | Acreage | - 0.98 | Acres |  |  |

## Street Improvements

$\quad$ Item
1 C \& G
2 Asphalt
3 Sidewalk
4 Curb Prep
5 Subgrd Prel
6 Cross Pans
7 Square Reurns
8 Handicap Ramps
9 Turn Lanes
Sub-Total

| Quantity | Unit Cost |  |  |
| ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- |
| 1,400 | $\$$ | 8.00 | R.F. |
| 3,111 | $\$$ | 13.80 | IS.Y. |
| 7.000 | $\$$ | 3.00 | IS.F. |
| 1,400 | $\$$ | 1.50 | 几.F. |
| 3,111 | $\$$ | 0.75 | IS.Y. |
| 480 | $\$$ | 4.50 | IS.F. |
| 4 | $\$$ | 750.00 | IEa |
| 0 | $\$$ | 4.00 | IS.F. |
| 0 | $\$$ | 14.55 | IS.Y. |


|  | Ext. Cost |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
|  |  |  |
| $\$$ | $11,200.00$ |  |
| $\$$ | $42,933.33$ |  |
| $\$$ | $21,000.00$ |  |
| $\$$ | $2,100.00$ |  |
| $\$$ | $2,333.33$ |  |
| $\$$ | $2,160.00$ |  |
| $\$$ | $3,000.00$ |  |
| $\$$ | - |  |
| $\$$ | - |  |
| $\$$ | 84.726 .67 |  |

Water System - Major System Requirements

| Itern | Quantity |  | Unit Cost |  | Ext Cost |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $112^{\circ}$ PVC | 700 | \$ | 25.00 | 几.F. | \$ | 17,500.00 |
| $216^{\prime \prime}$ PVC | 0 | \$ | 48.50 | IL.F. | \$ | - |
| 3 24" PVC | 0 | \$ | 66.50 | I.F. | \$ | - |
| 4 Hydrants | 1 | \$ | 2,800.00 | /Ea | \$ | 2,800.00 |
| 5 12"x12" Cross | 0 | \$ | 1,200.00 | IEa. | \$ | 2, |
| 6 12" Valves | 0 | \$ | 1,600.00 | Fa. | 5 | - |
| Sub-Total |  |  |  |  | \$ | 20,300.00 |

Sanitary Sewer System - Major System Requirements




## Drainage Fees \& Bridge Fees

Jimmy Camp Drainage
Jimmy Camp Ërige

West Jimmy Camp Drainage
West Jimmy Camp Sridge
Total Orainage Fees
Total Bridge Fses

| 329 Acres | \$ | 8,411.00 | IAc. | \$ | 2,767.219.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 329 Acres | \$ | 305.00 | IAc. | \$ | 100,345.00 |
| 200 Acres | \$ | 7,633.78 | /Ac. | \$ | 1,526,756.00 |
| 200 Acres | \$ | 2,096.00 | Ac. | \$ | 419,200.00 |
|  |  |  |  | \$ | 4,293,975.00 |
|  |  |  |  | S | 519,545.00 |



## Crass Creek Preliminary Cost Estimates - Marksheffel El Paso County

| Parameters |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| ROW Width | 120 Feet |
| Rcadway Widths | 70 Feer |
| Turn Lanes | Yes |
| \# of intersections | 3 |
| Asphat Section | 8 nnches |
| Curb and Gutter | No |
| Sidewalk | 5 Feer |
| Trail | No |


| Length | 4500 Feet |
| :--- | :---: |
| Grading |  |
| Gas Main Relocation | No |
| Area of Tum Lanes/lint | - |
| WJC Bridge FeelAc | 4000 S.Y. |
| WJC Drainage FeelAc | $\$ 2.098 .00$ |
| Asphalt | 57.633 .78 |
| Acreage | $\$ 2.30$ IS.Y-Inch |
|  | 12.40 Acres |

Street Improvements

| Hem | Quantity | Unit Cost |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1 \mathrm{C} \mathrm{\& G}$ | 0 | 5 | 8.00 | ILF |
| 2 Asphalt | 33.000 | 5 | 18.40 | IS.Y. |
| 3 Sidewalk | 45,000 | 5 | 3.00 | IS.F. |
| 4 Curb Prep | 0 | \$ | 1.50 | ILF. |
| 5 Subgrd Prel | 33,000 | 5 | 0.75 | IS.Y. |
| 6 Cross Pans |  | 5 | 4.50 | IS.F. |
| 7 Square Reurns | 8 | \$ | 750.00 | Ea |
| 8 Handicap Ramps | 1.560 | 5 | 4.00 IS | IS.F. |
| 9 Tum Lanes | 12.000 | \$ | 19.15 IS | IS.Y. |


|  | Ext. Cost |
| :--- | :---: |
| $\$$ | - |
| $\$$ | $607,200.00$ |
| $\$$ | $135,000.00$ |
| $\$$ | - |
| $\$$ | $24,750.00$ |
| $\$$ | - |
| $\$$ | $6,000.00$ |
| $\$$ | $6,240.00$ |
| $\mathbf{S}$ | 229.800 .00 |
| $\$ 1,008,990.00$ |  |

$\$ 224.22$ /t

Water System - Major System RequirementsW

| Item | Quantity |  | Unit Cost |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $112{ }^{-1}$ PVC | 4.500 | \$ | ) 25.00 | L.F. | 5 |  |
| $210{ }^{10}$ PVC | 0 | \$ | - 48.50 | A.F. | 5 |  |
| $324{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{PVC}$ | 0 | 5 | - 68.50 | RF | \$ |  |
| 4 Hydrants | 5 | 5 | 2,800.00 | /Ea | \$ | 14,00000 |
| $512^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime}$ Cross | 3 | \$ | 1,200.00 | Ea | 5 | $14,000.00$ $3,600.00$ |
| $612^{\circ}$ Valves | 18 | \$ | 1.800.00 | Ea | 5 | $3,600.00$ $28,800.00$ |
| Sub-Total |  |  |  |  |  | 158,900.00 |

535.31 it

Sanitary Sewer System - Major System Requirements

|  |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1 | $8^{\prime \prime}$ PVC |
| 2 | $12^{*}$ PVC |
| $2 a$ | $12^{-}$PVC |
| 3 | Manholes |
| 3a Deep Manholes |  |
| 4 | Underdrain |
| 5 | Bedding |
| Sub-Total |  |


|  | Ext. Cost |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| $\$$ | - |  |
| $\mathbf{S}$ | 112.500 .00 |  |
| $\$$ | - | Deep Portions $>20^{\circ}$ |
| $\$$ | 30.000 .00 |  |
| $\$$ | - | Deep Manholes $>20$ |
| $\$$ | 18.000 .00 |  |
| $\$$ | 22.500 .00 |  |
| $\$$ | $183,000.00$ |  |

S 40.67 /t

|  | Quantity |  | Unit Cost |  |  | Ext Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WJC Bridge FeelAc | 0 | 5 | 2,096.00 | IAc. | \$ |  |
| WJC Drainage Feelac | 0 | 5 | 7.033.78 | IAc. | S |  |
| $J C$ Bridge Feetac | 12.40 | 5 | 305.00 | IAc. | \$ | 3.780 .99 |
| JC Drainage Feelac | 12.40 | S | 8.411 .00 | IAc. | \$ | 104.268.80 |
| Landscaping | 4.500 |  | \$60.00 | I.F. | \$ | 270.000.00 |
| Telephone |  |  |  | n.F. | 5 | 270.000.00 |
| Gas |  | S | 10.00 | n.F. | 5 | - |
| Electrie |  | 5 | 10.00 | n.F. | 5 |  |
| Grading |  |  |  | IC.Y. | 5 |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  | 728.939.59 |
| $15 \%$ Engineenng \& Contingency Tctal |  |  |  |  | S 259,340.94 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 988.280.52 |

# Build-out Projections Mesa Ridge 
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## INTRODUCTION

## Purpose

This report presents build-out projections for the planned Mesa Ridge development. The projections were prepared in support of the preparation of a Service Plan for a proposed Mesa Ridge Metropolitan District 1 and 2. The projections include residential units, shopping center square feet, average prices of homes sold and the market value of shopping center buildings constructed. Two sets of projections were prepared: a "normal case "and a "worst case". The projections cover the period 2006 to 2013.

## Description of Mesa Ridge

Mesa Ridge is a part of a larger land holding assembled by the Nearon Company starting in the early 1980s. The Mesa Ridge plan includes a total of 534 acres. The project is located at Mesa Ridge Parkway and Powers Boulevard (extended) in the unincorporated part of El Paso County. The project is planned to have a mix of uses including 1,933 dwelling units and 28.1 acres of commercial and shopping center uses. Plans call for forming the Metropolitan District during 2004, developing land in 2005 and delivering finished homes and a shopping center and commercial site to the market beginning in 2006. (Attachment 1)

## Report Organization

This report is organized into three sections. This section outlines the purpose, presents a summary of projections, and provides a discussion of methodology, and key assumptions. The second section presents a detailed discussion of our market research findings and our projections. The third section presents a series of attachments documenting our data and calculations.

## Summary of Projections

Projections of Mesa Ridge residential and shopping center build-out under hormal case assumptions are as follows:

(Nole: Mesa Ridge residential is projected to be built oui by early 2012)

The projections assume that normal building cycle market fluctuations will take place over the projection time frame. The average price for a new home is projected to be $\$ 180,000$ (2004 dollars). The average value of shopping center construction is projected to be $\$ 130$ per square foot including land (2004 dollars).

Projections of Mesa Ridge residential and shopping center build-out under worst case assumptions are as follows:

## WORST CASE PROJECTED TOTAL CONSTRUCTION OF SINGLE FAMILY UNITS AND COMMERCIAL SQUARE FEET MESA RIDGE

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { YEAR } \\
& \text { 2006-2008 } \\
& 2009-2013
\end{aligned}
$$

RESIDENTIAL
UNITS
230
250
SHOPPING CENTER
SQUARE FEET
0
0

The worst case is based on the assumptions of (1) a $50 \%$ drop from the normal case in countywide total residential and commercial space construction and, (2) a $50 \%$ drop in Mesa Ridge's capture of competitive market area construction. The worst case projections imply a rate of build out for Mesa Ridge that would take the project out past 20 years for completion.

## Methodology and Data Sources

The methodology we used to prepare the estimates of residential absorption is as follows:

1. Projections of total El Paso County residential construction were prepared.
2. Countywide residential construction was allocated to the Mesa Ridge Competitive Market Area (CMA) and the Powers corridor based on each area's share of market over the past three years.
3. Powers corridor residential construction was satisfied until the supply of lots was depleted, then 50 percent of its demand was shifted to the CMA area.
4. Residential construction was allocated to the Mesa Ridge project based on its adjusted "fair share" of active and planned total residential development capacity in the CMA.
5. A worst-case projection was prepared to illustrate the downside impact of a significantly slower and a much more competitive market. Worst case is assumed to be a $50 \%$ drop in El Paso County new home construction combined with a $50 \%$ drop in Mesa Ridge's share of the CMA's market.

Data used in the preparation of the residential projections and their sources include:

1. Residential construction permits data for El Paso County for 1960-2003 from the Regional Building Department.
2. Residential construction permits data by zip code for El Paso County for 1996-2004 (1st Qtr) from the Regional Building Department.
3. A survey of residential projects in the CMA area and the Powers Boulevard corridor conducted by the Meyers Group in February 2004.
4. An inventory of remaining development capacity in the major projects located in the CMA was compiled by David Bamberger \& Associates.
The methodology we used to prepare the estimates of shopping center absorption is as follows.
5. Data on site characteristics, traffic counts, existing competitive shopping centers and current and future demographics and retail spending were compiled.
6. Shopping center site selection factors were weighed against Mesa Ridge shopping center site demand factors and an assessment of the type and timing of shopping center development potential was prepared.

Data used in the preparation of the shopping center projections and their sources include:

1. Trade area demographics and retail spending were obtained from Claritas.
2. Information on site selection criteria is from the International Council of Shopping Centers.
3. Information on competitive shopping centers was compiled by David Bamberger \& Associates in a field survey of the CMA.

## Key Assumptions

The following are a set of key assumptions behind the build-out projections presented in this report. It is assumed that all of the elements necessary to support urban density development will continue to be put in place in the Mesa Ridge area in the future.

1. Water, sewer, electric and gas service is available to support urban density development during the full duration of build-out.
2. At least four high volume production homebuilders build and sell homes in the development.
3. Builders use high saturation mass media to market their product.
4. Land development is financially feasible.
5. The developer delivers superpads or finished lots to builders in a timely maniner.
6. Lots and superpads in the development are priced such that entry-level and first-time move-up homes can be built and sold for prices that are competitive with other residential and shopping center projects in EI Paso County.
7. Property taxes and utility rates are competitive with other areas in El Paso County.
8. School facilities including elementary, middle and high schools are available in the area.
9. Adequate job opportunities continue to be available within a normal commute distance from the development.
10. Planning, entitlement and building permit approvals are given in a timely manner so as not to interrupt or slow build-out.
11. Improvements to Powers Boulevard and Marksheffel Road will be made in a timely fashion as planned.
12. Construction of a shopping center and related commercial activities in Mesa Ridge is premised on the construction of Powers Boulevard through the property.

## RESIDENTIAL AND SHOPPING CENTER MARKET FINDINGS

## Residential Construction in El Paso County

Residential construction in El Paso County over the long term has been very strong, but cyclical. Since 1960 183;000 new homes have been built. Peaks in annual production have totaled about 10,000 units and troughs have totaled about 900 units.
There is some evidence to suggest that a big drop in housing production will not occur in the current cycle because apartment construction has remained close to demand-driven levels and is not overbuilt as in past cycles. (Attachment 2)

Source: Regional Building Department.

## Residential Construction in the Powers Corridor

The Powers corridor includes the area east of Powers Boulevard, north of Constitution Avenue, south of Woodmen Road and west of Marksheffel Road. The boundaries of the corridor are approximately the boundaries of zip code 80922. Most of the Powers corridor is in the Colorado Springs city limits.
The Powers corridor has been a big player in the Colorado Springs residential market for the past 20 years. Major active developments include Stetson Hills, Springs Ranch, Ridgeview, Indigo Ranch, and Pronghorn Meadows.
At the present time there are 34 active builder projects in the corridor. Product being built and sold includes single family detached, townhomes and patio homes. Sizes of homes range from about 1,000 to 2,800 square feet. Prices of new homes range from about $\$ 150,000$ to $\$ 300,000$, with an average of about
$\$ 186,000$. Target buyers cover the full spectrum, ranging from first-time to thirdtime move-up.
New residential construction activity in the Powers corridor has been very strong. Builder's sales in the 34 projects currently average 4.3 sales per month, or a total of almost $.1,800$ units sold at an annual rate. New residential construction has totaled over 7,000 units since the start of 1998 . Over the past three years the Powers corridor has captured $26.8 \%$ of total El Paso county residential construction activity.

> Residential Construction (Units)
> Powers Corridor, 1998-2004 (1st Qtr)

| Year | Powers <br> Corridor | El Paso County | Powers <br> Corridor Share |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1998 | 613 | 5,214 | $11.8 \%$ |
| 1999 | 856 | 5,941 | $14.4 \%$ |
| 2000 | 1,045 | 6,286 | $16.6 \%$ |
| 2001 | 1,103 | 7,111 | $15.5 \%$ |
| 2002 | 1.699 | 6,813 | $24.9 \%$ |
| 2003 | 1,472 | 5,302 | $27.8 \%$ |
| $2004(1$ st Qtr) | 446 | 1,378 | $32.4 \%$ |
| Total | 7,234 | 38.045 | $19.0 \%$ |

## Source: Regional Building Department and David Bamberger \& Associates

Note: Powers Corridor Includes zip 80922
The Powers corridor is almost completely built out. We estimate there are about 1,700 platted lots remaining in the active builder projects and another 3,000 masterplanned lots that have not been platted. Thus, remaining development capacity totals about 4,700 units. Based on current market activity, the corridor will be completely built out in about three years. (Attachment 3)

## Residential Construction in the Competitive Market Area

The Competitive Market Area (CMA) area includes the southeast part of Colorado Springs, the Security / Widefield area and the Town of Fountain. The CMA covers zips 80817, 80911, 80916 and 80925 and roughly includes the area east of $1-25$, south of the Colorado Springs airport and north of the southern boundary of the Town of Fountain.
Our research identified 22 active new home projects in the CMA. Projects include Cottonwood Meadows, Millers Crossing, Cross Creek, the Glen at Widefield, Countryside, Heritage, Country Crossing, Sand Creek, Windmill and Soaring Eagles. Product being built and sold includes single family detached and townhomes. Sizes of homes range from about 1,000 to 1,800 square feet. Prices of new homes range from about $\$ 150,000$ to $\$ 200,000$, with an average of about
$\$ 169,000$. Target buyers include first-time buyers, first-time move-up and sec-ond-time move-up buyers.

New residential construction activity in the CMA has been strong. Builder's sales in the 22 projects currently average 2.7 sales per month, or a total of over 700 units sold at an annual rate. New residential construction has totaled almost 5,000 units since the start of 1998. Over the past three years the CMA has captured $15.0 \%$ of total El Paso county residential construction activity. (Attachment 4)

Residential Construction (Units)
Competitive Market Area (CMA), 1998-2004 (1st Qtr)

| Year | Total <br> CMA | Total <br> El Paso <br> County | CMA Share |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1998 | 672 | 5,214 | $12.9 \%$ |
| 1999 | 667 | 5,941 | $11.2 \%$ |
| 2000 | 692 | 6,286 | $11.0 \%$ |
| 2001 | 930 | 7,111 | $13.1 \%$ |
| 2002 | 1,084 | 6,813 | $15.9 \%$ |
| 2003 | 785 | 5,302 | $14.8 \%$ |
| 2004 (1stQ) | 154 | 1,378 | $11.2 \%$ |
| Total | 4,984 | 38,045 | $13.1 \%$ |
| Total $/$ Average for $2002-2004$ YTD | $15.0 \%$ |  |  |

Source: Regional Building Department and David Bamberger \& Associates
Note: CMA includes Zips 80817, 80911, 80916, 80925, (SE Colorado Springs and the Fountain Valley)

## Build-out Potential in the Competitive Market Area

Our research found a significant amount of capacity for additional residential development in the CMA. The 22 active builder projects have a total of 770 homes left to build on current finished lot inventory. There are an estimated 12,420 lots remaining in active planned projects, which include the Glen at Widefield, Cross Creek and Mesa Ridge. We also identified a total of over 14,000 lots in inactive planned projects, including an estimated 10,000 lots in the southern part of the Banning-Lewis Ranch.

All of these active and planned developments will likely offer some measure of competition to Mesa Ridge. However, we have observed over the years that the supply of developed lots has closely paced new home construction. Thus, we do not expect a dramatic over-supply of developed lots emerging even though the planned capacity might range as high as 27,000 potential lots.

|  | Active <br> Current <br> Projects | Active <br> Planned <br> Projects | Total <br> Active | Active <br> Percent <br> Share | Inactive <br> Planned <br> Projects | Total <br> Active <br> and <br> Inactive | Total <br> Percent <br> Share |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Miscellaneous Projects | 350 | 1,200 | 1,550 | $12 \%$ | 1,600 | 3,150 | $12 \%$ |
| Cross Creek | 310 | 300 | 610 | $5 \%$ | 0 | 610 | $2 \%$ |
| Mesa Ridge | 0 | 2,950 | 2,950 | $24 \%$ | 0 | 2,950 | $11 \%$ |
| Glen at Widefield | 110 | 1,200 | 1,310 | $11 \%$ | 0 | 1,310 | $5 \%$ |
| Banning-Lewis Ranch (Est.) | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0 \%$ | 10,000 | 10,000 | $37 \%$ |
| Water View | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0 \%$ | 1,700 | 1,700 | $6 \%$ |
| Crescent Heights | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0 \%$ | 1,140 | 1,140 | $4 \%$ |
| Lorson Ranch | 0 | 6,000 | 6,000 | $48 \%$ | 0 | 6,000 | $22 \%$ |
| Total | 770 | 11,650 | 12,420 | $100 \%$ | 14,440 | 26,860 | $100 \%$ |

Source: David Bamberger \& Associates, City of Fountain and the Meyers Group

## Residential Construction Projections for El Paso County

Total construction of new single family homes is expected to vary over the business cycle in the future. In the past the peak to trough variation has ranged from an annual figure of about 900 to over 10,000 units. We expect swings to be much less severe in the future than in the past. Real estate markets appear to not be as prone to overbuilding as they were in the 1970s and 1980s.


[^0]
# PROJECTED TOTAL RESIDENTIAL CONSTRUCTION EL PASO COUNTY 

| YEARS | $\underline{\text { UNITS }}$ |
| :---: | ---: |
| $2004-2008$ | 27,800 |
| $2009-2013$ | 28,900 |

Our projections of countywide residential construction recognize that there will be cyclical influences. Residential construction totaled almost 38,000 units during the past five years. Our projections for residential construction for El Paso County show totals for each future five-year period to be lower than the past five year peak. We should note, however, that any given year construction could be higher, or lower than the straight-line projection because of building cycle fluctuations. (Attachment 5)

## Residential Construction Projections for the Competitive Market Area

Discussions with developers and builders active in the Powers corridor indicate that they believe the Falcon area north of the Powers corridor and the Fountain Valley area (the CMA) south of the Powers corridor will begin to take up an increasing share of the new residential construction when the Powers corridor builds out. When the corridor does build out construction of about 1,500 units per year will have to go somewhere.

A major uncertainty is the Banning-Lewis Ranch, which could emerge as a competitor for the CMA, if the developer moves forward and starts delivering residential lots. At the present time, it is not clear when Banning-Lewis will start. Their current plans call for starting on the northern part of their property near Woodmen Road and / or Dublin Road and Marksheffel Road. And it is likely they will start there sometime within the next five years.

However, for the purpose of our analysis we assume that the southern part of the Banning Lewis Ranch will not be a competitor in the CMA over the next 10 to 15 years. This assumption is based on the significant cost to the developer of building a major wastewater treatment facility and wastewater collection system in Jimmy Camp Creek basin necessary to serve the southern part of the Ban-ning-Lewis property.

The CMA is expected to capture an increasing share of the El Paso County housing market in the future. The CMA will likely see a jump in share when the Powers corridor builds out the remaining 4,700 lots in about 2006. Share in the CMA area is expected to increase from its current $15 \%$ to $25 \%$ when the Powers corridor runs out of lots.

# PROJECTED TOTAL RESIDENTIAL CONSTRUCTION COMPETITIVE MARKET AREA 

| YEARS | UNITS |
| :---: | ---: |
| $2004-2008$ |  |
| $2009-2013$ | 7,230 |

## Residential Construction Projections for Mesa Ridge

Residential construction in Mesa Ridge is planned to start in 2006. The project's capture of the CMA area is expected to average $22 \%$. This capture rate is based on adjusted "fair share" of the market, which is based on total lot supply in the CMA area.

A total of 1,930 units (rounded from 1,933) are projected to be built in Mesa Ridge between 2006 and 2012, an average of a little over 300 units per year. Mesa Ridge residential will be built out in early 2012. (Attachment 6)

## PROJECTED TOTAL CONSTRUCTION OF SINGLE FAMILY UNITS MESA RIDGE

| YEARS | UNITS |
| :---: | ---: |
| $2006-2008$ | 920 |
| $2009-2012$ | 1,010 |

(Note: Mesa Ridge residential is projected to be built out by early 2012)
The projections assume that there will be at least four major production builders in the project offering a variety of product and at least two price points, with one targeting entry-level and one targeting first time move-up markets. This strategy will insure there is a significant amount of market power, including advertising dollars, model homes and on-site sales staff to maximize build-out potential. Our research shows that builder projects can expect sales from three to seven units per month per project.

Estimates of the price of homes to be built in Mesa Ridge are based on an average of the base prices of projects in the Powers corridor and the CMA. We estimate prices for homes in Mesa Ridge will average about \$180,000 (2004 dollars).

We have prepared estimates of a worst-case build-out scenario. The worst case is based on the assumption that countywide single family production would drop by $50 \%$ and Mesa Ridge's market share would drop by $50 \%$ from the normal case projections. The worst case projections imply a rate of build out for Mesa Ridge that would take the project out past 20 years for completion.

## WORST-CASE SCENARIO PROJECTED RESIDENTIAL CONSTRUCTION MESA RIDGE

| YEARS | $\frac{\text { UNITS }}{230}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $2004-2008$ | 250 |

The decline in total units and market capture are assumed to run for the full duration of build-out. The scenario makes no assumptions about the timing or severity of any market cycle downturn or the timing of any shift in market capture by the project. As history shows, a market cycle induced worst case could be more severe, but not last over the full 15 year forecast horizon.

However, competition-induced worst case could affect market share for the full term of the projection period. Our generic worst case averages the downside over the whole projection horizon and includes assumed impacts from a market cycle disturbance and from assumed increased competition for market. In effect it is the "worst" of the worst cases.

## Shopping Center Market Findings and Projections

The Mesa Ridge shopping center and commercial site is located on the east side of future Powers Boulevard just south of Mesa Ridge Parkway. The parcel is 28.1 acres in size.

At a floor area ratio of $20 \%$ the 28.1 acres will accommodate about 240,000 square feet of space. This is the size of a neighborhood shopping center and surrounding related commercial uses. A supermarket and a drug store typically anchor neighborhood shopping centers. They generally serve a three-mile trade area with a population of about 25,000 to 35,000 . (Attachment 7)

Our research into the shopping center market included conducting a survey of shopping centers in the Mesa Ridge 3-mile trade area. There is a small convenience store on Fontaine and Fountain Mesa. The Markets at Mesa Ridge shopping center located at Mesa Ridge Parkway and Fountain Mesa Road is just on the edge of the 3 -mile trade area.

The Markets at Mesa Ridge is a neighborhood center targeting the convenience shopping needs for residents of the Fountain Valley area. The center was built over the past three years and some more build-out is expected in the future. A 58,000 square foot Safeway supermarket and a 3,040 square foot $7-11$ conven-
ience store anchor the Markets at Mesa Ridge. The center has a total of 34,200 square feet of in line space and a 15,600 square foot medical building. An estimated 10,000 square feet of in-line space in the center is currently vacant. A 20,000 square foot Walgreen store recently finished construction.

The 3-mile Mesa Ridge trade area has an estimated 2004 population of about 25,600. Most of this population is located northwest in Widefield and southwest in Fountain. With significant residential construction expected in neighboring subdivisions, such as the Glen at Widefield, Lorson Ranch and in Mesa Ridge, we believe the market base to support a shopping center will emerge in the future. Projections of residential construction indicate that population in the trade area will total about 41,200 in approximately 10 years. We believe that when this population threshold is reached, a center will become feasible.

PROJECTED CONSTRUCTION OF SHOPPINC CENTER SPACE MESA RIDGE


SQUARE FEET
0
240,000

Value per square foot for shopping center and commercial development is estimated to be about $\$ 130$ per finished square foot including land. This figure is based on construction costs for similar projects built in El Paso County over the past several years.

We have also prepared a worst-case projection for shopping center build-out. It is based on the same assumptions used for the worst case residential build-out projection of a $50 \%$ drop in new home construction and a $50 \%$ drop in Mesa Ridge market capture.

## WORST-CASE SCENARIO <br> PROJECTED CONSTRUCTION OF SHOPPINC CENTER SPACE MESA RIDGE

| YEARS | SQUARE FEET |
| :---: | :---: |
| $2004-2008$ | 0 |
| $2009-2013$ | 0 |

Because of very slow residential construction, the worst case shows no construction of shopping center or commercial space over the next 20 years.
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## Thatill 1 <br> 




Source: Lennar Communities Colorado

## Attachment 2



Residential Construction (Units)
El Paso County, 1960-2023

| Year | Total <br> Residential <br> Units | Year | Total <br> Residential <br> Units |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1960 | 894 | 1982 | 4,084 |
| 1961 | 1,137 | 1983 | 10,676 |
| 1962 | 2,249 | 1984 | 9,304 |
| 1963 | 2,085 | 1985 | 6,562 |
| 1964 | 2,158 | 1986 | $6 ; 429$ |
| 1965 | 2,159 | 1987 | 2,745 |
| 1966 | 1,803 | 1988 | 1,568 |
| 1967 | 3,881 | 1989 | 877 |
| 1968 | 4,321 | 1990 | 1,049 |
| 1969 | 3,897 | 1991 | 1,232 |
| 1970 | 4,904 | 1992 | 2,855 |
| 1971 | 8,481 | 1993 | 3,710 |
| 1972 | 9,448 | 1994 | 3,655 |
| 1973 | 6,685 | 1995 | 4,758 |
| 1974 | 876 | 1996 | 5,326 |
| 1975 | 847 | 1997 | 4,807 |
| 1976 | 1,275 | 1998 | 5,214 |
| 1977 | 2,479 | 1999 | 5,941 |
| 1978 | 3,449 | 2000 | 6,286 |
| 1979 | 3,326 | 2001 | 7,111 |
| 1980 | 2,716 | 2002 | 6,813 |
| 1981 | 2,737 | 2003 | 5,302 |

Source: Regional Building Department 1960-2003.
Projections for 2004-2023 are from David Samberger \& Associates

## Attachment 3

Residential Construction (Units)
Powers Corridor, 1998-2004 (1st Qtr)

| Single Family Units |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Year | El Paso <br> County. | Powers <br> Corridor <br> Zip 80922 | Powers <br> Corridor <br> Share |  |
| 1998 | 4,016 | 613 | $15.3 \%$ |  |
| 1999 | 4,366 | 760 | $17.4 \%$ |  |
| 2000 | 4,675 | 870 | $18.6 \%$ |  |
| 2001 | 4,925 | 922 | $18.7 \%$ |  |
| 2002 | 4,466 | 1,182 | $26.5 \%$ |  |
| 2003 | 4,356 | 1,324 | $30.4 \%$ |  |
| 2004 (1st Qtr) | 1,158 | 412 | $35.6 \%$ |  |
| Total | 27,962 | 6,083 | $21.8 \%$ |  |


| Multi-Family Units |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | El Paso County | Powers Corridor Zip 80922 | Powers Corridor Share |
| 1998 | 1,198 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| 1999 | 1,575 | 96 | 6.1\% |
| 2000 | 1.611 | 175 | 10.9\% |
| 2001 | 2,186 | 181 | 8.3\% |
| 2002 | 2,347 | 517 | 22.0\% |
| 2003 | 946 | 148 | 15.6\% |
| 2004 (1st Qtr) | 220 | 34 | 15.5\% |
| Total | 10,083 | 1,151 | 11.4\% |


| Total Residential Units |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Year | El Paso <br> County | Powers <br> Corridor <br> Zip 80922 | Powers <br> Corridor <br> Share |
| 1998 | 5,214 | 613 | $11.8 \%$ |
| 1999 | 5,941 | 856 | $14.4 \%$ |
| 2000 | 6,286 | 1,045 | $16.6 \%$ |
| 2001 | 7,111 | 1,103 | $15.5 \%$ |
| 2002 | 6,813 | 1,699 | $24.9 \%$ |
| 2003 | 5,302 | 1,472 | $27.8 \%$ |
| $2004(1$ st Qtr) | 1,378 | 446 | $32.4 \%$ |
| Total | 38,045 | 7,234 | $19.0 \%$ |

Source: Regional Building Department and David
Bamberger \& Associates

Pricing - Active Residential Projects - Powers Corridor
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| Active Residential Projects $\quad \because$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Powers Corridor, February 2004 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PROIECT NAME | BUILDER |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AASTERTLANIPUD | PROIEC'T NAME | BUILDER | OPEN DATE | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PROJ } \\ & \text { TYPE } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { UNITS } \\ & \text { PLND } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YTD/PTD } \\ & \text { SOLD } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YTD/PTD } \\ & \text { SALES RATE } \end{aligned}$ | PRICE RANGE | SQFT RANGE | PRSQFT RANGE | $\begin{gathered} 2004 \\ \text { MINLDT } \\ \hline \text { SIZE } \end{gathered}$ |
| NDIGO RANCII | INDIGO RANCH/RIDGEVIEW | Classic Homes | 04/15/2002 | Detached | 133 | $7 / 74$ | $3.50 / 3.28$ | \$213,000-\$336,000 | 1,610-3,169 | \$79.20-5154.26 | $\frac{7,549}{4}$ |
| NUIGO RANCII | INDIGO RANCH/STETSON RIDGE | Classic Homes | 02/15/2002 | Detached | 202 | 15/176 | 7.50/7.18 | \$133,500-5230;500 | 855-2,302 | \$90.79-\$156.14 | 5,207 |
|  |  | INDIGO RANCII - Summary |  |  | 335 | $22 / 250$ | $5.50 / 5.32$ | S208,182 | 1,895 | S114.02 | 6,378 |
| vo | bluffs at spring Creek (the) | Pulle Homes | 01/17/2004 | Altached | 360 | $5 / 5$ | $3.37 / 3.37$ | \$120,995-\$145,995 | 1,040-1,360 | \$107.35-5116.34 | 0 |
| Vo) | Prongliorn meadows | Hallmark Building Company | 06/01/2003 | Detached | 48 | 5/12 | 2.50/1.33 | \$155,450-\$233,950 | 968-2,394 | \$96.89-\$176.60 | 6,700 |
| vo | SII:TSON IIILLS/WILLIOWIND/GENDRON | Gendron Homes | 05/15/2001 | Delached | 75 | $2 / 71$ | $1.00 / 2.12$ | \$147,000-\$240,995 | 1,005-2,228 | \$102.02-\$146.27 | 5,500 |
| vo | STETSON/WILLOWIND/HOWARD | Howard \& Company | 08/15/2001 | Detached | 55 | 3/45 | $1.50 / 1.47$ | \$165,000-\$194,500 | 1,035 - 1,780 | \$109.27-\$162.32 | 6,000 |
|  |  | NO - Summary |  |  | 538 | 15/133 | $2.00 / 1.78$ | \$165,162 | 1,366 | S122:33 | 4,550 |
|  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PRONGIIOKN MEADOWS | PRONGITORN meadows/Campbell | Campbell Companies <br> Lid | 10/01/2003 | Detaclicd | 105 | $3 / 5$ | $1.50 / 1.00$ | \$212,375-\$281,895 | 1,048-2,115 \$120.04-\$202.65 |  | 6,600 |
|  |  | Pronghorn meadows - |  |  | 105 | $3 / 5$ | $1.50 / 1.00$ | \$259,808 | 2,091 | \$122.35 | 6,600 |
|  | $\square$ |  | . |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| hiogeview | RIDGEVIEW/TOWNHOMES/CANTE RDURY SERIES | E Pultc Homes | 02/26/2002 | Allached | 310 | 4/135 | $2.00 / 5.60$ | \$142,995-\$167,995 | 1,173-1.564 | \$106.13-\$121.91 | 1,60 |
| ridgeview | RIDGEVIEW NORTH AT STETSON HILLS | Richmond American Homes | 07/15/2001 | Detached | 151 | 5/122 | $2.50 / 3.87$ | \$141,995-\$194,995 | 1,083-2,040 | \$95.59-\$131.11 | 4,000 |
| ridgeview | RIDGEVIEW/CANTERBURY serues | Pute Homes | 06/01/2002 | Detached | 420 | 53/396 | 26.50/18.86 | \$144,995-\$179,995 | 1,029-1,403 | \$124.19-\$147.47 | 4,500 |
| rimgiview | RIDGEVIEW/MAYFAIR/NEWTOW N COLLECTION | Jolin Laing Homes | 07/07/2002 | Detactied | 137 | 13/114 | $6.50 / 5.76$ | \$190,990-\$216,990 | 1,328-1,903 | \$114.03-\$143,82 | 4,200 |
| RIDGEVIEW | RIDGEVIEW/MAYFAIR/TAPESTRY CULLECTION | John Laing Homes | 07/07/2002 | Delached | 86 | .7/74 | $3.50 / 3.74$ | \$217,990-\$229,990 | 1,673-2,175 | \$105.74-\$130.30 | 5,300 |
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## Active Residential Projects
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Attachment 4

## Residential Construction (Units) Competitive Market Area (CMA) Share of El Paso County Market, 1998-2004 (1st Qtr)

| Single Family Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Competitive Market Area |  |  |  | Total CMA | El Paso County | CMA <br> Share |
|  | 80916 | 80925 | 80817 | 80911 |  |  |  |
| 1998 | 131 | 119 | 197 | 167 | 614 | 4,016 | 15.3\% |
| 1999 | 109 | 56 | 274 | 214 | 653 | 4,366 | 15.0\% |
| 2000 | 88 | 69 | 258 | 242 | 657 | 4,675 | 14.1\% |
| 2001 | 208 | 19 | 339 | 297 | 863 | 4,925 | 17.5\% |
| 2002 | 203 | 99 | 308 | 148 | 758 | 4.466 | 17.0\% |
| 2003 | 133 | 115 | 332 | 62 | 642 | 4.356 | 14.7\% |
| 2004 (1stQ) | 33 | 38 | 57 | 9 | 137 | 1,158 | 11.8\% |
| Total | 872 | 477 | 1,708 | 1,130 | 4,324 | 27,962 | 15.5\% |
| Multi-Family Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Year | Competitive Market Area |  |  |  | Total CMA | El Paso County | $\begin{gathered} \text { CMA } \\ \text { Share } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 80916 | 80925 | 80817 | 80911 |  |  |  |
| 1998 | 9 | 11 | 37 | 1 | 58 | 1,198 | 4.8\% |
| 1999 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 1,575 | 0.9\% |
| 2000 | 29 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 35 | 1.611 | 2.2\% |
| 2001 | 60 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 67 | 2,186 | 3.1\% |
| 2002 | 295 | 15 | 8 | 8 | 326 | 2,347 | 13.9\% |
| 2003 | 41 | 0 | 96 | 6 | 143 | 946 | 15.1\% |
| 2004 (1stQ) | 3 | 0 | 12 | 2 | 17 | 220 | 7.7\% |
| Total | 448 | 26 | 151 | 18 | 660 | 10,083 | 6.5\% |
| Total Residential Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Year | Competitive Market Area |  |  |  | Total CMA | El Paso County | CMA <br> Share |
|  | 80916 | 80925 | 80817 | 80911 |  |  |  |
| 1998 | 140 | 130 | 234 | 168 | 672 | 5,214 | 12.9\% |
| 1999 | 123 | 56 | 274 | 214 | 667 | 5,941 | 11.2\% |
| 2000 | 117 | 69 | 264 | 242 | 692 | 6,286 | 11.0\% |
| 2001 | 268 | 19 | 343 | 300 | 930 | 7,111 | 13.1\% |
| 2002 | 498 | 114 | 316 | 156 | 1,084 | 6,813 | 15.9\% |
| 2003 | 174 | 115 | 428 | 68 | 785 | 5,302 | 14.8\% |
| 2004 (1stQ) | 36 | 38 | 69 | 11 | 154 | 1,378 | 11.2\% |
| Total | 1.320 | 503 | 1,859 | 1,148 | 4,984 | 38,045 | 13.1\% |

[^2],
Pricing - Active Residential Projects - Competitive Market Area
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New Home Masterplan / PUD Report
04/06/2004

| SSTERTAN/PUD PROJECT NAME_ New Home Masterplan / PUD Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ISTERPIAN/PUD | PIROJECT NAME | BUILDER | OPEN DATE | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PROJ } \\ & \text { TYPE } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { UNITS } \\ & \text { PLND } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YTD/PTD } \\ & \text { SOLD } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YTD/PTD } \\ & \text { SALES RATE } \end{aligned}$ | PRICE RANGE | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{SQFT} \\ & \text { RANGE } \end{aligned}$ | PRSQRIT RANGE |  |
| ller's crossing | MILLERS CROSSING/HARMONY HOMES | Harmony Homes | 03/15/2001 | Delached | 41 | $0 / 41$ | $0.00 / 1.60$ | \$159,495-\$192,950 | 1,357-1,890 | \$97.58-\$118.65 | ${ }_{4,000}+$ |
|  |  | MILLER'S CROSSING - Summary |  |  | 70 | 1/70 | 0.34 / 1.46 | \$157,500 | $\therefore 1,643$ | $\$ 95.86$ | $4,400$ |
| , | Windmill creek | Sundance Builders | 05/15/2002 | Allached | 30 | 1/18 | $0.50 / 0.84$ | \$160,800-\$162,900 | 1,156-1,216 | \$133.96-\$140.48 | 3,000 |
| ) | COTRONWOOD MEADOWS/GOLD $\&$ SIL.VER | Creekstone Homes | 09/30/2001 | Detached | 43 | $9 / 37$ | $4.50 / 1.27$ | \$169,900-\$279,995 | 1,078-2,930 | \$94.88-\$167.56 | 12,236 |
| 1 | country crossing at tile: POINTE | Capital Pacific Homes | 01/01/2000 | Delached | 58 | 0/58 | $0.00 / 1.38$ | \$162,990-\$189,990 | 1,260-1,706 | \$111.37-\$129.36 | 5,800 |
| , | CROSS CREEK/ENGLE HOMES | Engle Homes | 10/05/2003 | Detached | 250 | $2 / 4$ | 1.00/0.82 | \$153,950-\$201,950 | 1,080-2,075 | \$97.33-\$142.55 | 5,100 |
| , | WINIDMILL MESA/CLASSIC HOMES | Classic Homes | 01/15/2002 | Detached | 126 | 0/126 | 0.00/7.18 | \$141,500-\$202,500 | 855-2,299 | \$88.08-\$165.50 | 6,400 |
|  |  |  | - Summary |  | 507 | $12 / 243$ | 1.51/2.11 | \$207,999 | 1,714 | \$124.76 | 6,507 |
| ND CREL:K IMMONS | SAND CRIEEK COMMONS/PHASE 3 | 3 Harmony Homes | 09/15/1999 | Allached | 188 | 8/176 | 4.00/3.29 | \$102,700-\$129,846 | 960-1,350 | \$91.12-\$118.11 | 0 |
|  |  | SAND CREEK COMMONS - |  |  | 188 | 8/176 | $4.00 / 3.29$ | \$125,902 | 1,206 | \$104.58 | 0 |
| aring englles | SOARING EAGI.ES/CLASSIC homes | Classic Homes | 03/15/2001 | Detached | 352 | 18/239 | $9.00 / 6.72$ | \$150,250-\$229,000 | 855-2,302 | \$93.51-\$175.73 | 5,500 |
|  |  | SOARING EAGLES - Summary |  |  | 352 | 18/239 | $9.00 / 6.72$ | \$191,042 | 1,640 | \$117.38 | 5,500 |
| indise terrace | SUNIISE TERRACE/FILING \#2 | Legendary Homes | 09/15/2000 | Detached | 51 | 3/25 | $1.50 / 0.60$ | \$164,900-\$193,900 | 1,075-1,926 | \$99.12-5153.40 | 6,210 |
|  |  | SUNRISE TERRACE - Summary |  |  | 51 | 3/25 | $1.50 / 0.60$ | S174,233 | 1,318 | \$133.25 | 6,210 |
| NDMILL MESA | WINDMILL MESA | Campbell Companies Lid | 01/15/2001 | Detached | 75 | 3/68 | $1.50 / 1.81$ | \$184,115-\$238,275 | 1,048-2,138 | \$106.19-\$175.68 | 6,000 |
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New Home Masterplan / PUD Report

| STERPLAN/PUD PROJECT NAME EUULDER New Home Masterplan / PUD Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 04/06/2004 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\vdots$ DATE | TYPE | PLND | SOLD | SALES RATE | PRICE RANGE | SQFT RANGE | PKSSQFT RANGE | $\begin{gathered} \text { MINLOT } \\ : \text { SLZE } \end{gathered}$ |
|  | WINDMILL MESA - Summary |  | 75 | $3 / 68$ | 1.50/1.81 | \$211,155 | 1.742 | \$121.18 | 6,060 |

AIIS/AVERAGES: Nimber of Projects: 22 / Number of Plans: 247
rch Paramelers:
ilom Geagraphy: Colurado Springs, CO; ; Zip Code: 80817, 80911, 80916, 80925;

## Attachment 5



Source: Regional Building Department. Projections from David Bamberger \& Associates.
Five-year Moving Totals
Residential Construction (Units)
El Paso County, 1960-2014

| Year | Total <br> Residential <br> Units | Year | Total <br> Residential <br> Units |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1964 | 8,523 | 1985 | 33,363 |
| 1965 | 9,788 | 1986 | 37,055 |
| 1966 | 10,454 | 1987 | 35,716 |
| 1967 | 12,086 | 1988 | 26,608 |
| 1968 | 14,322 | 1989 | 18,181 |
| 1969 | 16,061 | 1990 | 12,668 |
| 1970 | 18,806 | 1991 | 7,471 |
| 1971 | 25,484 | 1992 | 7,581 |
| 1972 | 31,051 | 1993 | 9,723 |
| 1973 | 33,415 | 1994 | 12,501 |
| 1974 | 30,394 | 1995 | 16,210 |
| 1975 | 26,337 | 1996 | 20,304 |
| 1976 | 19,131 | 1997 | 22,256 |
| 1977 | 12,162 | 1998 | 23,760 |
| 1978 | 8,926 | 1999 | 26,046 |
| 1979 | 11,376 | 2000 | 27,574 |
| 1980 | 13,245 | 2001 | 29,359 |
| 1981 | 14,707 | 2002 | 31,365 |
| 1982 | 16,312 | 2003 | 31,453 |
| 1983 | 23,539 | $2004-2008$ | 27,800 |
| 1984 | 29,517 | $2009-2013$ | 28,900 |

Source: Regional Building Department 1960-2003.
Projections for 2004-2014 are from David Bamberger \&
Associates

## Attachment 6



## Attachment 7

| Type Of Center | Store Mix | Trade Area | Population in Trade Area | Typical Size (Acres) | Typical Size (Sq. Ft.) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Convenience Center | Convenience store | 2 miles + roadway traffic capture | 5,000 + heavy roadway traffic | 2 | 17,000 |
| Neighborhood Center | Supermarket, drug store | 3 miles | 25,000 | 17 | 148,000 |
| Community Center | Supermarket, drug store, general merchandise discount store | $3-6$ miles | 40,000 | 40 | 348,000 |
| Regional Center |  | 5-15 miles | 150,000 | 92 | 802,000 |
| Super Regional Center | Full-line department stores | 5-25 miles | 250,000 | 230 | 2,004,000 |
| Lifestyle / Fashion / Specialty Center | Fashion 1 specialty stores | 5-15 miles | 250,000 | 29 | 253,000 |
| Power Center | Category killer stores, warehouse club, general merchandise discount store | 5-10 miles | 150,000 | 80 | 697,000 |
| Theme / Festival Center | Restaurants, specialty stores, entertainment | 25 miles + | High tourist/ visitor traffic | 29 | 253,000 |
| Outlet Ceniter | Factory outlet stores | 25-75 miles | 500,000 |  | 401,000 |

Source: International Council of Shopping Centers and David Bamberger \& Associates survey.



Mesa Ridge 3-mile Trade Area Neighborhood Shopping Center Needs Analysis

| Year : | 2004 | 2008 | 2013 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Population : | 25,624 | 33,900 | 41,200 |
| Households | 8,450 | 11,190 | 13,600 |
| Per capita income | $\$ 19,644$ | $\$ 22,800$ | $\$ 26,400$ |
| Total income: | $\$ 503,357,856$ | $\$ 772,920,000$ | $\$ 1,087,680,000$ |
| Convenience retail spending as a \% of income | $20 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $20 \%$ |
| Total retail spending | $\$ 100,671,571$ | $\$ 154,584,000$ | $\$ 217,536,000$ |
| Neighborhood shopping center sales per square foot | $\$ 300$ | $\$ 331$ | $\$ 331$ |
| Convenience retail square feet of space supported | 335,600 | 466,700 | 656,800 |
| Existing space in the trade area (estimated) | 150,000 | 150,000 | 150,000 |
| Net space needed | 185,600 | 316,700 | 506,800 |
| Potential capture at the site | $0 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $50 \%$ |
| Total site capture square feet | 0 | 31,700 | 253,400 |

Source: David Bamberger \& Associates and Claritas
Note: Potential capture is based on the site's proximity to households and projected trafic counts on Powers Blvd. and Mesa Ridge Parkway.

## CROSS CREEK AT MESA RIDGE SKETCH PLAN June 8, 2004

# Mesa Ridge Metropolitan Districts <br> Forecasted Statement of Sources and Uses of Cash <br> For the Years Ending December 31, 2005 through 2039 

To the Petitioners of the Proposed Mesa Ridge Metropolitan Districts Colorado Springs, Colorado

We have compiled the accompanying forecasted statements of sources and uses of cash of the proposed Mesa Ridge Metropolitan Districts (Exhibit I), the related projected debt service schedules (Exhibit II to V ) and the analysis of absorption and assessed values (Exhibit V) for the years ending December 31, 2005 through 2039, in accordance with standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants.

A compilation is limited to presenting in the form of a forecast information that is the representation of management and does not include evaluation of the support for the assumptions underlying the forecast. We have not examined the forecast and, accordingly, do not express an opinion or any other form of assurance on the accompanying statements or assumptions. Furthermore, there will usually be differences between the forecasted and actual results, because events and circumstances frequently do not occur as expected, and those differences may be material. We have no responsibility to update this report for events and circumstances occurring after the date of this report.

## PRELIMINARY DRAFT.... SUBJECT TO CHANGE

June 17, 2004

Mesa Ridge Metropolitan Districts<br>Summary of Significant Assumptions and Accounting Policies<br>December 31, 2005 through 2039

The foregoing forecast presents, to the best of the Developer's knowledge and belief, the expected cash receipts and disbursements for the forecast period. Accordingly, the forecast reflects its judgement as of June 17, 2004. The assumptions disclosed herein are those that management believes are significant to the forecast. There will usually be differences between the forecasted and actual results, because events and circumstances frequently do not occur as expected, and those differences may be material.

The purpose of this forecast is to show the amount of funds available for the future construction of infrastructure within the Districts by the issuance of general obligation bonds and subordinate developer advances and the anticipated funds available for repayment of the bonds and advances.

## Note 1: Ad Valorem Taxes

The primary source of revenue for the District will be the collection of ad valorem taxes. Residential property is forecasted to be assessed at $7.96 \%$ of market values. Market values for 1,817 residential homes are estimated to range from $\$ 120,000$ to $\$ 200,000$ as of 2005. 28 acres of commercial property is estimated to have a market value of $\$ 130$ per usable square foot. Commercial property is estimated to be assessed at $29 \%$ of market values. Market values are forecasted to inflate at $2 \%$ per year. All property is assumed to inflate at $2 \%$ biennially thereafter. Exhibit V details the forecasted absorption, market values and related assessed values.

Property is assumed to be assessed annually as of January 1st. Property included in this forecast is assumed to be assessed on the January $1^{51}$ subsequent to completion. The forecast recognizes the related property taxes as revenue in the subsequent year.

The County Treasurer currently charges a $1.5 \%$ fee for the collection of property taxes. These charges are reflected in the accompanying forecast as tax collection fees.

The forecast assumes that Specific Ownership Taxes collected on motor vehicle registrations will be 10\% of property taxes collected.

The mill levy imposed by the District is proposed to equal 7.000 mills for operations and 30.000 mills for debt service for a total mill levy of 37.000 mills.

Note 2: Interest Income
Interest income is assumed to be earned at 2.0\% per annum. Interest income is based on the year's beginning cash balance and an estimate of the timing of the receipt of revenues and the outflow of disbursements during the course of the year.

Mesa Ridge Metropolitan Districts<br>Summary of Significant Assumptions and Accounting Policies<br>December 31, 2005 through 2039

## Note 3: Bond Assumptions

The Districts proposes the issuance of general obligation bonds totaling $\$ 16,100,000$ in 2005 and 2010. The bonds will have a maturity of 30 years from the date of issuance. The Series 2005 bonds are proposed to carry a coupon rate of $7.00 \%$ while the Series 2010 bonds are estimated to have a coupon of $6.50 \%$. It is estimated that $\$ 1,274,000$ of the proceeds from the Series 2005 bonds will be available for capitalized interest. The Series 2005 bonds may be owned by the developer or entities affiliated with the developer and are estimated to be refunded at par with a $3 \%$ redemption premium in 2009 at a new rate of $6.5 \%$. Exhibits II through IV reflect the proposed repayment schedule of these bonds. The Bonds are anticipated to be secured by a limited mill levy not to exceed 50.000 mills ladjusted for changes in the ratio of assessed values to market values). The bonds are anticipated to be further secured by a $\$ 1,500$ development fee imposed on residential lot and a $\$ 2,500$ fee on each acre of commercial property. Exhibit $V$ reflects amounts anticipated to be collected per an anticipated agreement with the developer and the Districts. The following table reflects the proposed sources and uses of funds for each bond issue.

Sources:
Series 2005 Series 2010 Series 2009
Bond Proceeds

$$
\$ 9,100,000 \quad \$ 7,000,000 \quad \$ 9,270,000
$$

Uses:

| Issuance costs | 90,000 | 175,000 | 231,750 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capitalized interest | $1,274,000$ |  |  |
| Available for improvements | $7,736,000$ |  |  |
| Refund Series 2005 |  |  | $9,040,000$ |
| Other funds |  |  | $-1,750$ |
| Repay developer advances | . | $6,825,000$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | $\$ 9,100,000$ | $\$ 7,000,000$ | $\$ 9,270,000$ |

## Note 4: Construction Costs

Construction costs are forecasted to total $\$ 22,654,000$ and are forecasted to be paid from 2005 through 2010. A significant portion of the construction costs totaling $\$ 7,944,000$ are forecasted not to be supported by bonds or developer owned bonds or loans. It is forecasted that these amounts will be contributed to the Districts by the developer.

## Mesa Ridge Metropolitan Districts

Summary of Significant Assumptions and Accounting Policies
December 31, 2005 through 2039

## Note 5: Operating and Administrative Expenses

Administrative expenses for legal, accounting, audit, management, insurance and landscape maintenance are forecasted at $\$ 50,000$ in $2005, \$ 75,000$ for 2006 through 2009 and $\$ 200,000$ thereafter. Inflation is provided for operating and administrative expenses at $2 \%$ per year commencing in 2006. Operating expenses incurred prior to the collection of ad-valorem taxes are expected to be funded by developer advances totaling $\$ 375,966$. The forecast reflects that developer advances can be repaid commencing in 2012 through 2018 without interest.


Exhibit






| Eesa Ridge Metropolitan Districts Scheduk of General Obligation Debt - Series 2005 For the Years Ended Decembar 31, 2005 to 2009 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Annual | Balance |
| Year | Princioal | Coupon | Interess | Toial | 9,100,000 |
| 2005 |  |  | 318.500 | 318,500 | 9,100,000 |
| 2006 |  |  | 318,500 |  | 9,100,000 |
| 2006 | 10,000 | 7.00\% | 318,500 | 647,000 | 9.090,000 |
| 2007 |  |  | 318,150 |  | 9,090,000 |
| 2007 | 20,000 | 7.00\% | 318,150 | 656,300 | 9.070,000 |
| 2008 |  |  | 317.450 |  | 9,070,000 |
| 2008 | 30,000 | 7.00\% | 317.450 | 664,900 | 9,040,000 |
| 2009 |  |  | 316,400 |  | 9,040,000 |
| 2009 | 9,040,000 | 7.00\% | 316.400 | 9,672,800 | 0 |
| 2010 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| 2010 |  | 7.00\% | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2011 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| 2011 |  | 7.00\% | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2012 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| 2012 |  | 7.00\% | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2013 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| 2013 |  | 7.00\% | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2014 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| 2014 |  | 7.00\% | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2015 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| 2015 |  | 7.00\% | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2016 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| 2016 |  | 7.00\% | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2017 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| 2017 |  | 7.00\% | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2018 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| 2018 |  | 7.00\% | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2019 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| 2019 |  | 7.00\% | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2020 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| 2020 |  | 7.00\% | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2021 |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 2021 |  | 7.00\% | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2022 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| 2022 |  | 7.00\% | 0 | 0 | $\cdots 0$ |
| 2023 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| 2023 |  | 7.00\% | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2024 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| 2024 |  | 7.00\% | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2025 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| 2025 |  | 7.00\% | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2025 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| 2026 |  | 7.00\% | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2027 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| 2027 |  | 7.00\% | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2028 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| 2028 |  | 7.00\% | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2029 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| 2029 |  | 7.00\% | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2030 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| 2030 |  | $7.00 \%$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2031 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| 2031 |  | 7.00\% | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2032 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| 2032 |  | 7.00\% | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2033 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| 2033 |  | 7.00\% | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2034 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| 2034 |  | 7.00\% | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2035 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| 2035 | - .- | 7.00\% | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 9.000.000 |  | 2.859 .500 | 959.500 |  |


| Hesz Ridge Metropolitan Districts Schedule af General Obligatian Detr - Series 2009 Far the Years Ended December 31, 2009 to 2038 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Annual | Balance |
| Year | Principal | Coupan | Interest | Iotal | 9,270,000 |
| 2010 |  |  | 301,275 |  | 9,270,000 |
| 2010 | 115.000 | 6.50\% | 301,275 | 717,550 | 9,155,000 |
| 2011 |  |  | 297,538 |  | 9,155,000 |
| 2011 | 125,000 | 6.50\% | 297,538 | 720,075 | 9,030,000 |
| 2012 |  |  | 293,475 |  | 9.030,000 |
| 2012 | 130,000 | 6.50\% | 293,475 | 716,950 | 8.900,000 |
| 2013 |  |  | 289,250 |  | 8,500,000 |
| 2013 | 140,000 | 6.50\% | 289,250 | 718,500 | $8.760,000$ |
| 2014 |  |  | 284,700 |  | 8,760,000 |
| 2014 | 150,000 | 6.50\% | 284,700 | 719,400 | 8,610,000 |
| 2015 |  |  | 279,825 |  | 8,610,000 |
| 2015 | 180,000 | 6.50\% | 279,825 | 719.650 | 8,450,000 |
| 2016 |  |  | 274,625 |  | 8,450,000 |
| 2016 | 170,000 | 6.50\% | 274,625 | 719,250 | 8.280,000 |
| 2017 |  |  | 269,100 |  | 8,280,000 |
| 2017 | 180.000 | 6.50\% | 269,100 | 718,200 | 8,100,000 |
| 2018 |  |  | 263.250 |  | 8,700,000 |
| 2018 | 190.000 | 6.50\% | 263,250 | 716,500 | 7,910,000 |
| 2019 |  |  | 257,075 |  | 7,910,000 |
| 2019 | 205,000 | 6.50\% | 257,075 | 719,150 | 7,705,000 |
| 2020 |  |  | 250,413 |  | 7,705,000 |
| 2020 | 220:000 | 6.50\% | 250,413 | 720.825 | 7,485,000 |
| 2021 |  |  | 243,263 |  | 7,485,000 |
| 2021 | 230,000 | 6.50\% | 243,263 | 716.525 | 7.255,000 |
| 2022 |  |  | 235,788 |  | 7.255,000 |
| 2022 | 245.000 | 6.50\% | 235,788 | 716,575 | 7,010,000 |
| 2023 |  |  | 227,825 |  | 7,010,000 |
| 2023 | 265,000 | 6.50\% | 227,825 | 720,650 | 6,745,000 |
| 2024 |  |  | 219,213 |  | 6,745,000 |
| 2024 | 280.000 | 6.50\% | 219,213 | 718,425 | 6,465,000 |
| 2025 |  |  | 210,113 |  | 6.465,000 |
| 2025 | 300,000 | 6.50\% | 210,113 | 720,225 | 6,165,000 |
| 2926 |  |  | 200.363 |  | 6,165,000 |
| 2026 | 320.000 | 6.50\% | 200,363 | 720.725 | 5,845,000 |
| 2027 |  |  | 189,963 |  | 5,845,000 |
| 2027 | 340,000 | 6.50\% | 189,963 | 719,925 | 5,505,000 |
| 2028 |  |  | 178,913 |  | 5,505,000 |
| 2028 | 360,000 | 6.50\% | 178.913 | 717.825 | 5,145,000 |
| 2029 |  |  | 167.213 |  | 5,145,000 |
| 2029 | 385.000 | 6.50\% | 167.213 | 719,425 | 4,760,000 |
| 2030 |  |  | 154,700 |  | 4,760,000 |
| 2030 | 410,000 | 6.50\% | 154.700 | 719,400 | 4,350,000 |
| 2031 |  |  | 141,375 |  | 4,350,000 |
| 2031 | 435.000 | 6.50\% | 141,375 | 717,750 | 3,915,000 |
| 2032 |  |  | 127.238 |  | 3,915,000 |
| 2032 | 465,000 | 6.50\% | 127.238 | 719.475 | 3,450,000 |
| 2033 |  |  | 112.125 |  | 3,450,000 |
| 2033 | 495.000 | 6.50\% | 112.125 | 719.250 | 2.955 .000 |
| 2034 |  |  | 96,038 |  | 2,955,000 |
| 2034 | 525.000 | 6.50\% | 96,038 | 717,075 | 2.430 .000 |
| 2035 |  |  | 79,975 |  | 2,430.000 |
| 2035 | 500.000 | 6.50\% | 78.975 | 717,950 | 1,870,000 |
| 2036 |  |  | 60,775 |  | 1,870,000 |
| 2036 | 595.000 | 6.50\% | 60.775 | 716.550 | 1,275.000 |
| 2037 |  |  | +1,438 |  | 1,275,000 |
| 2037 | ¢35.300 | 6.50\% | 4.438 | 717,875 | 640.000 |
| 2038 |  |  | 20,300 |  | 640,000 |
| 2038 | 620.000 | 6.50\% | -. 20.360 | 681.600 | 0 |
|  | 270.000, |  | 1.523 .275 | 309.275 |  |


| Resa Ridge Metropolitan Districts <br> Scheduk of General Obligation Debt - Series 2010 <br> For the Years Ended December 31, 2010 to 2039 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Annual |  |
| Year | Pringiga! | Coupon | Interest | Toral | 7,000,000 |
| 2010 |  |  | 227,500 | 227,500 | 7,000,000 |
| 2011 |  |  | 227,500 |  | 7,000,000 |
| 2011 |  |  | 227,500 | 455,000 | 7,000,000 |
| 2012 |  |  | 227,500 |  | 7,000,000 |
| 2012 | 90,000 | 6.50\% | 227,500 | 545,000 | 6,910,000 |
| 2013 |  |  | 224,575 |  | 6.910,000 |
| 2013 | 95,000 | 6.50\% | 224.575 | 544,150 | 6,815,000 |
| 2014 |  |  | 221,488 |  | 6,815,000 |
| 2014 | 105,000 | 6.50\% | 221,488 | 547.975 | $6.710,000$ |
| 2015 |  |  | 218.075 |  | 5.710,000 |
| 2015 | 110,000 | 6.50\% | 218,075 | 546.150 | 0,600.000 |
| 2016 |  |  | 214,500 |  | 6,600,000 |
| 2016 | . 115,000 | 6.50\% | 214,500 | 544,000 | 6,485,000 |
| 2017 |  |  | 210,763 |  | 6,485,000 |
| 2017 | 125,000 | 6.50\% | 210,763 | 546;525 | 6,360,000 |
| 2018 |  |  | 206.700 |  | 6.360,000 |
| 2018 | 135,000 | 6.50\% | 206.700 | 548,400 | 6,225,000 |
| 2019 |  |  | 202,313 |  | 6,225,000 |
| 2019 | 140,000 | 6.50\% | 202.313 | 544,625 | 6,085,000 |
| 2020 |  |  | 197,763 |  | 6,085,000 |
| 2020 | 150,000 | 6.50\% | 197.763 | 545,525 | 5,935,000 |
| 2021 |  |  | 192,888 |  | 5,935,000 |
| 2021 | i 100000 | 6.50\% | 192.888 | 545,775 | 5.775,000 |
| 2022 |  |  | 187,888 |  | 5.775,000 |
| 2022 | 170,000 | 6.50\% | 187,888 | 545,375 | 5.605,000 |
| 2023 |  |  | 182,163 |  | 5,005,000 |
| 2023 | 180,000 | 6.50\% | 182, 163 | 544,325 | 5,425;000 |
| 2024 |  |  | 176.313 |  | 5,425,000 |
| 2024 | 195,000 | 6.50\% | 176,313 | 547,625 | 5,230,000 |
| 2025 |  |  | 169,975 |  | 5,230,000 |
| 2025 | 205,000 | 6.50\% | 169,975 | 544,950 | 5,025,000 |
| 2026 |  |  | 163,313 |  | 5,025,000 |
| 2026 | 220,000 | 6.50\% | 163,313 | 546,625 | 4,805,000 |
| 2027 |  |  | 156.163 |  | 4,805,000 |
| 2027 | 235,000 | 6.50\% | 156, 163 | 547,325 | 4,570,000 |
| 2028 |  |  | 148,525 |  | 4,570,000 |
| 2028 | 250.000 | 6.50\% | 148,525 | 547,050 | 4,320.000 |
| 2029 |  |  | 140,400 |  | 4,320;000 |
| 2029 | 265,000 | 6.50\% | 140,400 | 545,800 | 4,055,000 |
| 2030 |  |  | 131,788 |  | 4.055,000 |
| 2030 | 285,000 | 6.50\% | 131,788 | 548,575 | 3.770,000 |
| 2031 |  |  | 122,525 |  | 3,770,000 |
| 2031 | 300.000 | 6.50\% | 122,525 | 545,050 | 3.470 .000 |
| 2032 |  |  | 112,775 |  | 3,470,000 |
| 2032 | 320,000 | 6.50\% | 112.775 | 545,550 | 3.150,000 |
| 2033 |  |  | 102,375 |  | 3,150,000 |
| 2033 | 340,000 | 6.50\% | 102.375 | 544,750 | $2.810,000$ |
| 2034 |  |  | 91.325 |  | $2.310,000$ |
| 2034. | 365,000 | 6.50\% | 91,325 | 547,650 | 2.445 .000 |
| 2035 |  |  | 79.463 |  | 2.445 .000 |
| 2035 | 385,000 | 6.50\% | 79.463 | 543,925 | 2.060 .000 |
| 2036 |  |  | 66,950 |  | 2,060,000 |
| 2036 | 410,000 | 6.50\% | 66,950 | 543,900 | 1.650,000 |
| 2037 |  |  | 53.625 |  | 1.650.000 |
| 2037 | 40,000 | $6.50 \%$ | 53, 625 | 547,250 | 1.210,000 |
| 2038 |  |  | 39,325 |  | 1.210,000 |
| 2038 | 465,000 | 6.50\% | 39,325 | 543,650 | 745.000 |
| 2039 |  |  | 24,213 |  | 745,000 |
| 2039 | 745.000 | 6.50\% | 24.213 | 793.425 | 0 |
|  | 7.000,000 |  | 9.23.425 | 6.213. 425 |  |



## CERTIFICA 1 V VALOREM PROPERTY T XES COUNTY O. 4 PASO, STATE OF COLORA $W O$

I, the undersigned, County Treasurer, certify that there are no unpaid property taxes or other assessments collectable by my office on the following described property, except as disclosed this date. This does not include assessments not of record this date.
Jichedule (Account) No: 55280-01-013
2003 TAXES PAYABLE 2004
Owner Per Tax Record: NEARON-DOYAS PROPERTIES LLC
Property Type: . Real
Property Location: $\quad C \& S R D$
Property Description: TRACT 2 EX WLY 1165.0.FTr. WLY 30.0 FT OF
TRACT 1 SUB 1 OF"FOUNTAIN "SUBURBAN HOMES CORP EX SLY 30:0-FT TO RD $\because \ldots$



Amount due valid through JUNE 30th, 2004:
$\$ \quad 0.00$
F WITNESS WHEREOF, I hereonto set my hand and seal this
-.sued to: LEMNAR COMIUNITIES COIORADO
Fee for issuing his sertíncate s $10.00 \quad$ CFC - 200406104187888

## CERTIFICATe AD VALOREM PROPERTY <br> XES <br> COUNTY O- EL PASS, STATE OF COLO <br> 0

I, the undersigned, County Treasurer, certify that there are no unpaid property taxes or other assessments collectable "by my office on the following described property, except as disclosed this date. This does not include assessments not of record this date.
Schedule (Account) No: 55280-01-005
2003 TAXES PAYABLE 2004
Owner Per Tax Record: NEARON-DOYAS PROPERTIES LLC



Amount due valid through JUNE 30th, 2004:
\$
0.00

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I hereonto set my hand and seal this
sued to: LENNAR COMMUNETIES COEORADO
Fee for issuing this ceruficate si 0.00
$C E C-200 \leq 06104187783$

9th day of JUNE A.D. 2004 Sandra J. Damion Treasurer, El Pas County


## CERTIFICA ${ }^{7}$ D VALOREM PROPERTY ${ }^{\top}$ <br> ES COUNTY OI PASS, STATE OF COLOR

I, the undersigned, County Treasurer, certify that there are no unpaid property taxes or other assessments collectable by my office on the following described property, except as disclosed this date. This does not include assessments not of record this date.
Schedule (Account) No: 55000-00-212
2003 TAXES PAYABLE 2004
Owner Per Tax Record: NEARON-DOYAS PROPERTIES LLC



Amount due valid through JUNE 30th, 2004:
\$
0.00

TN WITNESS WHEREOF, I hereonto set my hand and seal this git day of JUNE A.D. 2004 sued to: LENENAR COMMUNITIES COLORADO Sandra J. Damion Fee for issuing this certificate s1000 CFC - 200406104187638 Treasurer, El Peso County

# CERTIFICA ${ }^{*}$ D VALOREM PROPERTY T <br> ES <br> <br> COUNTY OI , PASO, STATE OF COLORA 

 <br> <br> COUNTY OI , PASO, STATE OF COLORA}

I, the undersigned, County Treasurer, certify that there are no unpaid property taxes or other assessments collectable by my office on the following described property, except as disclosed this date. This does not include assessments not of record this date.
Schedule (Account) No: 55280-01-011
2003 TAXES PAYABLE 2004
Owner Per Tax Record: NEARON-DOYAS PROPERTIES LLC
Property Type: Real
Property Location: $\quad 7850 \mathrm{C} \& \mathrm{~S}$ RD
Property Description: THAT PART OF TRACT 2 SUB 1. FOUNTAIN SUB
HOME CORP AS FOLS, COM AT SW COR OF SEC 28-15-65, TH ELY ON S LN THEREOF 165.00 >> SEE REVERSE FOR SUPP. INFORMATION <<



Balance due on 2003 taxes:

Amount due valid through JUNE 30th, 2004:
\$
0.00

TV WITNESS WHEREOF, I hereonto set my hand and seal this 9th day of JUNE A.D. 2004
Sandra J. Damron

- ued to: iennar communities colorado

Fee for issuing this certifiate s $10.00 \quad$ CFC - 200106104187509

Treasurer, El Paso County
By:Sernier \& Corneon

## CERTIFICA <br> D VALOREM PROPERTY ES COUNTY OF $\mathcal{L L}$ PASS, STATE OF COLOR $A-J$

I, the undersigned, County Treasurer, certify that there are no unpaid property taxes or other assessments collectable by my office on the following described property, except as disclosed this date. This does not include assessments not of record this date.
Schedule (Account) No: 55000-00-033
2003 TAXES PAYABLE 2004
Owner Per Tax Record: NEARON-DOYAS PROPERTIES LLC

| Property Type: | Real |
| :--- | :--- |
| Property Location: | 8570 MARKSHEFFEL RD |
| Property Description: | SW4SE4, PART SE 4SE4. WY N + W OF D + NO |
|  | R/W W/MR SEC $-28-15-65$ |



## INTERGOVERNMENTAL AGREEMENT

THIS INTERGOVERNMENTAL AGREEMENT is made and entered into and dated as of November 1, 2004, by and between Mesa Ridge Metropolitan District No. 1 ("District No. 1") and Mesa Ridge Metropolitan District No. 2 ("District No. 2"), both quasi-municipal corporations and political subdivisions of the State of Colorado.

## RECITALS

A. Pursuant to the Colorado Constitution, Article XIV, Section 18(2)(a) and Section 29-1203 , C.R.S., metropolitan districts may cooperate or contract with each other to provide any function, service or facility lawfully authorized to each, and any such contract may provide for the sharing of costs, the imposition of taxes, and the incurring of debt.
B. Consolidated Service Plans, which are incorporated herein by reference, have been prepared for the Districts, pursuant to Sections 32-1-201, et seq. C.R.S., and have received all required governmental approvals.
C. The purposes for which each District was formed are the provision of water, street, safety, television relay and translation, transportation, drainage, park and recreation, sanitation, and mosquito control facilities, programs, and services, all in accordance with the Consolidated Service Plans.
D. The Consolidated Service Plans disclose and establish the necessity for, and desirability of, intergovernmental agreements between the Districts concerning the financing, construction, operation and maintenance of facilities contemplated in the Consolidated Service Plans and concerning the provision of essential services in the community to be served by the Districts.
E. Each District was organized with the approval of the County of El Paso, State of Colorado, and with the approval of its respective electors, fully contemplating cooperation between the Districts as provided herein and in the Consolidated Service Plans.
F. At the organizational election of the eligible electors of District No. 2 duly called and held on November 2, 2004 in accordance with law and pursuant to due notice, a majority of those qualified to vote and voting at such election voted in favor of District No. 2 incurring indebtedness by entering into and performing under this Agreement.
G. The Consolidated Service Plans describe certain facilities to be financed in accordance with general plans of finance described or permitted therein, from either (1) the proceeds of general obligation indebredness to be issued by District No. 2 and/ or the pledge of ad valorem tax revenues from District :Vo. 2 and'or from other funds held or obtained by District No. 2 and to be made available for the purposes of fulfilling District ivo. 2's commitments hereunder; or (2) Facility Fees revenue derived by District No. I.
H. The Districts agree that the facilities described in the Consolidated Service Plans are needed by the Districts and that such facilities will benefit the residents and property owners in both Districts in terms of cost, quality, and level of service.
I. Each District has agreed, and the Consolidated Service Plans provide, that District No. 1 will own (subject to potential transfer to other governmental entities or authorities), operate, maintain, finance and construct facilities benefitting both Districts, and that District No. 2 will contribute to the costs of construction, operation, and maintenance of such facilities.
J. The Districts desire to set forth their agreement regarding the implementation of principles and objectives set forth in the Consolidated Service Plans for the financing and construction of the facilities described therein.

NOW, THEREFORE, for and in consideration of the premises and the mutual covenants herein, the Districts agree as follows:

## ARTICLE I - GENERAL PROVISIONS

1.1 Interpretation. This Agreement shall be subject to the following rules of interpretation:
a. The terms "herein," "hereunder," "hereby," "hereto," "hereof"and any similar term, refer to this Agreement as a whole and not to any particular article, section, or subdivision hereof; the term "heretofore" means before the date of execution of this Agreement and the term "hereafter" means after the date of execution of this Agreement.
b. All definitions, terms, and words shall include both the singular and the plural, and all capitalized words or terms shall have the definitions set forth in Section 2.1 hereof.
c. Words of the masculine gender include correlative words of the feminine and neuter genders, and words importing the singular number include the plural number and vice versa.
d. The captions or headings of this Agreement are for convenience only and in no way define. limit, or describe the scope or intent of any provision, article, or section of this Agreement.
e. All schedules, exhibits, and addenda referred to herein are incorporated herein by this reference.
1.2 Effective Date and Term. This Agreement shall be effective as of the date first set forth above and shall continue to be in full force and effect until all of the terms and conditions hereof have been performed in their entirety.
1.3 Purpose and Scope of Agreement. This Agreement shall be governed, in general, by the following provisions in this Section 1.3. The Districts agree that the statements of intention set forth in this Section 1.3 are essential to the proper interpretation of this Agreement and are intended to clarify the general intent of specific provisions contained herein. The following statements are illustrative of the Districts' intentions and while they are to be used to construe and govern this

Agreement, they are not intended to constitute an all-inclusive statement of the intentions of the Districts. Reference shall also be made to the Consolidated Service Plans for purposes of construing this Agreement.
a. The Consolidated Service Plans state that District No. 1 will be responsible for managing the construction, operation and maintenance of Facilities for the benefit of District No. 1 and District No. 2. The Consolidated Service Plans describe the nature of the relationship between the Districts and contemplate that this Agreement, among others, would be executed by the Districts to effectuate that relationship.
b. The Consolidated Service Plans state that District No. 1 will have little or no assessed valuation within its boundaries from which limited tax general obligation bonds could be paid, and consequently contemplate that District No. 1 will issue revenue bonds. The Consolidated Service Plans contemplate that virtually all assessed valuation of property to be developed within the Districts will be located within District No. 2's boundaries and that District No. 2 will either issue limited tax general obligation bonds at various points in time described herein, and use proceeds thereof to pay its obligations hereunder to District No. 1 or will pledge its ad valorem tax revenues in order to meet its obligations pursuant to this Agreement. Proceeds from District No. 1revenue bonds and Facility Fees may also be used by District No. 1 to construct Facilities for District No. 2 as described in the Consolidated Service Plans. District No. 2's funding commitment hereunder to District No. 1 is intended to result in an equitable allocation of the cost of Facilities to all properties within District No. 2 which are benefited by those Facilities.
c. The Districts recognize that amendments and adjustments to this Agreement may be necessary over time and that any increase in the monetary amount of the obligations of District No. 2 to make payments to District No. 1 or any increase in the maximum annual tax increase or the total repayment cost of the debt evidenced by this Agreement may require additional voter authorization.
d. Both Districts acknowledge that performance of this Agreement for the full term hereof is key to full implementation of the Consolidated Service Plans by the Districts and that any material departure herefrom by either District, or any attempt by either District to terminate this Agreement or materially alter its terms except in accordance herewith, by judicial action or otherwise, are acknowledged to be and shall constitute "material modifications" from the Consolidated Service Plans. In addition to all other remedies set forth herein, the aggrieved District shall be entitled to seek to enjoin any such "material modifications," in accordance with Section 32-1-207. C.R.S.. as amended from time to time.
e. The Districts agree that District No. 1 is not and shall not be considered or deemed in the future a service company, or a public utility as defined in Section 40-1-103(1)(a), C.R.S.. or as such terms are defined in any constitutional provision, statute. or law of the State of Colorado. The Districts further agree that in the event District No. 1 is ever determined by a third party to be a public utility as defined in Section $+0-1-103$ (l)(a). C.R.S., District No. 1 shall be exempt from any regulation by:the Public Litilities Commission or any other special commission, pursuant to the Colorado Constitution. Article XXV. and Article V Section 35, and Sections 32-1-1001(1) and (k). C.R.S
f. It is not the intention of District No. 1 to offer or provide service to members of the general public outside of the Districts; rather, it is District No. 1's intention to offer and provide certain services to District No. 2, District No. 1 and other governments by contract.
g. It is expressly agreed by the Districts that no person or entity other than District No. 2 shall obtain hereby any enforceable rights to service from District No. 1. It is expressly declared by the Districts that no person or entity shall be construed as a third party beneficiary of this Agreement.
h. The Districts acknowledge that District No. 1's fee and rate structure has been or shall be developed based on the actual costs to provide service. Therefore, the Districts agree not to undertake any effort to request supervision, control, or regulation of this Agreement, of either District, or of the property of either District, by the Public Utilities Commission of the State of Colorado, or any other regulatory authority claiming jurisdiction of the subject matter hereof.

## ARTICLE II - DEFINITIONS

2.1 Definitions. As used herein, unless the context expressly indicates otherwise, the words described below and capitalized throughout the text of this Agreement shall have the respective meanings set forth:
a. "Actual Capital Costs" shall mean those costs which are to be incurred by District No. 1 for the purpose of planning, designing, constructing, financing and acquiring the Facilities including, but not limited to:
(1) All costs of labor and materials attributable to the actual construction or acquisition of Facilities, including all costs incurred to acquire Facilities from third Persons and all related components and materials used therein, all costs of organization of the Districts, and all other costs or fees due or paid under cost recovery or other agreements with third Persons, together with all costs incurred to obtain financing for Facilities;
(2) All costs incurred for the acquisition of water rights;
(3) All costs attributable to the construction or acquisition of Facilities or any part or component thereof incurred as a result of change orders approved in accordance with any construction contract;
( 4 ) All costs incurred for survey, design, engineering, construction, management, landscape architecture and engineering. soil testing and inspection, environmental study and mitigation and line and systems testing and inspection attributable to Facilities;
(5) Site. permit and right-of-way or easement acquisition costs, including legal fees:
(6) All legal. bond issuance. accounting and interest costs incurred in connection
with the financing, construction or acquisition of Facilities;
(7) All costs for construction administration, financial, inspection and other professional fees;
(8) Any other costs, expenses or expenditures associated with the construction or acquisition of the Facilities; and
(9) Any funds retained for payments accrued and owing by District No. Ifor construction completed but not yet paid for during the Budget Year.
b. "Actual Operations and Maintenance Costs" shall mean those costs which are to be incurred by District No. 1 for the purpose of administering the Districts as govermments and operating and maintaining the Facilities.
c. "Administrative Services" shall mean those services provided to District No. 2 by District No. 1 pursuant to Article IV(A) hereof.
d. "Agreement" shall mean this agreement and any amendment hereto made in accordance herewith.

## e. "Board" or "Boards" shall mean the lawfully organized Board or Boards of

 Directors of the District(s).f. "Budget Year" shall mean the year (immediately following the applicable Planning Year) during which Actual Operations and Maintenance Costs and Actual Capital Costs are to be incurred.
g. "Capital Budget Elements" shall mean the specific elements of District No. 1 's budget documents setting forth the anticipated costs of the provision of Facilities proposed to be constructed during the Budget Year.
h. "Consolidated Service Plan" shall mean the Consolidated Service Plans for District:No. 1 and No. 2, as approved by the El Paso County Board of County Commissioners, and as the same may be amended from time to time.
i. "Construction" shall include, but not be limited to, construction, expansion, acquisition. maintenance, repair. and replacement of Facilities.
j. "Construction Schedule" shall mean the schedule showing the Facilities planned for Construction during the Budget Year.
k. "Districts" shall mean District No. 1 and District No. 2 collectively.

1. "Event of Default" shall mean one of the events or the existence of one of
the conditions set forth in Article VIII hereof.
m. "Facilities" shall mean the public facilities and improvements to serve the Districts generally described in the Consolidated Service Plans, subject to modification as contemplated by the Consolidated Service Plans.
n. "Facilities Funding Account" shall mean the account owned and established by District No. linto which District No. 2 shall deposit revenue for the Actual Capital Costs.
o. "Final Budget" shall mean the final budget established by the Districts for the Construction of Facilities and Operations and Maintenance of Facilities pursuant to the provisions of Article $V$.
p. "Limited Tax General Obligation Bond Criteria" shall mean any point in time when the aggregate amount of District No. 2's then-outstanding and then proposed for issuance limited tax general obligation bonds does not exceed fifty percent ( $50 \%$ ) of the assessed value of all taxable property located within District No. 2's boundaries.
q. "Limited Tax General Obligation Bonds" shall mean limited tax general obligation bonds issued by District No. 2 for the purpose of funding Actual Capital Costs and/or refunding all or a portion of District No. 1's outstanding revenue bonds limited to a 50 mill cap (2001 equivalency).
r. "Operation and Maintenance" shall mean, whether such terms are used together or separately, the provision by District No. 1 of services as are necessary to assure the orderly and proper function of the Districts as governments and all Facilities in order to provide public services as contemplated herein.
s. "Operation and Maintenance Account" shall mean the account owned and established by District No. 1 into which District No. 2 shall deposit revenue for the cost of operations and maintenance.
t. "Operation and Maintenance Budget Elements" shall mean the specific elemients of District No. 1 's budget documents setting forth the anticipated operations and maintenance costs for the Districts and Facilities during the Budget Year.
u. "Person" shall mean any individual, corporation, joint venture, estate, trust, partnership. association. or other legal entity including governmental entities, other than the Districts.
v. "Planning Year" shall mean the year immediately preceding the corresponding Budget Year.
w. "Plans" shall mean the plans, documents, drawings. and other specifications prepared by or for District $\mathcal{N} .1$ for the Construction of any Facilities.
x. "Preliminary Budget Documents" shall mean those documents prepared by District No. 1 for submission to District No. 2 pursuant to the provisions of Article $V$ hereof.
y. "System Development Fees" shall mean the fees imposed and collected by District No. 1to support the costs incurred to provide certain portions of the Facilities.
z. "Total District No. 2 Capital Costs" shall mean an amount not to exceed $\$ 23,000,000.00$ together with interest which is District No. 2's share of the total actual capital costs for the Facilities.
aa. "Total District No. 2 Operations and Maintenance Costs" shall mean an amount which is District No. 2's proportionate share of the total operations and maintenance costs associated with the Facilities over the life of the Agreement.
bb. "Users" shall mean the Persons served by or receiving service from the Facilities.
cc. "User Fees" shall mean the periodic fees imposed and collected by District No. 1 from Users for services, facilities, and programs furnished by District No. 1.

## ARTICLE III - FINANCING OF FACILITIES AND PAYMENT OF OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE DEBT SERVICE - COSTS

3.1 Electoral Approval. The authorization for issuance of debt, fiscal year spending, multi-fiscal vear financial obligations, revenue collections and other constitutional matters requiring voter approval for purposes of this Agreement, as well as the construction of Facilities pursuant to the terms hereof, were approved at elections held for the Districts on November 2, 2004, in accordance with law and pursuant to due notice. To the extent changes in the law or interpretation thereof require additional or new voter authorization in order for the obligations hereunder to be enforceable, the parties agree to submit the necessary questions to their electorate.

### 3.2 District No. 2 Bond Issuance. Debt or Multi-Fiscal Year Financial Incurrence. District No. 2

 shall use its best efforts to issue limited tax general obligation bonds or, if bonds are not issued, District No. 2. has. by the provisions hereof, pledged its ad valorem tax revenue at the times and in the amounts required to meet its obligations hereunder subject to a. 50 mill cap (2004 equivalency). If bonds are issued, District No. 2 shall, except as otherwise provided herein or in the Consolidated Service Plans. pay the proceeds thereof to District No. 1. All District No. 2 bond proceeds received by District No. 1 shall either be applied to the payment of Actual Capital Costs or utilized to pay all or a portion of District No. 1 's outstanding bonds pursuant to Section 5.7 herein.
### 3.3 Facilities Funding Account.

a. Prior to or upon the execution of this Agreement, District No. I will establish the Facilities Funding Account.
b. The total cumulative deposits into the Facilities Funding Account by District No. 2 over the life of this Agreement to cover Actual Capital Costs shall not exceed the maximum amount of Total District No. 2 Capital Costs.
c. District No. 1shall, as contemplated in the Consolidated Service Plans and pursuant to the respective Final Budgets, deposit the required portion of the funds received into the Facilities Funding Account.
d. The Districts acknowledge that District No. 1 may borrow funds for deposit into the Facilities Funding Account in reliance on District No. 2's covenants to comply with the requirements of this Agreement.

### 3.4 Operations and Maintenance Account.

a. Prior to or upon the execution of this Agreement, District No. 1 will establish an Operations and Maintenance Account.
b. Initially, District No. 2 shall not be required to make any deposits into the Operations and Maintenance Account.
c. District No. 1shall, as contemplated in the Consolidated Service Plans and pursuant to the Final Budget, deposit the required portion of revenues from User Fees and/or System Development Fees into the Operations and Maintenance Account.
3.5 Disbursements of Funds. District No(1shall have the sole authority to withdraw monies from the Facilities Funding Account and the Operations and Maintenance Account and shall account to District No. 2 for the funds withdrawn and payments made from such accounts. Funds received by District No. 1 from District No. 2 for payment of Actual Capital Costs and Actual Operations and Maintenance Costs shall be deposited into the Facilities Funding Account and Operations and Maintenance Account, as appropriate. Such funds together with interest earned thereon, shall be used only to pay Actual Capital Costs and Actual Operations and Maintenance Costs incurred by District No. 1pursuant to this Agreement. District No. 1 covenants not to undertake any act or commit any omission with respect to the Facilities Funding Account, the moneys therein, or the Facilities, to adversely affect the tax-exempt status of the interest paid on any tax-exempt bonds issued by District No. 2 for the purpose of funding the Facilities Funding Account, constructing or acquiring Facilities or paving District No. 1 bonds. Moneys received from District No. 2 for payment of Actual Operations and Maintenance Costs, together with interest earned thereon, shall be used only to pay Actual Operations and Maintenance Costs incurred by District No. 1 pursuant to this Agreement.
3.6 Capital Costs Carrv-Fonward. Except as set forth herein or unless specifically agreed otherwise by the Districts. the portion of the Total District No. 2 Capital Costs requested by District No. I to be funded in any given Budget Year which exceeds the limits described in Section 3.7 and 5.4 hereof in any Budget Year and accordingly cannot be paid by District No. 2 in such Budget Year, shall automatically carry forward to become due from District No. 2 during the next Budget Year. Such carry forwards shall continue to occur. and carry forward amounts shall continue to accrue, from vear to year until all of the Total District No. 2 Capital Costs are paid in full to District No. 1.
3.7 Pledge of Pavment. The financial obligations of District No. 2. to fund the Actual Capital Costs hereunder shall be limited tax general obligations (limited to 50 mills, 2004 equivalency) of District No. 2 payable from ad valorem property taxes generated as a result of the certification by District No. 2 of a debt service mill levy. The full faith and credit of District No. 2, as limited hereby, is hereby pledged to the punctual payment of all amounts to be paid hereunder. Such amounts shall, to the extent necessary, be paid out of the general revenues of District No. 2 or out of any funds available for that purpose. For the purpose of raising such general revenues, and for the purpose of providing the necessary funds to make payments hereunder as the same become due, the Board of District No. 2 shall annually determine, fix and certify a rate of levy for ad valorem property taxes to the Board of County Commissioners of El Paso County, Colorado, which when levied on all of the taxable property in District No. 2, shall raise direct ad valorem property tax revenues which, when added to other funds of District No. 2 legally available therefor, will be sufficient to promptly and fully pay the amounts to be paid for Actual Capital Costs hereunder, as well as all other general obligation indebtedness of District No. 2, as the same become due. Except as limited herein. District No. 2 covenants to levy such mills which are from time to time lawful, and as necessary, together with other moneys of District No. 2, to pay the amounts to be paid hereunder along with all other general obligation indebtedness of District No. 2 .

Notwithstanding anything to the contrary set forth herein, unless and until the Limited Tax General Obligation Bond Criteria is satisfied, District No. 2 shall only be required to fund, on an annual basis, that amount of Actual Capital Costs that District No. 2 would be capable of funding through tax revenues resulting from the imposition of not more than 50 mills against the assessed value of the taxable property lying within the boundaries of District No. 2. It is anticipated that the initial mill levy will be 35 mills. To the extent there is no conflict with 32-1-1101(6)(b), C.R.S., or other law restricting the adjustment of the mill levy, the foregoing limitation shall be subject to automatic adjustment in the event Colorado law regarding the assessment of property changes.

The mill levy described above will be automatically adjusted upwards by the same proportion as any decrease by the State of Colorado of the ratio for assessment of commercial or residential property from the present ratios of $29 \%$ and $7.96 \%$, respectively, in order to produce the same revenues as would have been produced had the valuation of property not been changed as a result of changes in Colorado law.

If pursuant to Section 5.7 herein, District No. 1 has determined that the Limited Tax General Obligation Bond Criteria has been satisfied and if District No. 2 has determined it is in the best interests and is allowed by law. District No. 2 may issue limited tax general obligation bonds to fund Actual Capital Costs for all or part of the total District No. 2 Capital Costs or pay all or a portion of District No. 1's outstanding bonds.
3.3 Effectuation of Pledge. Appropriation. Regulatorv Amendment. Except as limited hereby, the amounts to be paid hereunder are hereby appropriated for that purpose. and said amounts shall be included in the annual budget and the appropriation resolution or measures to be adopted or passed by the Board of District .io. 2 in each vear this Agreement remains in effect. No provisions of any constitution. statute. resolution or other measure enacted after the execution of this Agreement shall in any manner be consirued as limiting or impairing the obligation of District No. 2 to levy,
administer, enforce and collect the ad valorem property taxes required for the payment of its obligations hereunder.

It shall be the duty of the Board of District No. 2 annually, at the time and in the manner provided by law for the levying of District No. 2 taxes, to ratify and carry out the provisions hereof regarding the levy and collection of the ad valorem property taxes herein specified, and to require the officers of District No. 2 to cause the appropriate officials of EI Paso County, Colorado, to levy, extend and collect said taxes in the manner provided by law. Said taxes, when collected, shall be applied only to the payment of the amounts to be paid hereunder, to other general obligation indebredness of District No. 2 and to other purposes of District No. 2, as herein specified or permitted.

The Districts acknowledge that this Agreement was prepared and voted upon by their respective electorates prior to submission, if any, of this Agreement to the State Securities Commission (or other regulatory body with jurisdiction) for the State of Colorado, and/or to other regulatory authorities to obtain required authorizations for this Agreement to be executed. The Districts anticipated at the time of preparation of this Agreement that changes or modifications to this Agreement might be made necessary to comply with regulatory requirements. This Agreement may be modified. and shall be deemed to be modified, as necessary to obtain the initial or continuing authorization of any applicable regulatory authorities. This statement of permitted modification shall supersede anv contrary provision contained herein, if any, but slall not be deemed to limit the rights or powers of the Districts to modify or amend this Agreement as otherwise permitted herein or in the Consolidated Service Plans.
3.9 District No. 1 Reliance: Funding Obligations pending dispute resolution. District No. 2 agrees that. except as to Administrative Services its obligations hereunder are absolute, irrevocable, unconditional and irrepealable within the meaning of Article XI, Section 4 of the Colorado Constitution. The parties agree that their authority to modify this Agreement pursuant to Section 10.4 is limited so as not to amount to a repeal of the obligations hereunder. The Districts agree not to amend this Agreement unless they first obtain an opinion from a bond attomey with a nationallyrecognized expertise in the area of municipal bonds demonstrating that such amendment will not adversely impact the tax-exempt status of any of the Districts' outstanding bonds. District No. 2 agrees that notwithstanding any fact, circumstance, dispute, or any other matter, it will not take or fail to take any action which would delay a payment to District No. 1 or impair District No. 1's ability to receive payments due hereunder. District No. 2 acknowledges that District No. 1 intends to issue bonds and that District No. I mav obtain financial commitments and security for its bonds from third parties. all of whom shall be relying on performance of the payment obligations of District No. 2 hereunder. The purpose of this Section 3.9 is to ensure that District No. 1 receives all payments due herein in a timely manner in order to enable District No. 1 to pay debt service on its bonds and pay its ongoing Operations and Maintenance expenses. Notwithstanding that the bondholders are not in any manner third party beneficiaries of this Agreement and do not have any rights in or rights to enforce. or consent to amendments of, this Agreement. District :vo. 2 agrees that during the pendency of any litigation which may arise hereunder. all payments shall be made by District No. 2 for the purpose of enabling District .io. 1 to make payments on its bonds until such claims have been adjudicated. In the event District .io. 2 believes it has valid defenses, setoffs. counterclaims, or other claims. it shall nonetheless make all payments to District No. 1 as described herein and attempt or
seek to recover such payments by actions at law or in equity for damages or specific performance.

## ARTICLE IV - OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE SERVICES

4.1 Facilities. District No. 1 shall own the Facilities and shall be responsible for the Operations and Maintenance of all Facilities.
4.2 District No. 1 Discretion. Notwithstanding any provision hereof to the contrary, in the event that District No. 1 reasonably determines it is in the best interests of the Districts to sell, transfer, lease, dedicate or otherwise convey any Facilities to another go \%ernmental, quasi-governmental or private utility service provider, District No. 1 may do so upon such reasonable terms as are determined by District No. 1 consistent with the Consolidated Service Plans and provided that taxexempt bonds of the Districts are not negatively affected, as opined by a bond attorney with nationally recognized expertise in the area of municipal bonds. The Districts acknowledge that the Consolidated Service Plans contemplate that certain Facilities may be transferred or leased to other governmental entities for operations and maintenance purposes, and that such entities shall have the right to impose and collect service charges for services they provide. In addition, the Districts acknowledge that the Consolidated Service Plans contemplate the coordination of various services within District No. 1 and No. 2. Nothing contained herein shall constrain the ability of District No. 1 to enter into and perform agreements for coordinated provision of services among various governments.
4.3 Facilities Operations and Maintenance Services. District No. 1 shall perform or cause to be performed all Operations and Maintenance services for the Facilities, including, but not limited to, the following:
a. Draft proposals, bidding, contract and construction administration and supervision of contractors;
b. Establish and maintain a system for selling and recording water and sewer taps, including an inventory management;
c. Supervise and ensure contract compliance of all service contractors, including the establishment and maintenance of preventive maintenance programs;
d. Procure all inventory, chemicals, parts, tools, equipment and other supplies necessary to perform the services required;
e. Provide operators, which operators shall perform duties including, but not limited to the following:
(1) Operations and Maintenance of Facilities;
(2) Cooperation with State. county and federal authorities in providing such tests as are necessary to maintain compliance with appropriate governmental standards:
(3) Permitting and supervision of the connection of lines to private developments;
(4) Coordinate construction with various utility companies to ensure minimum interference with Facilities;
(5) Perform normal maintenance and nermal repairs necessary to continue the efficient operation of Facilities;
(6) Provide for the services of subcontractors necessary to maintain and continue the efficient operation of Facilities;
(7) Provide for emergency preparedness, consisting of a centralized telephone number maintained to provide adequate response to emergencies, including, but not limited to, interruption of service because of line breaks, freeze-up or other mechanical problems.
f. Perform and/or provide other service with respect to the Operations and Maintenance of Facilities as may be deemed necessary.
4.4 Administration Services. Unless terminated pursuant to Section 4.5 herein, District No. 1 shall perform the following Administration Services for District No. 2:
a. Serve as the "official custodian" and repository for District No. 2 records, file space, incidental office supplies and photocopying, meeting facilities and reception services.
b. Coordination of all Board meetings, to include:
(1) Preparation and distribution of agenda and information packets;
(2) Preparation and distribution of meeting minutes;
(3) Attendance at Board meetings;
(4) Preparation, filing and posting of legal notices required in conjunction with the meeting; and
(5) Other details incidental to meeting preparation and follow-up.
c. Ongoing maintenance of an accessible, secure, organized and complete filing system for the District No. 2 official records.
d. Wonthly preparation of checks and coordination of postings with an accounting firm.
e. Periodic coordination with an accounting firm for financial report preparation and review of financial reports.
f. Insurance administration, including evaluating risks, comparing coverage, notifying carriers of claims, completing applications, monitoring expiration dates, processing routine written and telephone correspondence, etc. Ensure that all contractors and subcontractors maintain required coverage for District No. 2's benefit.
g. Election administration, including preparation of election materials, publications, legal notices, pleadings, conducting training sessions for election judges and generally assisting in conducting the election.
h. Budget preparation, including preparation of a proposed budget in coordination with an accounting firm, preparation of required and necessary publications, legal notices, resolutions, certifications, notifications and correspondence associated with the adoption of the annual budget and certification of the tax levy.
i. Response to inquiries, questions and requests for information from District No. 2 property owners and residents and others.
j. Drafting proposals, bidding, contract and construction administration and supervision of contractors.
k. Analysis of financial condition and alternative financial approaches and coordination of bond issue preparation.

1. Oversee investment of District funds based on investment policies established by the Board in accordance with state law.
m. Provide liaison and coordination with other governments.
n. Coordinate activities and provide information as requested to an external auditor engaged by the Board.
o. Coordinate legal, accounting, engineering and other professional services to District No. 2.

$$
-\operatorname{m}^{2}+
$$

p. Perform all services needed for District No. 2 to perform its duties and responsibilities under this Agreement.
q. Perform other services with respect to the operation and management of District No. 2 as requested by its Board.

In addition to these services. when other services are necessary in the professional opinion of District No. 1. District .V. 1 may. with the approval of District ?Vo. 2, provide professional services and operation and maintenance services to District No. 2 in lieu of retaining consultants or
contractors to provide those services.
4.5 Termination of Administration Services. On an annual basis, District No. 2 shall have the right to review the Administrative Services provided by District No. 1 for the benefit of District No. 2's constituents. District No. 2 shall have the right to supplement tine Administration Services provided by District No. 1 or to terminate its contractual relationship with District No. 1 with regard to the Administration Services. In order to supplement or terminate, District No. 2 shall give District No. 1 written notice specifying whether it will be supplementing or terminating. The written notice shall be given by August $15^{\text {th }}$ of any year and shall be effective for the next fiscal year.

If District No. 2 terminates the Administrative Services portion of this Agreement, District No. 1 shall be entitled to reduce any of its fees or service charges to address any cost savings.
4.6 Cost of Administration Services. District No. 1 shall include the costs of Administration Services in the budget documents prepared pursuant to Article V hereof. District No. 2 shall pay such costs as reasonably requested by District No. 1.

## ARTICLE $V$ - FINANCING OF FACILITIES AND DEBT SERVICE; ANNUAL CONSTRUCTION AND DEBT SERVICE BUDGET; CONSTRUCTION OF FACILITIES; PAY'MENT OF OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE COSTS

5.1 Preliminary Budget Process. During each Planning Year, District No. I shall consult with District No. 2 regarding the Districts' construction, Operations and Maintenance, Administrative Services, and debt service budgets for the forthcoming Budget Year. Such consultation shall occur early enough in the Planning Year to allow District No. 1 to produce and deliver to District No. 2 a set of Preliminary Budget Documents for the forthcoming Budget Year on or before September 15 of each Planning Year. The Preliminary Budget Documents shall address all funding issues regarding construction of Facilities, Administration Services, Operation and Maintenance of Facilities, debt service on District No. 1's revenue bonds and debt service on District No. 2's bonds. At a minimum, the Preliminary Budget Documents shall include:
a. a proposed schedule for deposits into the Facilities Funding Account and Operations and Maintenance Account by District No. 1 and District No. 2, considering the expected timing for receipt of funds generated from (i) District No. 2's ad valorem taxes (ii) issuance of bonds by District No. 1 (iii) issuance of limited tax general obligation bonds by District No. 2, (iv) User Fees. (v) and System Development Fees:
b. an estimate of Operations and Maintenance Costs for the Budget Year in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
c. a proposed Construction Schedule for the Budget Year, considering the funding expected to be available for Facility construction and the pace and location of development in the Districts:
d. a schedule for payments to be made on account of issued and/or to be issued bonds of District .V. landior limited tax general obligation bonds of District No. 2;
e. a schedule for bond issuances by District No. 1 (and any attendant pledges of ad valorem tax revenues) required of District No. 2 to effect such issuances), if any;
f. a schedule for limited tax general obligation bond issuances by District No. 2, if any;
g. an estimate of Actual Capital Costs for the Budget Year in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles.
5.2 Budget Review and Approval. On or before November 1 of each Planning Year, District No. 2 shall either: (a) approve the Preliminary Budget Documents (in which case the Preliminary Budget Documents shall become the Final Budget for the Budget Year), or (b) propose in writing to District No. 1 additions to and/or deletions from the Preliminary Budget Documents. District No. 2 may propose additions to and/or deletions from only those portions of the Preliminary Budget Documents which directly obligate District No. 2 to appropriate and expend funds during the Budget Year. District No. 2 shall have no right or authority to object to or propose additions to and/or deletions from any other portions of the Preliminary Budget Documents. The Final Budget, when prepared, shall have final versions of the proposed schedules described in Section 5.1 hereof.
5.3 Failure to Agree. The Districts shall discuss and attempt to reach an agreement with respect to the Preliminary Budget Documents. In the event District No. 1 and District No. 2 cannot agree on the Preliminary Budget Documents, the Preliminary Budget Documents shall become the Final Budget.
5.4 Voluntary Adjustment of Annual Pavments. District No. 2 may waive the limitation on maximum payments to District No. 1 set forth in Section 3.7 hereof and unilaterally decide to make larger payments than are required for the applicable Budget Year. District No. 1 acknowledges that District No. ? is not obligated to make larger payments and a waiver for a particular Budget Year shall not be construed as a waiver of the limitation set forth in Section 3.7 hereof for any subsequent Budget Years.
5.5 Deposit and Funding of Actual Capital Costs. Subject to the limitations herein set forth, District No. 2 shall remit to District No. 1 property tax revenue and'or general obligation bond proceeds for deposit into the Facilities Funding Account in each Budget Year in accordance with the Final Budget.
5.6 Deposit and Funding of Operations and Maintenance Costs. Subject to the limitations herein set forth. upon delivery from El Paso County. District No. 2 shall remit to District No. 1 property tax revenue pledged to District No. I for deposit into the Operations and Maintenance Account in each Budget Year in accordance with the Final Budget.

### 5.7 Funding of Debt Service: Bond Issuance. Pledge Prioritv.

a. District No. 2 will (i) make payments on its outstanding limited tax general obligation bonds as the same become due and payable, (ii) upon delivery from El Paso County,
transfer ad valorem tax revenues pledged to District No. 1 to fund Actual Capital Costs and Actual Operations and Maintenance Costs, and (iii) may issue limited tax general obligation bonds and deliver the proceeds thereof to District No. 1 in any applicable Budget Year, in accordance with the requirements of the applicable Final Budget; provided that, District No. 2 shall not be requested to issue limited tax general obligation bonds unless and until District No. 1 has determined that the Limited Tax General Obligation Bond Criteria has been met.
b. Notwithstanding anything to the contrary set forth herein, all ad valorem tax revenues derived from the debt service mill levy on taxable property located within District No. 2's boundaries shall only be applied to the following financial obligations in the following order of priority:
(1) First, to the holders of any limited tax general obligation bonds issued by District No. 2.
(2) Second, to the holders of any general obligation bonds issued by District No. . 2 for Actual Capital Cost.
c. All ad valorem tax revenues derived from the operations and maintenance mill levy on taxable property located within District No. 2's boundaries shall be only applied to District No. 1 to fund Actual Operations and Maintenance Costs unless District No. 2 has determined to undertake Administrative Services.
5.8 Limitation of Authorization. In no event shall any commitment, covenant, promise, or other obligation under this Agreement require the issuance or incurring of indebtedness by the Districts in excess of their respective voted indebtedness authorizations.
5.9 District No. 1 to Construct and Acquire Improvements. District No. 1 will, on behalf of District No. 2. contract for and supervise the construction and acquisition of Facilities for each Budget Year in such manner as District No. 1 shall reasonably determine to be in the best interests of both Districts. District No. 1 shall schedule, phase, and configure Facilities to accurately and adequately provide for the needs of the Districts' residents and property owners as reflected in development plans for the community, as the same may be revised officially from time to time, and as development demands require. District No. 1 shall obtain all necessary governmental approvals, and exercise its best efforts to comply and cause its designated contractors to comply with Colorado. and other applicable rules, laws. regulations and orders.
5.10 Final Plans and Specifications.
a. Prior to the construction and/or acquisition of any specific Facilities, District No. 1 shall prepare and submit Plans for such Facilities to District No. 2. If no objection to the Plans is received by- District No. 1 within forty-five ( 45 ) davs from the date of submittal, District No. 2 shall be deemed to haye approved such Plans. If. within said 45 day period, District No. 2 provides uritten notice to District : V . 1 of objections to such Plans, District No. land District No. 2 shall meet to resolve the issues raised by the objections. Objections to such Plans may only be raised by District .Vo. 2 based on one or more of the following criteria:
(1) Such Plans are not in substantial compliance with generally accepted architectural and/or engineering standards.
(2) Such Plans are not in substantial compliance with any final plat as approved by the El Paso County Board of County Commissioners or other regulatory agency having jurisdiction.
(3) Such Plans are not in substantial compliance with design standards of El Paso County or any other regulatory agency having jurisdiction.

If an agreement is not reached between District No. 1 and District No. 2 within fifteen (15) days from the date of notice of objection as provided herein, the matter shall be submitted to an appropriate engineering professional as may be agreed upon by the Districts, who shall, at the joint expense of the Districts, review such Plans in light of the above, and whose decision regarding the Plans shall be final. If the engineer finds that the Plans as proposed are acceptable, District No. 1 may commence Construction. In the event adjustments are needed to overcome valid objections, District No. 1 may make such adjustments and thereafter commence construction. If District No. 1 reasonably disagrees with the suggested adjustments. District No. 1 may either (a) elect not to build the subject Facilities at that time. or (b) prepare alternate Plans and submit them to District No. 2 for approval as herein provided. or (c) consult with the engineer to reach alternatives acceptable to District No. 1 and the engineer, and revise the Plans accordingly. If the engineer approves the revised Plans, District No. 1 may proceed to construct the subject Facilities.
5.11 Construction Contracts. District No. 1 shall cause Construction of Facilities to be commenced on a timely basis, subject to receipt of all necessary governmental approvals and the terms of this Agreement. District No. 1 shall deliver to District No. 2 copies of any and all construction contracts and related documents concerning Facilities. District No. 1 shall diligently and continuously prosecute to completion the construction of Facilities. Approval of any change orders for which funds are or may be made available hereunder shall be in the reasonable discretion of District No. 1. District No. 2 must approve in advance any and all proposed change orders that will increase Actual Capital Costs for the Budget Year to be paid by District No. 2 over those reflected in the Final Budget. No change order or change orders shall increase the total District No. 2 capital costs without an amendment to this Agreement and such voter approval as may be required. District No. 2 shall not direct any construction activities. District No. 1 shall comply with all applicable statutory requirements governing governmental contracts, including, but not limited to, the public bidding laws.
5.12 Completion of Construction. Prior to the final acceptance of any Facilities by District No. 1 and prior to issuing a final certificate of payment under any construction contract, District No. 1 shall consider opinions expressed by District No. 2 regarding the subject Facilities, if any. District No. 1 shall approve final payment and issue a final certificate of payment only when District No. I believes in good taith. and pursuant to generally accepted standards of engineering and construction review, that construction has been accomplished in compliance with the conditions and terms of the applicable construction contract.


#### Abstract

5.13 Construction Claims. District No. 1 shall, to the extent it is practical and cost-effective, as reasonably determined by District No. lafter notice to and consultation with District No. 2, assert against any contractor constructing Facilities any claim that District No. 1 may have against the contractor under any construction contract and/or guarantee and/or warranty. In any event, District No. 1 will give written notice to District No. 2 of each and every known material breach of construction contracts, guarantees or warranties.


## ARTICLE VI - SPECIAL PROVISIONS

6.1 Rights of District No. 1. District No. 2 grants to District No. 1 the right to construct, own, use, connect, disconnect, modify. renew, extend, enlarge, replace, convey, abandon or otherwise dispose of any and all of the real property, improvements thereto, Facilities or appurtenances thereto, and any and all other interests in property, real, personal or otherwise within District No. 2's control to enable District No. 1 to construct or cause construction of the Facilities and to provide Operations and Maintenance Services. District No. 2 grants to District No. 1 the right to occupy any place, public or private, which District No. 2 might occupy for the purpose of fulfilling the obligations of District No. 1 herein. To implement the foregoing, District No. 2 agrees to exercise such authority, to do such acts. and to grant such easements as may reasonably be requested by District No. 1; provided that. any legal. engineering, technical or other Services required, or costs incurred, for the performance of this obligation shall be performed by a Person in the employment of or under contract with, and paid by, District No. 1.
6.2 Fees. District Nio. 1 may establish, revise, impose and collect (or assign collection of all fees. rates, tolls and charges permitted by Colorado law for payment of debt service on its revenue bonds. Facilities and/or Operations and Maintenance Services provided within District No. 2 by District No. 1, either directly or by contract through other entities, including surcharges. In addition, District No. 1 may at any time impose, set or change the rate of, and/or waive or discontinue, system development charges. tap fees. participation charges, and such other rates, fees, tolls, charges, penalties, or combinations thereof, which are utilized for any purpose, and may waive any such fees or charges for classes of Users. Fees shall be uniform among members of each class of Users within District No. 2's boundaries. District No. 2 shall not permit any connection to or use of Facilities by any Person without District No. l's written consent.
6.3 . Right to Provide Facilities and Services. District No. 2 agrees that, except in the event of a default hereunder by District No. 1, and except as provided in Section 4.5, it shall not attempt to: (i) provide Facilities of any kind to its residents and property owners without first offering District No. 1 the opportunity to provide such Facilities, (ii) provide Facilities which are intended under the Consolidated Service Plans to be provided by District No. 1, (iii) provide Operations and Maintenance Service of any kind to its residents and property owners without first offering District Do. 1 the opportunity to provide such services, or (iv) provide Operations and Maintenance Services which are intended under the Consolidated Service Plans to be provided by District No. 1.
6.4 Suspension of New Facilities. In order to reduce the likelihood of the limitation of delivery of services io L'sers. District Lio. 1 may suspend the construction of Facilities. District No. 1 agrees to give six (6) month's written notice to District No. 2 of such suspension. unless District No. 1 reasonably determines that circumstances require a shorter period of notice.
6.5 Limitation of Services. In order to comply with any applicable law, rule, directive or order, and to enable District No. 1 to provide adequate service to both District No. 2 and District No. 1, in times of shortage or other practical or legal limitations on the ability of District No. 1 to provide service as contemplated herein, District No. 1 may limit the delivery of service.

## ARTICLE VII - REPRESENTATIONS AND WARRANTIES

7.1 General Representations. In addition to the other representations, warranties and covenants made by the Districts herein, the Districts make the following representations, warranties and covenants to each other:
a. Each District has the full right, power and authority to enter into, perform and observe this Agreement.
b. Neither the execution of this Agreement, the consummation of the transactions contemplated hereunder, nor the compliance with the terms and conditions of this Agreement by either District will conflict with or result in a breach of any terms, conditions, or provisions of, or constitute a default under any agreement, instrument, indenture. judgment, order, or decree to which either District is a party or by which either District is bound.
c. This Agreement is the valid and binding obligation of each of the Districts and is enforceable in accordance with its terms.
d. The Districts shall keep and perform all of the covenants and agreements contained herein and shall take no action which could have the effect of rendering this Agreement unenforceable in any manner.

## ARTICLE VIII - DEFAULT, REMEDIES AND ENFORCEMENT; TERMINATION

8.1 Events of Default. The occurrence of any one or more of the following events, and/or the existence of any one or more of the following conditions shall constitute an Event of Default under this Agreement:
a. The failure of either District to make any payment when the same shall become due and payable as provided herein; and to cure such failure within ten (10) business days of receipt of notice from the other District of such failure;
b. The failure to perform or observe any other material covenants, agreements, or conditions in this Agreement on the part of either District and to cure such failure within ten (10) days of receipt of notice from the other District of such failure;
c. The filing of a voluntary petition under federal or state bankruptcy or insolvency laws by either District or the appointment of a receiver for any of either District's assets which is not dismissed within thirty (30) days of such filing or appointment;
d. :The dissolution, insolvency, or liquidation of either District.

### 8.2 Remedies on Occurrence of Events of Default.

Upon the occurrence of an Event of Default, the Districts shall have the following rights and remedies:
a. Either District may ask a court of competent jurisdiction to enter a writ of mandamus to compel the Board of the defaulting District to perform its duties under this Agreement, and/or to issue temporary and/or permanent restraining orders, or orders of specific performance, to compel the defaulting District to perform in accordance with this Agreement.
b. The Districts may protect and enforce their rights under this Agreement by such suits, actions, or special proceedings as they shall deem appropriate, including without limitation any proceedings for the specific performance of any covenant or agreement contained herein, for the enforcement of any other appropriate legal or equitable remedy, or for the recovery of damages, including attorneys' fees and all other costs and expenses incurred in enforcing this Agreement. If, at any time, there shall cease to be electors in District No. 1, or if no electors of District No. 1 are willing to act as directors of District No. 1, District No. 2 may ask the Board of County Commissioners or a court of competent jurisdiction to designate the proper persons to assume control of District No. 1 to cause the performance of District No. I's obligations under this Agreement.
c. In any action brought under this section, the Court shall award attomey's fees and costs to the prevailing party.
d. To foreclose any and all liens in the manner specified by law.

### 8.3 General.

a. Delav or Omission No Waiver. No delay or omission of either District to exercise any right or power accruing upon any Event of Default shall exhaust or impair any such right or power or be construed as a waiver of any such Event of Default.
b. No Waiver of One Default to Affect Another: All Remedies Cumulative. No waiver of any Event of Default by either District shall extend to or affect any subsequent or other Event of Default. All rights and remedies of the Districts provided herein may be exercised with or without notice, shall be cumulative, may be exercised separately, concurrently, or repeatedly, and the exercise of any such right or remedy shall not affect or impair the exercise of any other right or remedy.
8.4 Termination. Subject to Section 3.9 herein. this Agreement mav be terminated by either District upon the provision of one (1) year's written notice to the other District, subject to the following conditions:
a. As a condition precedent to termination by District :Vo. 2 and in reconnition
of the need for the continued funding of the Facilities and the continued funding of District No. 1'ss bond obligations, the Districts agree that prior to the time of termination, provision for payment of the Total District No. 2 Capital Costs must be made. The Districts agree to negotiate in good faith to reach resolution of such funding issues.
b. As a condition precedent to termination by District No. 1 and in recognition of the need on the part of District No. 2 for the continued availability of the Facilities, District No. 1 shall either (1) transfer to District No. 2 in its entirety, its interest in the Facilities and the water rights, contracts, leases, easements, properties held in fee, and any other personal, real or intangible property then held or owned by District No. 1 and necessary for continuing the services provided by the Facilities, or (2) make said transfer to another governmental entity or entities pursuant to such terms and conditions as may be satisfactory to the Board of District No. 2 or, in the event said transfer is to be made pursuant to a plan for dissolution of District No. 1, in accordance with Colorado law.

## ARTICLE IX - INSURANCE

9.1 Insurance. The Districts shall, to the extent the same are reasonably and commercially available. maintain the following insurance coverages with companies and in amounts acceptable to each District's respective Board:
a. General liability coverage protecting the Districts and their officers, directors, and employees against any loss, liability, or expense whatsoever from personal injury, death, property damage, or otherwise, arising from or in any way connected with management, administration, or operations.
b. Directors and officers liability coverage (errors and omissions) protecting the Districts and their directors and officers against any loss, liability, or expense whatsoever arising from the actions and/or inactions of the Districts and their directors and officers in the performance of their duties.
c. Operations coverage designed to insure against injury to third parties or the property of third parties.
9.2 Workers' Compensation. District No. 1 shall make provision for workers' compensation insurance, social security employment insurance and unemployment compensation for its employees, if any. performing this Agreement, as required by any law of the State of Colorado or the federal government.
9.3 Certificates. Upon written request, each District shall furnish to the other certificates of insurance showing compliance with the foregoing requirements. Said certificates shall state that the policy or policies evidenced thereby will not be canceled or altered without at least thirty (30) days prior written notice to each District.

ARTICLE X- MISCELLANEOUS
10.1 Relationship of Parties. This Agreement does not and shall not be construed as creating a relationship of joint venturers, partners, or employer-employee between the Districts. The Districts intend that this Agreement be interpreted as creating an independent contractor relationship. District No. 1 shall exercise reasonable discretion in the performance of its duties under this Agreement. Neither District shall, with respect to any activity, be considered an agent or employee of the other District.
10.2 Assignment: Delegation. Except as set forth herein or as contemplated in the Consolidated Service Plans, neither this Agreement, nor any of either District's rights. obligations, duties or authority hereunder may be assigned or delegated in whole or in part by either District without the prior written consent of the other District, which consent shall not be unreasonably withheld. Any attempted assignment or delegation in violation of the foregoing shall je deemed void. Consent to one assignment or delegation shall not be deemed to be consent to any subsequent assignment or delegation, nor the waiver of any right to consent to such subsequent assignment or delegation.
10.3 Modification. Subject to the requirements set forth in Sections 3.8 and 3.9 hereof, this Agreement may be modified or amended only by an agreement in writing duly authorized and executed by both Districts. No consent of any third party shall be required for the negotiation and execution of any such agreement.
10.4 Integration. Subject to the provisions of the Consolidated Service Plans, this Agreement contains the entire agreement between the Districts with respect to the subject matter hereof.
10.5 Governing Law. This Agreement shall be construed and interpreted in accordance with the laws of the State of Colorado.
10.6 Headings for Convenience Onlv. The headings, captions and titles contained herein are intended for convenience of reference only.
10.7 Unenforceabililv. If any provision hereof is declared void or unenforceable by a court of competent jurisdiction, the District involved in such violation shall, to the extent possible, perform such tasks as may be necessary to cure such violation, including but not limited to obtaining any necessary voter approvals.

### 10.8 Time of the Essence. Time is of the essence hereof.

10.9 Notices. All notices or demands required or permitted to be given under this Agreement shall be in writing and shall be hand delivered or sent by certified mail, return receipt requested, or overnight courier, to the following addresses:

District No. 1 Mailing Address:


District No. 2 Mailing address:
Mesa Ridge Metropolitan District No. 2
\% \% Mesa Ridge JV, LLC
-m . 7222 Commerce City Drive
Suite 107
Colorado Springs, CO 80919
All notices or demands shall be deemed given and received upon delivery if sent by messenger or overnight courier or three (3) days after mailing. Either District by written notice so provided may change the address to which future notices shall be sent.
10.10 District Records. The Districts shall have the right to access and review each others' records and accounts, during the Districts' regular office hours, for purposes of determining compliance by the Districts with the terms of this Agreement.
10.11 Further Assurances. The Districts each covenant that they will do, execute, acknowledge, and deliver or cause to be done, executed, acknowledged, and delivered, such acts, instruments, and documents as may reasonably be required for the performance of their obligations hereunder.
10.12 Severabilily of Provision. Any provision of this Agreement which is prohibited, unenforceable or not authorized in any jurisdiction shall, as to such jurisdiction, be ineffective to the extent of such prohibition, unenforceability or non-authorization without invalidating the remaining provisions hereof or affecting the validity, enforceability or legality of such provision in any other jurisdiction.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Districts have executed this Agreement as of the day and year first above written.

# MESA RIDGE METROPOLITAN DISTRICT NO. 1 

$B y:$ $\qquad$

Attest:

By: $\qquad$

MESA RIDGE METROPOLITAN DISTRICT NO. 2

By:

Attest:
$\mathrm{By}:$

## STATE OF COLORADO <br> COUNTY OF EL PASO

The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me this $\qquad$ day of $\qquad$ 2004, by $\qquad$ as and by $\qquad$ as $\qquad$ of Mesa Ridge Metropolitan District No. 1.

Witness my hand and official seal.

My commission expires: $\qquad$ .
[SEAL]

Notary Public

| STATE OF COLORADO | \} |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | \} |
| COUNTY OF EL PASO | ? |

The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me this $\qquad$ day of $\qquad$ , 2004, by $\qquad$ as and by $\qquad$


No. 2.
as $\qquad$ of Mesa Ridge Metropolitan District

Witness my hand and official seal.
My commission expires: $\qquad$ .
[SEAL]

Notary Public

Peter Susemifil

| Crom： | $\because$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ent： |  | KevinWalker＠lennar．com |
| o： |  | Wednesday，June 23，2004 11：22 AM |
| Subject： |  | Peter Susemihl |

$\left(\begin{array}{c}\text { Crom：} \\ \text { ent：} \\ \text { Subject：} \\ \text { Su：}\end{array}\right.$
Kevin．Walker＠lennar．com
Wednesday，June 23， 2004 11：22 AM
Fw．Conf call－mesa ridge
害要
pic26924．jpg（10
KB）

Kevin J．Walker
Senior Vice President／Project Manager
Lennar Communities Colorado／US Home
Please excuse misspellings，I＇m lost without spell check
Sent from my Blackßerry Wireless Handheld

Srom：Esther Morse
Sent：06／23／2004 09：22 FM
To：Creig Veidhuizen
Cに：Kミvジก Nalker
Suijjecこ：Coṅ́ call－mesa＝̇̇ige

P！ease di゙ȧ in 亡o：
266－718－4181
articipants use code：
385786
Pareicipants will be on musa＝k until Kevin dials in．
（Emiodded image moved to file：ミjc2692！．jpg）

## To：Kevin．Walker＠lennar．com

Subject：RE：Mesa Ridge

The initial forecast sent to me by Simmons calls for 7 mills for operations．
Here is the list of items we need to discuss at 3：00 today：
1．Mill levies at 30 and 7 with an overall cap of 50 ．
2．Legals and maps for each of the two districts
3．Verify fees：$\$ 1500$ single family，$\$ 500 \mathrm{MF}$ ，and $\$ .75$ per square foot．
4．Buildout： 6 years？
5．Sketch Plan？

7．Financing Plan？

8． 34 year bond issue？

9．financial summary of the owner
10．proof of taxes paid
－－－－－Original Message－－－－－
From：Kevin．Walker＠lennar．com［mailto：Kevin．Walker＠lennar．com］
Sent：Wednesday，June 23， 2004 9：10 AM
To：psusemihl＠smmclaw．com
Subject：Fw：Mesa Ridge

Pete，can we do a more than 5 mill levy for the maintenance？Or should we just match the cost to the 5
mills？ mills？

## Keuin Walker

Sr．Lice President，Project Manager
Lennar Communities Colorado
719－593－8583
729－5932975 fax
Kevin．Walker＠Lennar．com
－－－F＝rsarced by kevin walkeriCOLORACOSPRINGS／CENTILennar on 06i23／2004 09：06 AM－
＂John Simmons＂＜john＠jwsimmons．com＞
$06 / 13.200409: 11 \mathrm{AM}$

To＜psusemihl＠smmclaw．com＞，＂Walker Kevin＂＇＜kevin．walker＠lennar．ce
＂Veldhuizen Creg＂＇＜creig．veldhuizen＠lennar．com＞
cc Subject Mesa Ridge

Enclosed is a draft of the financing plan for Mesa Ridge.
I increased the Series 2010 issue to $\$ 7,000,000$ from Creig's $\$ 3,800,000$
Also Kevin you wanted to support approximately $\$ 250,000$ of operating costs.
7 mills will not support that amount so we need to (1) increase the operating mill levy, (2) come up with another revenue to pay for those costs or (3) run the costs through the HOA.

Please provide any other comments.

John Simmons
J W Simmons \& Associates, P.C.
9155 E Nichols Ave \#330
Centennial, CO 80112

Carl F. Schueler
Planning Division Manager

## El Paso County Development Services Department



September 1, 2004

Mesa Ridge JV, LLC
7222 Commerce City Drive
Suite 107
Colorado Springs, Colorado 80919
RE: Consolidated Special District Service Plan - Mesa Ridge Metropolitan Districts (ID-04-006)
This is to inform you that the above-referenced request for approval of a Consolidated Service Plan precedent to formation of two (2) Title 32 Metropolitan Districts was heard by the El Pas County Planning Commission on August 17, 2004, at which time a recommendation for approval was made. The arrangement would consist of one (1) management district and one (1) financing district. The Districts would comprise a total of approximately 531 acres within the proposed Mesa Ridge Sketch Plan area and would provide a range of facilities and some services including water, sewer, roads and drainage facilities. The property (Assessor's Tax Schedule numbers 55280-01-005, 011, 013; 55000-00-033, 212) is located northeast of the City of Fountain, north of C \& S Road and west of Marksheffel Road and is located in the proposed Fountain Valley Planning Area.

This recommendation for approval is subject to the following:

## CONDITIONS

1. Prior to hearing this item before the Board of County Commissioners, staff and the applicants shall comprehensively address the August 16, 2004, comments provided on behalf of the City of Fountain, and shall recommend appropriate conditions or modifications to the Service Plan as may be applicable, and shall also make modifications to the Service Plan clarifying responsibility for water and sanitation services including provisions for dedication of facilities.
2. The combined general obligation debt service mill levy on any property in these districts shall not exceed fifty (50) mills with adjustments allowed for the effects of the Gallagher Amendment. This limit is irrespective of the assessed valuation of the districts but may be reviewed by subsequent action by the Board of County Commissioners if the ratio of assessed valuation to outstanding general obligation debt rises above the thresholds established by State Statute at the time of the request.
3. These two (2) districts shall be prohibited from issuing any debt, certifying a mill levy, exercising any condemnation authority or constructing any capital improvements until such time as a Sketch Plan generally comparable with the assumptions contained in the Service Plan is approved by the Board of County Commissioners.
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4. In the event a Sketch Plan is not approved by the Board of County Commissioners on or before June 30, 2005, the applicants agree to either dissolve these districts or consent to schedule this Service Plan or an amendment thereof for further consideration by the Board of County Commissioners on or before December 31, 2005.
5. Any future annexation of territory by either of these two (2) districts which encompasses any territory more than five (5) miles from any of the currently proposed District boundary lines sha!! be considered a material modification of the Service Plans and shall require prior Board of County Commissioners' approval.
6. These Districts shall not have the authority to apply for or utilize any Conservation Trust ("Lottery") funds without the express prior consent of both the Board of County Commissioners and the Widefield School District. The Districts shall have the authority to apply for and use any other grant funds including, but not limited to, Great Outdoors Colorado (GOCO) discretionary grants.
7. The Districts shall agree to formation of a Board of County Commissionersapproved Citizens' Advisory Council to be comprised of five (5) property owners within the service area of the Mesa Ridge Metropolitan District No. 2. Council membership shall be open to otherwise qualifying directors of Metropolitan Districts No. 2. Meetings will be held at times and locations convenient to the property owners. The elected Chair of the Council will be given an ex officio seat on the Board of Directors of District No. 1 during their tenure as Chair.

Formation of the Council shall not occur until there are at least fifty (50) dwelling units constructed within the Districts. The Mesa Ridge Metropolitan District No. 1 shall be responsible for supporting the function of the Council. Creation and continuance of the Council shall be at the sole discretion of the County Commissioners, and Council may be disbanded if there is insufficient interest on the part of area residents.
7. After legal formation and prior to final platting of any properties within any of the proposed Districts, the applicants shall prepare a notice acceptable to Development Services Department staff informing all purchasers of property within the Districts of the non-traditional nature of this District arrangement and the consequent limitations on future representative participation. Such notice shall be recorded in the public record against all properties to be included in any of these districts.
8. Prior to hearing this item before the Board of County Commissioners the applicant shall make the following modifications to the Service Plan:
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a. Add mill levy cap to identified material modifications on page 2.
b. Revise paragraph (d) on page 8 to address deeding of facilities to entities other than El Paso County or the Districts (namely Fountain Sanitation District and Widefield Water and Sanitation District).
c. Modify all applicable references in the development analysis to address the most up-to-date proposed development plans for the area.
d. Modify language in the Service Plan to clarify that EI Paso County will only maintain improvements that are located in public right-of-way and have been accepted by the County.
e. Address El Paso County Department of Transportation concern with timing of payment of drainage fees.
f. Address the trail-related concerns of the Fountain Mutual Irrigation Metropolitan District.
g. Modify C.1. (k) on page 9 to change "Public Works" to "Transportation" and add a reference to the Widefield Water and Sanitation District.
h. Modify the last sentence on page 9 to insert the following language after "for" - "certain facilities which may include. . ."
i. Correct the name of the Security Fire Prevention District and the Fountain Sanitation District on page 10.
j. Discuss relationship to Widefield School District under Park and Recreation on page 10.
k. Modify G.3. on page 12 to note the District will apply for approval of material modifications in advance of them occurring.

## NOTATIONS

1. In the event revenues or reserves are insufficient to meet scheduled bond payments, unpaid interest may be carried forward as a subsequent year obligation. This may have the effect of extending the schedule of required bond payments.
2. The applicants are put on notice that the creation of parcels for the purpose of qualifying electors for Districts 1 and 2 does not create a separate interest in the land pursuant to subdivision under C.R.S. 30-28-101 et. seq.
3. Approval of this Service Plan should in no way be construed to imply an obligation to approval any particular land use plan for the subject property.
The Planning Commission is advisory to the Board of County Commissioners. This request, as you know, has been tentatively scheduled to be heard by the Commissioners on September 30, 2004.

Should you have any questions, or if I can be of further assistance, please contact me at 719-520-6300.


Carl F. Schueler, Planning Division Manager
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { cc: } & \text { Peter Susemihl, Attorney } \\ \text { Susemihl, McDermott \& Cowan, P.C. } \\ \text { 660 Southpointe Court, Suite } 210 \\ & \text { Colorado Springs, Colorado } 80906\end{array}$
File: ID-04-006

## THE EL PASO COUNTY ADVERTISER AND NEWS, FOUNTAIN; COLORADO80817 STATEOFCOLORADO

SS.

## COUNTY OF EL.PASO

1. Patricia. St. Louis, do solemnly swear that am Managing Editor of the El Pasco County Advertiser: and News, that the same is a weekly newspaper printed. in whole or in part, and published in the County of El Pasco, state of Colorado, and has a general circulation therein; that said newspaper has been published corkinuously and uninterruptedly in said county of El Pass tor a period of more than 52 weeks next prior to the first publication of the annexed notice and that said newspaper is a weekly newspaper duly qualified for publishing legal notices and advertisements within the meaning of the laws of the State of Colorado.
That copies of each number of said paper in which said notice and list were published were delivered by carriers or transmitted by mail to each of the subscribers of said paper for a period of $\qquad$ 1 consecutive insertions, once each week, and on the same day of each week; and that first publication of staid notice was in the issue of said newspaper dated $\quad$ September 8 ABD. 20 04 and that the last publication of said notice: was in the issue of said newspaper dated September 8 ADD. $20 \quad 04$


Patricia L: St. Louis
Managing Editor

September 30, 2004, BOCC Meeting
Item No. $\qquad$

Exhibit No:


 the County of El Pasco, State of Colorado, this eth of September
 ADD. $20 \quad 04$.


Karen M. Johtinson
Notary Public
My Commission Expires December 18, 2005











In accondaneón with section $32+i-200$ (g. 5 , C. REs., any parson owning property in the
 special alsults. The property owner shin submit duce recurs tor exidustan to the clark to
 the Board no liver than ten days prior to the Sedtembivi 30:2004, paddle heating.


## CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that on behalf of Eileen Gilbert, Deputy Clerk to the to the Board of County Commissioners, that I have mailed a true and correct copy of the attached NOTICE OF THE PROPOSED MESA RIDGE METROPOLITAN DISTRICT NO. 1 AND PROPOSED MESA RIDGE METROPOLITAN DISTRICT NO. 2 COMBINED SERVICE PLANS by U.S. Mail postage prepaid to all parties on the attached lists this $2^{\text {nd }}$ day of August 2004.


Peter M. Súsemihl
Attorney for the Proposed Districts

# EL PASO COUNTY COMBINED PUBLIC NOTICE <br> PROPOSED MESA RIDGE METROPOLITAN DISTRICT NO. 1 <br> PROPOSED MESA RIDGE METROPOLITAN DISTRICT NO. 2 SERVICE PLAN 

Pursuant to Section 32-1-202, C.R.S., notice is hereby given that Combined Service Plans and related documents have been filed with the El Paso County Clerk and Recorder for the proposed Mesa Ridge Metropolitan District No. 1 and Mesa Ridge Metropolitan District No. 2.

A public hearing on said Combined Service Plans will be held by the El Paso County Planning Commission on Tuesday, August 17, 2004 at 9:00 a.m., or as soon thereafter as the Commission may hear such matter at 27 East Vermijo, Third Floor, Colorado Springs, Colorado 80903 or at such other place as may be designated by said Planning Commission.

A public hearing on said Combined Service Plans and related documents will be held by the El Paso County Board of County Commissioners, on Thursday, September 30, 2004 at 9:00 a.m., or as soon thereafter as the Board may hear such matter, at 27 East Vermijo, Third Floor, Colorado Springs, Colorado 80903 or at such other place as may be designated by said Board of County Commissioners.

The purpose of the hearing shall be to consider the Combined Service Plans and to form a basis for adopting a Resolution approving, conditionally approving, or disapproving the Combined Service Plans.

The proposed district boundaries are generally described as follows:
MESA RIDGE METROPOLITAN DISTRICT NO. 1:
MESA RIDGE METROPOLITAN DISTRICT NO. 2:
The Combined Service Plans and related documents, including a description of the property within the proposed districts are available for public inspection at the Office of the Deputy Clerk to the Board, 200 South Cascade Avenue, Colorado Springs, Colorado 80903.

In accordance with Section 32-1-203(3.5), C.R.S., any person owning property in the proposed special districts may request that his property be excluded from the proposed special district. The property owner shall submit such request for exclusion to the Deputy Clerk to the Board no later than ten (10) days prior to the September 2, 2004 Board of County Commissioners public hearing.
BY ORDER OF THE EL PASO COUNTY
BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS

# TAXING ENTITIES LOCATED WITHIN THREE MILES OF THE PROPOSED MESA RIDGE METROPOLITAN DISTRICTS NO. 1 AND 2 

City of Colorado Springs<br>Att: Steve Hilfer<br>City Administration Building<br>P.O. Box 1575 Mail Code 1544<br>Colorado Springs, CO 80901

Cross Creek Metropolitan District
545 East Pikes Peak Avenue
Suite 207
Colorado Springs, CO 80903
El Paso County
Board of County Commissioners
27 East Vermijo
Colorado Springs, Colorado 80903
Fountain Sanitation District
901 South Santa Fe Avenue
Fountain, Colorado 80817
City of Fountain
116 South Main Street
Fountain, Colorado 80817
Pikes Peak Regional Library District
P.O. Box 1579

Colorado Springs, CO 80901
Security Water and Sanitation Districts
P.O. Box 5156

Colorado Springs, CO 80931
School District 3
1820 Main Street
Colorado Springs, Colorado 80911
School District 8
400 West Alabama Avenue
Fountain, Colorado 80817
Southeastern Colorado Water Conservancy District
31717 United Avenue

Pueblo, Colorado 81001
Southwestern/Highway 115 Fire Protection District
P.O. Box 13459

Fort Carson, Colorado 80913
Security Village Fire Protection District
400 Security Boulevard
Colorado Springs, Colorado 80911
Widefield Water and Sanitation District
3 Widefield Boulevard
Colorado Springs, Colorado 80911

Peter M. Susemihl

April 14, 2004
El Paso County Special District Review Committee
Att: Carl Schueler
El Paso County Office Building
Fifth Floor
27 East Vermijo
Colorado Springs, CO 80903

## RE: Letter of Intent

Mesa Ridge Metropolitan Districts
Dear Carl,
This correspondence is to serve as a letter of intent for the formation of two metropolitan districts to serve the Mesa Ridge Development consisting of approximately 531 acres. We submit ten copies of this letter of intent together with a map showing the geographical boundaries of the District and a legal description. The first district will be a management district consisting of a minimal number of acres. The second district would encompass the residential and commercial properties and will consist of all land that is being developed.
a. Initial Property Owner:

Nearon Doyas Properties, LLC
500 LaGonda Way
Suite 210
Danville, CA 94526
Contract Purchaser: Norwood LTD, Incorporated
4065 North Sinton Road
Suite 200
Colorado Springs, CO 80907
(719) 593-2600
b. Consultants:

Peter M. Susemihl-Counsel for District
Susemihl. McDermott \& Cowan, P.C.
660 Southpointe Suite 210
Colorado Springs, CO 80906
(0) 719-579-6500
(F) 719-579-9339

Brownstein, Hyatt and Farber-Bond Counsel Kent C. Veio 410 Seventeenth Street<br>$22^{\text {nd }}$ Floor<br>Denver, CO 80202<br>(O) 303-223-1100

Preger, Sealy, \& Co.-Underwriter
P.O. Box 375

9907 Georgetown Pike
Suite 300
Great Falls, VA 22066
(703) 757-6155

David Bamberger \& Associates-Marketing
Au: David Bamberger
5431 Majestic Drive
Colorado Springs, COP 80919
(0) 719-522-0776
(F) 719-592-1126

Norris Dullea-Planner
710 West Colfax Avenue
Denver, CO 80204
(303) 892-1166

SAS Consulting, LLC-Planner/Engineer
545 East Pikes Peak Avenue
Suite 207
Colorado Springs, CO 80903
(719) 630-2165

Rockwell Consulting, Inc.-Engineer
1955 Union Blvd.
Suite 200
Colorado Springs, CO 80918
(719) 475-2575

LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc.-Traffic
516 North Tejon
Colorado Springs, CO 80903
(719) 633-2865

## c. Type and Level of Services:

The proposal is to have a managing metropolitan district called Mesa Ridge Metropolitan District No. 1 and then a taxing districts called Mesa Ridge Metropolitan District No. 2 with all of the authority granted by Title 32, Colorado Revised Statutes:

Water<br>Sanitation<br>Street Improvements<br>Drainage and Landscaping<br>Park and Recreation<br>Mosquito Control<br>Safety Protection<br>Television Relay and Translator

Mesa Ridge consists of _approximately 531 acres. The Proposed Sketch Plan provides for Mesa Ridge to be developed into 1580 single family dwelling units, 240 multi-family units and approximately _thirty acres of commercial property in addition to parks, open space and trails. There will be a ten acre park site and a ten acre elementary school site.

This District shall act design, construct, and finance the municipal infrastructure which will consist of the main arterials and collectors together with the municipal water system and primary sewer and water distribution lines. Drainage, traffic safety devices, and landscaping will also be included.

## c. Overview of the Financing and Construction Plans:

The intent is that the Districts will provide for all necessary municipal infrastructure. A municipal water system will be developed connecting to Widefield Water which will provide a municipal water supply. Natural gas will be provided by the Peoples and electricity will be provided by Mountain View Electric. Sanitation will be provided by Fountain Sanitation District.

The District will provide and maintain trails and open spaces. Drainage improvements will be coordinated with the overall drainage basin study.

Roads will be designed and constructed to El Paso County standards with future maintenance to be performed by the County. Build-out is anticipated to take 7 years.

Financing initially will be provided by limited tax general obligation bonds with a capped mill levy. The owners/developers will own all or part of these bonds or will otherwise provide the necessary credit enhancements. There could also be traditional revenue bond financing through District "Enterprises". There will be safeguards in place to insure homeowner protection from excessive debt; i.e. a mill levy of 35 mills, capped at 50 mills. It is anticipated that the municipal
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infrastructure will cost approximately $\$ 23,000,000$. Bonds will be issued in a series of 2-3 tranches.
d. Proposed Time Schedule:

April 15,2004-Submit letter of Intent
April 19, 2004-Initial conference with Committee
April 19, 2004-Submit formal Service Plan
April 19-30, 2004-Meet with Committee to Review Service Plan
April 30, 2004-Sketch Plan Submittal
May 1, 2004-Submit redraft of Service Plan
May 15-June 15, 2004-Planning Commission
June 15-July 15, 2004-County Commissioners
July 15, 2004-Court Petition
August 15, 2004-Court ordered public hearing
November 2, 2004-General Election
e. Map and legal description (see attached). District boundaries are represented by the cross hatched area.


## El Paso Countv Schorlule Information <br> PROPOSED MESA RIDGE METROPOLITAN DISTRICT



COPYRIGHT 2003 by the board of County Commissioners, El Paso County, Colorado. All rights reserved. No part of this document or data contained hereon may be reproduced; used to prepare derivative products; or distributed without the specific written approval of printing. El Paso County, Colorado makes on county, Colorado. This document was prepared from the best data available at the time of


## LEGAL DESCRIPTION

MESA RIDGE METRO DISTRICT

That portion of sections 27, 28, 29 and 30, Township 15 south, Range 65 west of Che 6th P.M., and of macte 1 and 2, in. SUBDIVISTON wo. 1 or THE FOUNTAIN SUBURBAN HOLRS CORPORATIOK, El. Paso County, Colorado, according to the plat thereor recorded in Plat Book L at Page 44, 区i Paso County, Colorado; zore particularly described as follows:

Commencing at the sontheast corner of said Section 29 ; thence $N 00^{\circ} 28^{\prime 0} 06^{\circ} \mathrm{g}$
(all bearinge used in this despription are (all bearinge used in this description ara relative to the kast line of the
 the Frat lino of tho Southeast quarter of eaid section, 1320.15 font to a point on the North line of the South half of the Southwent gurarter of said Section 28


 thence on the arce of a curve to the left whose chord bears s 82.57102 W , having a ceritral angle of $210^{\circ} 48^{\prime 26 \%}$, a radius of 1460.00 fect and an are length
 feetr thence on the arc of a curve to the right whose chond btars $\mathrm{NE} 88^{\circ} 28^{\circ} 40^{\circ}$ w, having a central angle of $38^{\circ} 57^{\prime} 01 \omega^{\prime \prime}$ a radius of 1960.00 feet and an axc length of 1332.43 Eeett Chence $2569.00 \% 10=$ Wr 196.95 feety thence of the arc of a curve to the left having a central angle of $20^{\circ} 39^{\circ} 29^{\prime \prime}$, a radius of 2540.00
 point on the Northerly rignt-ot-way line of Cross Creak Avenve as platted in Warkets at Kesa Ridge Filing No. 3 as recorded under Reception No. 201084494 of the recoris of gatd El Paso County, chence continue N $89.39^{\circ} 39^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ OB said. wortherly right-of-way line, 38.83 feet to the southeasterly corner of hot 11 in eaid Maikets at Koss Ridge Filing No. 3: thence $N$ 39*45"21" $F$ on the. Easterly line of aaid Lot. 11 and of Lot. 9 in Uarkets at Hesa Ridge Filing No. 3A as recorded under Reception No. 203130818 of said records, 728.90 feet to a Deed recorded
 Parkway, thence $\$ 59009$ on the Mortherly right-of-way line of sald Mase Ridge Southeasterly corner of Markets at Mid right-of-way line. 328.19 fent to tha Receptiai No. 200014338, thence Northerly on Fhe Faeterig 1 as recorded under -Markets at Hesa Ridge Piling No. 1ifor the following three (3) courses: (1)
 thence N $35^{\circ} 00^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime}$ W, 375.00 feet to a point on the Southerly line of the ifala Cansl of the Fountain zhutual Irrigation Company as describcd in Eook 5992 at Page 1279 of the records of said 81 Paso County, chence Eastorly on zald Southerly line for the following twenty-three (23) courscas: (1) thence is $50^{\circ} 23^{\prime 2} 5^{\prime \prime}$ E, 70.00 feet; (2) thence on the arc of a curve to the left having a central angle of $45 \% 29.30 \%$, a radius of 505.00 foct and an arc length of 400.96 Feets (3) thence $N 04^{\circ} 53^{\prime} 55^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 95.20$ feet; (4) thence on the arc of a curve to che right having a central angle or $57^{\circ} 18^{\prime} 00=$, a radios of 10.00 feet. and an arc length of 10.00 feet; (5) thence $N 62^{\circ} 11,55^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 151.50$ feet; ( 6 ) thence on the arc of a curve to the loft having a central angle of 26.01000 , a radina of
 Feet, (8) thence on the azc of a curre to the right having a central angle of $80^{\circ} 05^{\prime} 00^{\circ}$, a zradius of 50.00 feet and an are length of 69.89 feet; (9) thence $s$ continued on next page
63.44.05* E, 167.90 reet: (10) thence on the arc of a cunve to the right having a central angle of $68^{\circ} 01^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime}$, a radius of 10.00 feet and an arc length of 11.87 feet; (11) thence $504^{\circ} 16^{\prime} 55^{\circ}$ W, 123-60 fcet; (12) thence on the are of a curve to the right having a central angle of $27^{\circ} 22^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime}$, a radius of 175.00 feet and on arc length of 83.59 Eeat; (13) thence 5 31038'55" w, 7.60 feet; (14). thence on the arc of a curve to tha left having a central angle of $50^{\circ} 24^{\prime} 30^{\circ}$, a radius of 90.00 feet and an aro length of 79.18 feet;. (15) chenca. $518^{\circ} 45^{\circ} 35^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{B}$. 59.00 feet; (16) thence on the arc of a curve to the right having a central angle of $12^{\circ} 16^{\circ} 00^{\circ}$, a radius of 200.00 feet and an arc langeh of 42.82 feet; (17) thence $S 06^{\circ} 29^{\prime} 35^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{B}, 98.10$ feet: (18) thence on the arc of a curve to the left having a central angle of $138^{\circ} 32^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime}$. a radius of 105.00 feet and an arc longth of
 a curve to the right having a central angle of $24^{\circ} 10 \cdot 00=$ a radius of 30.00 feet and an arc length of 12.65 feet: (21) thonce iv $59^{\circ} 03^{\circ} 25^{\circ} \mathrm{E}, 90.40$ feet, (22) chence on the are of a curve to the right having a central anglo of $111^{\circ} 37139 \mathrm{~m}$, a radius of 10.00 feet and an arc length of 19.48 fect, (23) theace $\mathrm{S} 09^{\circ} 13^{\prime} 56$ E, 39.64 feet to a point on the Southerly boundary of said krain Canal as described in Book 5506 at.Dage 1290 of said records; ehence Eastorly on sald Southerly linc for the following forty (10) courses: (1) thenco on the are of a curve to the right whose chord bears $553^{\circ} 29^{\circ} 41^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$, baving a central angle of $00^{\circ} 25^{\prime \prime} 52 \pi$, a radius of 1195.00 feet and an arc length of 11.25 feet; (2) thence $509^{\circ} 13^{\prime} 56^{\circ} \mathrm{E}, 58.20$ feet, (3) thence on the arc of a curve to cha left having a central angle of $11^{\circ} 5^{\circ} 8^{\circ} 0^{\circ}$, a radias of 390.00 feet and an are
 arc of a curvo to, the right having a contral angle of $47^{\circ} 26^{\circ} 19 \%$, a radius of 40.00 feet and an arc length of 33.12 feet; (6) thence $526^{\circ} 13^{\prime} 42 \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{~W}, 72.43$ Eeet; (7) thence on the arc of a curve to the lerc having a centrial angle of $39^{\circ} 03^{\prime 3} 3 \sigma^{\prime}$, a radias of. 120.00 feet and an axc length of 81.81 feet; ( 8 ) thence
 a central angle of $40^{\circ} 28^{\circ} 01 \%$ a iradius of 165.00 faet and an arc leagth of 116.54. feet; (10) thence $553^{\circ} 17^{\prime} 56^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 119.23$ feet; (11) thence on the arc of a-carre to the laft naving a central angle of $52^{\circ} 22^{\prime \prime 33^{\circ}}$. 2 radius of 150.00
 (19) thence on che arc of a curve to the lift having a central anglo of $38^{\circ} 21.19^{\prime \prime}$, a rading of 165.00 feet and an arc length of 110.46 feet; (14) thence N $35^{\circ} 58^{\circ} 13^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 294.27$ feet, (15) thence on tho are of $n$ eurno to the leit maving a central angle of $39^{\circ} 5^{\prime \prime} 3^{\circ}$., a radius of 420.00 faet and an arc lengch of 292.06 feets (16) thonce $N 03^{\circ} 52^{\prime \prime} 21$ IN, 230.04 (Eett, (27) thence on the arc of a curve to the right having a contral angle of $16^{\circ} 1^{\prime} 103^{\prime \prime}$, a radius
 351.27 feet; (19) thence on the arc of a curve to the right having a contral angla of $46^{\circ} 10^{\prime \prime} 51 \%$, a radius of 20.00 feot and an are length of 16.12 feet (20) thence $N 58^{\circ} 35^{\prime} 33=\mathrm{E}, 360.62$ foot, (21) thence on the are of a curve to the left baving a central angla of $24^{\circ} 15^{\prime} 55^{\prime \prime}$, a radius of 260.00 feot and an axc length of 110.11 feet; (22) thence $N 4^{\circ} 19^{\prime} 38=\mathrm{E}, 103.21$ feet; (23) thence on the axc of a curve to tho right having a contral anglo of $177^{\circ} 50$ (17\%, a radius of 160.00 feet and an arc length of 49.81 feets (24) thence $N 52^{\circ} 09^{\circ} 55^{\prime \prime}$ s. 78.21 foot, (25) thence on the arc of a curve to the xight having a cencral angle of $86^{\circ} 49^{\prime \prime} 13^{\prime \prime}$, a radius of 50.00 feet and an arc length of 75.76 . feet: Continued on next page
(26) thence $S 41^{\circ} 00^{\prime} 52^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$. 343 - 09 faet: (27) thence on the arc of a curve to the left having a central angle of 61004'42日, a radius of 220.00 feet and an arc length of 234.52 feat: (28) thence $N 77051.26^{\circ} 8,93.79$ fiot, (29) thence on the aro of a curve to the right havirg a central angle of $100^{\circ} 24.22 \%$, a radiac of 55.00 feat and an are length of 96.38 feet, (30) thence $501 \% 41.12 \%$ E, 137.18 feet, (31) thence on tho arc of a curve to the left having a cencral sngle of $46^{\circ} 29^{\prime 2} 3^{\circ}$, a radius of 88.00 feet and an axc lengrh of 72.40 feet: (32) thence $5.48^{\circ} 10^{\prime} 35^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$; 126.77 feet; (33) thence on the arc of a curra. to the left having a central angle of $40^{\circ} 56^{\circ} 06^{\circ}$, a radiug of 220.00 faer and an arc leagth of 157.18 feet; (34) thence $S 89.05^{\prime \prime} 41 \mathrm{E}, 124.09$ feet; (35) thence on the arc of a curve to the right having a central angle of 04053'33日, a radius of 460.00 feet and an arc leagth of 39.28 faet, (36) thence 584013108 c 5, 236.92 feet, (37) thence on the arc of $a$ eurvo to the right having a central angle of $90^{\circ} 54^{\circ} 00 \times$, a radius. of 23.00 feat and an arc length of 36.49 feet; (38) chence $506^{\circ} 40^{\prime \prime} 52^{\prime \prime} W_{r} 209.80$ feet, (39) thonce on the arc of a curva to the left having a central angle of $32^{\circ} 12^{\prime} 44^{\circ}$, a radius of 152.00 feet and an
 che southerly line of said Main Canal as described in said Book 5992 at Page 1279: thence Ractexly on said Southorly line for the following forty-chrec (43) courses: (1) thence on the arc of a curve to the left whose chord bears $s$ 25*15'44" 3, having a contral anglo of 01*27'44", a radius of 142.00 feet and an arc lezgth of 3.62 feet; (2) thence $526^{\circ} 59.36^{\circ} \mathrm{E}, 16.67$ feet; (3) thance on the are of a curve to the left baving a central angle of $91^{\circ} 18^{\circ} 90 \mathrm{n}$, a radius of 108.00 feet and an arc langth of 59.01 faet; (4) thence $558^{\circ} 18106^{\circ} \mathrm{g}, 16.12$ fect: (5) thenca on the are of a curve to the left having a cencral angle of $49^{\circ} 09^{\prime} 42^{\circ}$, a radius of 100.00 feet and an arc length of 85.80 feet: (6) thence N $72^{\circ} 3^{\prime 2} 13^{\circ} \mathrm{E}, 75.02$ Eeet; (7) thence on che arc of a curve to the left having a contral angle of $49^{\circ} 2^{\prime \prime} 15^{\prime \prime}$, a radius of 119.00 faet and an arc length of 202.61 foet; (8) thence $N 23^{\circ} 07^{\prime \prime} 57{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{E}, 36.72$. Feat; (9) thence on the arc of a curve to the left having a central angle of $16^{\circ} 41 / 49 \mathrm{~F}$, m radius of 217.00 foot and ain arc lexgth of 63.24 feet: (10) thence $N 06^{\circ} 26^{\prime \prime} 08{ }^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 203.01$ feetg (11)
 thence on the arc of a curve to the right having a' central angle of 17012.33:, a radius of 136.00 fier and an arc length of 42.04 feets (14) thenco is
 a central angle of $25^{\circ} 16^{\circ} 31 \%$, a radius of 10.00 fect and an are length of 4.41
 feets (18) thence on the arc of a curve to the right having a contral angle of $36^{\circ} 52^{\circ} 30 \%$, a radius of 44.00 faet and an arc length of 28.32 fcot: (29) thence $S 14^{\circ} 13^{\prime} 09^{\circ} \mathrm{E}, 45.30$ feet, (20) thence on the arc of $a$ curve to the left having a cointral angle of $13^{\circ} 3^{\prime \prime} 00^{\prime \prime}$, a redius af 172.00 feot and an nacc lenget of 40.73 feat: (21) thance S 27047'09m E. 319.85 foat; (22) thance 5 (41009r52=5, 48.9 feer, (23) thence on the arc of a curva to the left having a central angle of $28^{\circ} 52^{\prime} 45^{\circ}$, in radius of 153.00 feot and an are length of 77.12 fect;
 (26) thence on the arc of $a$ curve to the right having a central angle of 25'13'15", a radias of 51.00 feet and an arc langth of 22.45 feet; (27) thence S $49^{\circ} 40^{\prime \prime} 56^{\circ}$ E, 523.16 fcet, (28) thonce on the arc of a carve to che left having a contrial anglo of $29.38^{\prime 12 \%}$, a fadiug of 175.00 feet and an arc length Continaed on next page

Of 90.52 fect; (29) thence $s 79^{\circ} 19.08 \mathrm{~g}$, 312.08 feet; (30) thence on the arc of a curve to the right having a ceintral angle of $37 \circ 39.420^{\circ}$ a radius of 58 arc (32) and an arc length of 38.12 Eaet: (31) thence $S 41039126^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{K}, 256.99$ feet: 34.30.25", a radius of 55.00 curve to the right baving a central angle of S $07^{\circ} 09^{\prime \prime} 0 x \mathrm{x}$ g. 147.36 feot; (34) and an arc leagth of 33.12 Eaet, (33) thence having a contral angle of $77^{\circ} 34^{\prime} 32^{\circ}$. ance on the arc of a curve to the left of 292.25 Eact; (35) thence $S$ 84. 43 ' 3 a radius of 142.00 feet and an arc langth of a curve to tho right having a central angle 45 feit; (96) thence on the are feat and an axc length of.57.34 feet, (37) angle of $14^{\circ} 06^{\circ} 03^{\circ}$, a radins of 233.00 (38) thence on the arc of a curve to (37) thence $570^{\circ} 37 \cdot 30 \mathrm{~F}$, 42.79 Ecetp 89002.05\%, a radius of 91.00 fact and in left haring a cencral angle of N $20^{\circ} 20^{\circ} 25^{\circ}$ E, 404.62 feet, ( 40 ) thence an 242323 langth of 141.42 feet, (39) thence on the arc of a curra to the xight having 242323 wi, 214.77 feat; (41) thence radius of 75.00 feet and an arc length of 52 central angle of $10000^{\prime 0} 00^{\circ}$ a a E, 154.90 reet: (43) thonce on the arc of 52.36 feet, (42) thence N 64029.290
 a polnt on tha North line of the South halr and an arc longth of 78.44 feet to Section 28; thence $2889 \cdot 44 \cdot 40$ g on sald No of the Nrorthonst quarter of said the North line of the South half of tha North line, 2366.24 feet to a point on
 Marchwestarly risht-of-way line of harkehaffel 62 foot to a point on the caid Northwesterly right-of-way line for the foll Road, thence Southwesterly on thence $s 33056.45 \mathrm{M}$, 1429.37 feet: (2) the following three (3) coursea: (1) right having a central angle of $09^{\circ} 16^{\prime 2} 28^{\prime \prime}$ thance on the axc of a curve to the length of 922.65 feet; (3) thence $543^{\circ} 1^{\prime \prime}$ : a radius of 5699.98 feet and an arc Northerly right-of-way lime or C E 5 Road, ${ }^{\prime \prime}$. W. 2787. as feet to a point on the Northeriy right-of-way 2ine, 1490.44 foad, chence $s$ 89044.27" W on said to the Northeast corner of Tract 1 of Sety thence N 00-18'33: E, 1290.05 feet Suburban Eomes Corporation as recorded indivition No. 1 of The fountain records, said point also being on Sonthwest quarter of said Section 28: Horth line of the South half of the
 the Wast line of said Section 28 , thence 1565.00 Feet East of and parallel with
 thence $589^{\circ} 4^{\prime \prime} 27^{-1}$ W on said Northerly righght-of-way line of eaid $c$ \& $s$ Road; point on a lina being 1165.00 feat Easterit of-way line, 400.00 faet to a of aaid Section 28: thence $N 00 \cdot 28 \cdot 06^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ on of and parallal with the Wost line a point on the korth line of the South half said parallel ling, 1290.12 feet to
 the West line of the Easterly 200.00 said North line, 400.00 feet to a point on 2 in subdivision No. 1 of tho Formtain fect of the Westerly 965.00 feet of Tract
 right-of-wry line of said $C \& s$ Roady feet to a point on tho Northarly right-of-may line, 600.00 feot to a thence $S$ 89.44.27" W on said Northerly 165.00 feet of gaid Tract 2 , thence point on the East line of the Werter $00^{\circ} 28^{\circ}$ feet to a point on the North lina of the $5^{\circ} 00^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ on said East 2ine, 1290,14 said section 28; thonce 3 89.44.31i for on said half of the Southwost quartar of POINT OF Beginning: excerping therefrom chaid North line, 165.00 feet to the continued on therce E included wichin

## said Mesa Ridge Parkway,

TOGRTBEP WTM
portion of the South
the 6th P.M., El Paso Cour of section 29, Township 15 axibed as follows:
commening at the southeast eor
(all bearings used in Chis description are Section 29: thenca 589.45012 D w the South guarter of said Section 29 , which was ative to the kast line of the $89^{\circ} 36.30^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ on the south linest quarter of said section to bo $\mathrm{N} 00^{\circ} 28^{\circ} 06^{\circ}$ in) on feet; thence N $00^{\circ} 20^{\circ} 2 I^{\prime \prime}$ iline of the Sonthwest quarter of 2634 -71 feet; thence N section, 2000.64 feet to a pon the Nest line of tho Sor of Exid-saction, 2659.17 Conduit - Lateral as describeint on the contorlina of theast guartor of said records of said El Paso Connied in Dieed recorded in Boot the Pountain Falley feet to a point on the Rasterly thonce $589 \circ 51 / 39 \mathrm{~g}$ g on 3324 at Page 784 of the platted in Markets at Mosa pidy right-of-way line of posaid centerline, 91.77 201084494 of said recordi Ridgo Filing No. 3 as recor Pontaln Mesa Road as curye to the right on caid and the ponnr of BEGINHINGy 11"40'16" 㫙, having a conthastarly right-of-riay ling chence on the arc of a to are length of 195.75 feat angle of $12^{\circ} 05^{\prime \prime} 49^{\prime \prime}$, line whose chord bears is to the left whoec chord beare $s$ a point of cusp; thenadius of 927.14 feet and 30.17.53". a radius of 28.00 f $52^{\circ} 39^{\prime \prime} 46^{\circ} \mathrm{g}$, baving once the arc of a curve central 2, 13.70 foet, themee and an arc length of 45 gil angle of



 lina, 773 atesa Ridge Filing No. 3, OI Cross Creek Avenue as.0. feat to a point
 $89^{\circ} 51^{-39}$; thence $539^{\circ} 45^{\prime 2}$. W on Centerline of said Pountain right-of-way cencerline. 584.82 feet to the pornv or fet; thence N SOUSIBER NXIE that poirtion of the Southrest Southeast quarter of section 30. Tomahrast guartor of sc P.M., 51 Paso Councy, Colorado, Townshit 15 South, Range 65 , 29 and of thie particalarly deceribed ae follows: 6th (ald bearings whe Southoast corner of soid section Southeast quarter of this description are relative 29; thonce 589046.12 N the South line of the Sou Section 29. which wan sasume the East line of the

 sectioIf, 1318.22 feat to the the Waft lime of the sont of said section, 2658.17 Soucheast guarter of said. Southoart corner of the sothwest quarter of gaid $89^{\circ} 22^{\prime \prime 0 s i}$ if an the Noxthorlytion 30 and the POINT Or Noxtheast quartar af the recorded in plat Book G-3 ly boundisy of Conrey STI OF BEGINNING; thence $S$ 412.93 faer to a point on at Page 52 of the records of said Sacond filing as quarter of the Southeast quarter lime of the East of said 12 Pl Paso County. Section 30, thence $\$ 00^{\circ}$ the Northeast Continued on next page $20^{\prime} 21^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ on
said Wost line, 1291.41 fcet to a point on the Southorly right-of-way line of
Mesa Road as shown on Annexation pl 1 to the city of Pountain as recorded of Pountain Industrial Center Filing of No. zecords; ehence $N$ 19 ${ }^{\circ} 32^{\circ} 06^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{g}$ on said in Plat Dook $\mathrm{B}-3$ at Page 5 of eaid on the Weaterly right-of-way line of rowht-of-way line, 318 -28 feet to a point recoxds; chence right-of-way line ofterly on said right-of-way lipeion No. 201084494 of said the Poince Fillng No said Founcain kesa Road as plated on the Westerly records for the following racorded under Reception No. in Country Croasing at feet: (2) thence N $89035^{\circ}$ six (6) courecs: (1) thence. 200014344 of said the right having a centeal E , 9.91 feet, (3) thence $\mathrm{S} 00^{\circ} 24.39 \mathrm{~m}$ g, 14.91 arc length of 63.36 feet; angle of $90.45^{\prime} 01 \%$, a radius the arc of a curva to tho asc of a curve to (4) thence $500^{\circ} 20^{\prime} 21^{\prime}$. 1089.08 feet and an arc left having a central angi 243.85 feet; (5) thance on thence on the are of are length of 403.79 feet to a point $21^{\circ} 14^{\prime \prime} 34 \mathrm{n}$, a radius of radius of 1030.21 feet and curvo to the lert haviag a centint of compound curver (6) cornor of rot 1 in country an arc length of 81.30 feet to angle of $04^{\circ} 311^{\circ} 18^{\circ}$, a madar Recoption No. 2001 (ry Crossing it the Pointe Finin the Northeasterly sald subdivision for the 200143676 , thencia Southerly on the Nest. 28 as recorded 32.41 feet; (2) for the following five (5) courses (i) Westerly boundany of
 $134^{\circ} 24^{\circ} 55^{\circ}$, a radius of chord bears $s 3^{\circ} 07 \cdot 5^{\circ} \mathrm{m}$, havingence on the arc of $a$ of reverse cuives (4) of 50.00 feet and an arc length aning a central angle af central angle of $44^{\circ} 24 \cdot 55{ }^{\circ}$ on the arc of a curve to of 117.30 fest to a point feer; (5) chence $500^{\circ} 20^{\prime \prime}$, a radius of 20.00 feat and the right having a



| District Court, El Paso County, State of Colorado 20 E. Vermijo <br> Colorado Springs, CO 80903 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| IN THE MATTER OF THE ORGANIZATION OF THE MESA RIDGE METROPOLITAN DISTRICT NO. 1 AND THE MESA RIDGE METROPOLITAN DISTRICT NO. 2 |  |
|  | 4 Court use only |
| Peter M. Susemihl (\#494) | Case Number:04CV3800 3804 |
| Susemihl, McDermott \& Cowan, P.C. 660 Southpointe Court, Suite 210 |  |
| Colorado Springs, CO 80906 | Div. 5 Ctrm: |
| Phone Number: (719) 579-6500 |  |
| FAX Number: (719) 579-9339 |  |
| E-mail: PSusemihl@SMMCLAW.com |  |

TO THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FOURTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT SITTING IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF EL PASO:

COMES NOW the Petitioners below named, by and through their attorneys, SUSEMIHL, MCDERMOTT \& COWAN, P.C., and being desirous that Metropolitan Districts should be organized, embracing the territory hereinafter described, in accordance with the terms and provisions of the laws of Colorado, would respectfully represent and show on to this Honorable Court as follows:

1. The name of the proposed Districts shall be Mesa Ridge Metropolitan District No. 1 and Mesa Ridge Metropolitan District No. 2 located in El Paso County, Colorado.
2. The type of services to be provided by the proposed District are as follows:
(a) street improvements, drainage, and safety protection;
(b) sanitation and storm sewer;
(c) parks and recreation;
(d) water; and
(e) mosquito control;
3. This Petition is signed and consented to by Creig Veldhuizen, Dean Wieseler, Kevin Walker, Kent Petre, and David Jenkins who represent not less than thirty percent (30\%) of the qualified electors of the proposed Districts and who are also the petitioners.
4. A general description of the facilities and improvements that will be constructed, installed, purchased or otherwise acquired by the District are as follows:
(a) Water and sewer lines
(b) Roads
(c) Park and Recreation Facilities
(d) Trails
(e) Drainage Improvements
(f) Landscaping
(g) Traffic safety devices
5. The proposed Mesa Ridge Metropolitan District No. 1 and Mesa Ridge Metropolitan District No. 2 lie on the northern edge of the Town of Fountain in the unincorporated portion of El Paso County and are also included in School District 8, and the Security Village Fire Protection District.
6. The estimated cost of the proposed facilities and improvements to be paid by the proposed District in the first ten years after its organization will be $\$ 23,000,000$.
7. The proposed District will derive its revenue from a mill levy not to exceed thirty mills for debt service and seven mills for operations/maintenance with the total mill levy capped at 50 mills, Gallagher adjusted.
8. The proposed Mesa Ridge Metropolitan District No. 1 consists of 1 acre and Mesa Ridge Metropolitan District No. 2 consist of approximately 523 acres located between Mesa Ridge Parkway and Marksheffel Road. Attached hereto and incorporated by reference is a Vicinity Map of the proposed Districts with an attached legal description of the real property to be included within said Districts.
9. The Petitioners are not proposing to have election wards within the proposed District.
10. Petitioners request that the Mesa Ridge Metropolitan District No. 1 and Mesa Ridge Metropolitan District No. 2 be organized pursuant to the statutes of the State of Colorado as a metropolitan special district.
11. The County Commissioners of El Paso County met in regular session on Thursday, September 30, 2004, and conducted a hearing on the proposed Mesa Ridge Metropolitan District No. 1 and Mesa Ridge Metropolitan District No. 2 and adopted a resolution approving the Service Plan as required by C.R.S. 32-1-205. A copy of said Resolution is attached hereto and incorporated by reference.
12. There are other districts within a three (3) mile radius of the proposed Mesa Ridge Metropolitan District No. 1 and Mesa Ridge Metropolitan District No. 2 all of which shall be given notice of this Petition.
13. Tendered herewith the Petitioners file a cash deposit in lieu of bond in the amount of One Hundred Dollars ( $\$ 100.00$ ) to pay all expenses connected with these proceedings in the case the organization of the special districts is not effected as required by C.R.S. 32-1-302. The Petitioners understand that at anytime during the proceedings, if the Court is not satisfied that the cash deposit is sufficient, the Court may require the execution of an additional bond or the deposit of additional cash.

WHEREFORE, Petitioners pray that the Mesa Ridge Metropolitan District No. 1 and Mesa Ridge Metropolitan District No. 2 be organized including the described territory and that this Court shall by Order fix a time and place of hearing on this Petition and for such other and further procedure and relief as to the Court may seem proper in the premises.

Respectfully submitted,

11. The County Commissioners of El Paso County met in regular session on Thursday, September 30, 2004, and conducted a hearing on the proposed Mesa Ridge Metropolitan District No. 1 and Mesa Ridge Metropolitan District No. 2 and adopted a resolution approving the Service Plan as required by C.R.S. 32-1-205. A copy of said Resolution is zttached hereto and incorporated by reference.
12. There are other districts within a three (3) mile radius of the proposed Mesa Ridge Metropolitan District No. 1 and Mesa Ridge Metropolitan District No. 2 all of which shall be given notice of this Petition.
13. Tendered herewith the Petitioners file a cash deposit in lieu of bond in the amount of One Hundred Dollars $(\$ 100.00)$ to pay all expenses connected with these proceedings in the case the organization of the special districts is not effected as required by C.R.S. 32-1-302. The Petitioners understand that at anytimeduring the proceedings, if the Court is not satisfied that the cash deposit is sufficient, the Court may require the execution of an additional bond or the deposit of additional cash.

WHEREFORE, Petitioners pray that the Mesa Ridge Metropolitan District No. 1 and Mesa Ridge Metropolitan District No. 2 be organized including the described territory and that this Court shall by Order fix a time and place of hearing on this Petition and for such other and further procedure and relief as to the Court may seem proper in the premises.

Respectfully submitted,

SUSEMIHL, McDERMOTT \& COWAN, P.C.

BY:
Peter M. Susemihl (\#494)

## PETITIONERS:



## Dean Wieseler

Kevin Walker

## Kent Pere

## David Jenkins

## STATE OF COLORADO )

) ss:

COUNTY OF Douglas )
Creig Veldhuizen, being first sworn upon his oath, deposes and says: That he has read the above and foregoing Petition for Organization of Mesa Ridge Metropolitan District No. 1 and Mesa Ridge Metropolitan District No. 2, knows the contents thereof, and that same are true of his own knowledge.


Craig Veldhüizen

Subscribed and sworn to before me this $17^{\text {th }}$ day of September 2004, by the above named Petitioner.

Witness my hand and official seal.
My commission expires: Feb. 24, 2007 .


Notary Public

## STATE OF COLORADO ) ) ss: COUNTY OF EL PASS )

Dean Wieseler, being first sworn upon his oath, deposes and says: That he has read the above and foregoing Petition for Organization of Mesa Ridge Metropolitan District No. 1 and Mesa Ridge Metropolitan District No. 2, knows the contents thereof, and that same are true of his own knowledge.

## PETITIONERS:

## Creig Veldhuizen



## STATE OF COLORADO ) ) ss: <br> COUNTY OF <br> $\qquad$ )

Creig Veldhuizen, being first sworn upon his oath, deposes and says: That he has read the above and foregoing Petition for Organization of Mesa Ridge Metropolitan District No. 1 and Mesa Ridge Metropolitan District No. 2, knows the contents thereof, and that same are true of his own knowledge.

> Creig Veldhuizen

Subscribed and sworn to before me this $\qquad$ day of $\qquad$ 2004, by the above named Petitioner.

Witness my hand and official seal.
My commission expires: $\qquad$ .

Notary Public

## PETITIONERS:

Creig Veldhuizen


Kevin Walker

Kent Petre

David Jenkins

## STATE OF COLORADO ) ) ss:

COUNTY OF __ )
Creig Veldhuizen, being first sworn upon his oath, deposes and says: That he has read the above and foregoing Petition for Organization of Mesa Ridge Metropolitan District No. 1 and Mesa Ridge Metropolitan District No. 2, knows the contents thereof, and that same are true of his own knowledge.

> Creig Veldhuizen

Subscribed and sworn to before me this $\qquad$ day of $\qquad$ 2004, by the above named Petitioner.

Witness my hand and official seal.
My commission expires: $\qquad$ .

Notary Public

## STATE OF COLORADO ) ) ss: <br> COUNTY OF ELPASO ) Douglas ep

Dean Wieseler, being first sworn upon his oath, deposes and says: That he has read the above and foregoing Petition for Organization of Mesa Ridge Metropolitan District No. 1 and Mesa Ridge Metropolitan District No. 2, knows the contents thereof, and that same are true of his own knowledge.


Subscribed and sworn to before me this $20^{\text {th }}$ day of September 2004, by the
named Petitioner. above named Petitioner.

Witness my hand and official seal.
My commission expires: Feb. 24,2007.7



Notary Public

## STATE OF COLORADO ) ) ss: COUNTY OF EL PASS )

Kevin Walker, being first sworn upon his oath, deposes and says: That he has read the above and foregoing Petition for Organization of Mesa Ridge Metropolitan District No. 1 and Mesa Ridge Metropolitan District No. 2, knows the contents thereof, and that same are true of his own knowledge.


Subscribed and sworn to before me this $17 / 2$ day of September 2004, by the above named Petitioner.

Witness my hand and official seal.
My commission expires: $\qquad$ 617105 .


## STATE OF COLORADO ) <br> COUNTY OF ELPASO )

Kent Petre, being first sworn upon his oath, deposes and says: That he has read the above and foregoing Petition for Organization of Mesa Ridge Metropolitan District No. 1 and Mesa Ridge Metropolitan District No. 2, knows the contents thereof, and that same are true of his own knowledge.


Subscribed and sworn to before me this 20 th day of SeptemDer_2004, by the above named Petitioner.

Witness my hand and official seal.
My commission expires: $\qquad$ 617105 $\qquad$


## STATE OF COLORADO ) <br> ) ss: <br> COUNTY OF EL PASS )

David Jenkins, being first sworn upon his oath, deposes and says: That he has read the above and foregoing Petition for Organization of Mesa Ridge Metropolitan District No. 1 and Mesa Ridge Metropolitan District No. 2, knows the contents thereof, and that same are true of his own knowledge.


Subscribed and sworn to before me this Roth day of September _2004, by the above named Petitioner.

Witness my hand and official seal.
My commission expires: $\qquad$ 617105 .


## CONSENT OF THE PROPERTY OWNERS:

MESA RIDGE JOINT VENTURE LLC, a Colorado Limited liability company

## STATE OF COLORADO )

COUNTY OF EL PASS )
Kevin J WalKer $\qquad$ , being first sworn upon his oath, deposes and says: That he has read the above and foregoing Petition for Organization of Mesa Ridge Metropolitan District No. 1 and Mesa Ridge Metropolitan District No. 2, knows the contents thereof, and that same are true of his own knowledge.


Subscribed and sworn to before me this Doth day of September 2004, by Kevin. (Q)ai ken as Manager of Mesa Ridge Joint Venture, LLC.

Witness my hand and official seal.
My commission expires: $\qquad$ $6 / 2105$ .




## LEGAL DESCRIPTION One Acre Tract:

That portion of the Southeast Quarter of Section 28, Township 15 South, Range 65 West of the 6th P.M., El Paso County, Colorado, more particularly described as follows:

Commencing at the South Quarter corner of said Section 28; thence $\mathrm{N} 00^{\circ} 18^{\prime} 33^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ (all bearings used in this description are relative to the West line of the Southwest quarter of said Section 28, as monumented by a 3" dia. Alum. surveyor's cap marked PLS 17654, at the Southwest corner of said Section 28 and a 3" dia. Alum. surveyor's cap marked PLS 14611 at the west Quarter corner of said Section 28, and was assumed to be $\mathrm{N} 00^{\circ} 28^{\prime} 06^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ ) on the East line of the Southwest quarter the Southeast Quarter of said Section 28, 30.00 feet to a point on the northerly right-of-way line of C \& S Road; thence N $89^{\circ} 44^{\prime} 27^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ on said northerly right-of-way line, 1490.44 feet to a point of intersection with the northwesterly right-of-way line of Marksheffel Road; thence $\mathrm{N} 14^{\circ} 23^{\prime} 17^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 257.80$ feet to the point of beginning of the tract to be described herein; thence $\mathrm{S} 89^{\circ} 44^{\circ} 27^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 250.00$ feet north of, as measured at right angles, and parallel with the aforementioned northerly right-of-way line of C \& S Road, 208.72 feet; thence $N 00^{\circ} 15^{\prime} 33^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 208.71$ feet; thence $\mathrm{N} 89^{\circ} 44^{\circ} 27^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$, parallel with the aforementioned northerly right-of-way line of C \& S Road, 208.72 feet; thence $\mathrm{S} 00^{\circ} 15^{\prime} 33^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 208.71$ feet to the point of beginning; said described tract contains 1.00 acre, more or less.

Commissioner Howells moved adoption of the following Resolution:
WHEREAS, Peter M. Susemihl did file an application with the Planning Department of El Paso County, Colorado, pursuant to §32-1-204(2), C.R.S., for the review of the Combined Service Plans for the Mesa Ridge Metropolitan District No. 1 ("District No. 1) and Mesa Ridge Metropolitan District No. 2 ("District No. 2"); and

WHEREAS, a public hearing was held by the El Paso County Planning Commission on August 17, 2004, upon which date the Planning Commission did by formal resolution recommend approval of the subject Combined Service Plans; and

WHEREAS, a public hearing was held by this Board on Thursday, September 30, 2004; and

WHEREAS, based on the evidence, testimony, exhibits, study of the master plan for the unincorporated area of the County, study of the proposed service plan, recommendations of the El Paso County Planning Commission, comments of the El Paso County Planning Department, comments of public officials and agencies, and comments from all interested parties, this Board finds as follows:

1. That proper publication and public notice were provided as required by law for the hearings before the Planning Commission and the Board of County Commissioners of El Paso County.
2. That the hearings before the Planning Commission and the Board of County Commissioners of El Paso County were extensive and complete, that all pertinent facts, matters and issues were submitted and that all interested parties were heard at those hearings.
3. There is sufficient existing and projected need for organized service in the area to be served by the proposed Special District.
4. Existing service in the area to be served by the proposed Special District is inadequate for present and projected needs.
5. The proposed Special District is capable of providing economical and sufficient service to the area within the proposed boundaries.
6. The area to be included in the proposed Special District has or will have the financial ability to discharge the proposed indebtedness on a reasonable basis.


7. Adequate service is not or will not be available to the area through the County, other existing municipal or quasi-municipal corporations, including existing Special Districts, within a reasonable time and on a comparable basis.
8. The facility and service standards of the proposed Special District are compatible with the facility and service standards of each county within which the proposed Special District is to be located and each municipality which is an interested party.
9. The proposal is in substantial compliance with a Master Plan adopted pursuant to C.R.S. §30-28-106.
10. The proposal is in compliance with any duly adopted county, regional or state long-range water quality management plan for the area.
11. The creation of the proposed Special Districts will be in the best interests of the area proposed to be served.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED the Board of County Commissioners of El Paso County, Colorado, hereby approves the Service Plans submitted by Peter M. Susemihl for the Mesa Ridge Metropolitan District No. 1 and Mesa Ridge Metropolitan District No. 2, Title 32 Metropolitan Districts, for property more particularly described in the attached Exhibits for each District which are attached hereto and incorporated by reference.

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the following conditions shall be placed upon this approval:

1. The combined general obligation debt service mill levy on any property in these districts shall not exceed fifty (50) mills with adjustments allowed for the effects of the Gallagher Amendment. This limit is irrespective of the assessed valuation of the districts but may be reviewed by subsequent action by the Board of County Commissioners if the ratio of assessed valuation to outstanding general obligation debt rises above the thresholds established by State Statute at the time of the request.

Resolution No. 04-421
Page 4

Formation of the Council shall not occur until there are at least fifty (50) dwelling units constructed within the Districts. The Mesa Ridge Metropolitan District No. 1 shall be responsible for supporting the function of the Council. Creation and continuance of the Council shall be at the sole discretion of the County Commissioners, and Council may be disbanded if there is insufficient interest on the part of area residents.

If the City of Fountain becomes the approving authority pursuant to the Service Plan, Fountain would assume the authority of the County pursuant to this Condition No. 6.
7. After legal formation and prior to final platting of any properties within any of the proposed Districts, the applicants shall prepare a notice acceptable to Development Services Department staff informing all purchasers of property within the Districts of the non-traditional nature of this District arrangement and the consequent limitations on future representative participation. Such notice shall be recorded in the public record against all properties to be included in any of these districts.
8. In the event these Districts may be used to finance Local Public Improvements which are tied to the subdivision process, any Service Plans and/or subdivision agreements shall be structured in order to prevent a loss of use tax revenue to the County or other local government entities.
AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the following notations shall be placed upon
this approval:

1. In the event revenues or reserves are insufficient to meet scheduled bond payments, unpaid interest may be carried forward as a subsequent year obligation. This may have the effect of extending the schedule of required bond payments.
2. The applicants are put on notice that the creation of parcels for the purpose of qualifying electors for Districts 1 and 2 does not create a separate interest in the land pursuant to subdivision under CR5. 30-28-101 et. seq.
3. Approval of this Service Plan should in no way be construed to imply an obligation to approval any particular land use plan for the subject property.

DONE THIS 30th day of September, 2004, at Colorado Springs, Colorado.
BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS EL PASO COUNTY, COLORADO

By: $\frac{\text { Chairman }}{\text { Cletsances }}$ $\therefore$ Deputy County Clerk Deputy County Clerk
Commiss
having been called, all five Commissioners present the foregoing Resolution. The roll unanimously adopted by the Board of County Crent voted "aye," and the Resolution was State of Colorado.

## LEGAL DESCRIPTION One Acre Tract:

That portion of the Southeast Quarter of Section 28, Township 15 South, Range 65 West of the 6th P.M., El Paso County, Colorado, more particularly described as follows:

Commencing at the South Quarter corner of said Section 28; thence $\mathrm{N} 00^{\circ} 18^{\prime} 33^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ (all bearings used in this description are relative to the West line of the Southwest quarter of said Section 28, as monumented by a 3" surveyor's cap marked PLS 14611 at the west at the Southwest corner of said Section 28 and a 3" dia. Alum. N $00^{\circ} 28^{\prime} 06^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ ) on the East line of the Southwest a point on the northerly right-of-way line of C \& S Road; thentheast Quarter of said Section 28, 30.00 feet to line, 1490.44 feet to a point of intersection with the northwence N $89^{\circ} 44^{\prime} 27^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ on said northerly right-of-way thence $\mathrm{N} 14^{\circ} 23^{\prime} 17^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 257.80$ feet to the point of beginthwesterly right-of-way line of Marksheffel Road; thence $\mathrm{S} 89^{\circ} 44^{\prime} 27^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 250.00$ feet north of, as measurning of the tract to be described herein;
northerly right-of-way line of C \& S Road, 208.72 feet t at right angles, and parallel with the aforementioned thence $\mathrm{N} 89^{\circ} 44^{\prime} 27^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$, parallel with the aforementioned thence $\mathrm{N} 00^{\circ} 15^{\prime} 33^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 208.71$ feet; thence $S 00^{\circ} 15^{\prime} 33^{\prime \prime} E, 208.71$ feet to the point of beginning: less.

## LEGAL DESCRIPTION:

That portion of Sections 27, 28, and 29, Township 15 South, Range 65 West of the 6th P.M., and of Tracts 1 and 2, in SUBDIVISION NO. 1 OF THE FOUNTAIN SUBURBAN HOMES CORPORATION, EI Paso County, Colorado, according to the plat thereof as recorded in Plat Book L at Page 44, El Paso County, Colorado, more particularly described as follows:

Commencing at the Southeast comer of said Section 29; thence $\mathrm{N} 00^{\circ} 28^{\prime} 06^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ (all bearings used in this description are relative to the East line of the Southeast quarter of said Section 29 , which was assumed to be of the South half of the Southwest quarter of said Section said section, 1320.15 feet to a point on the North line continue $N 00^{\circ} 28^{\prime} 06^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ on said East line, 180.02 feet; thence 28 and the POINT OF BEGNNNING; thence 338.98 feet; thence $\mathrm{N} 09^{\circ} 07^{\prime} 50^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 546.02$ feet; thence N $04^{\circ} 31^{\prime} 14^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 54^{\prime} \mathrm{W}, 177.27$ feet; thence $\mathrm{N} 44^{\circ} 06^{\prime} 10^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$, to the left whose chord bears $\mathrm{S} 83^{\circ} 19^{\prime} 07^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$, having a central $1^{\prime} 14^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 206.93$ feet; thence on the arc of a curve an arc length of 536.93 feet, more or less, to a point on the angle of $21^{\circ} 04^{\prime} 17^{\prime \prime}$, a radius of 1460.00 feet and County, Colorado as shown on the Annexation Plat of the easterly line of the City of Fountain, El Paso Book D-4 at Page 62 of the records of said El Paso County Fountain Addition No. 15 as recorded in Plat line of the aforementioned Annexation Plat of North Founty, Colorado; thence N00 ${ }^{\circ} 22^{\prime} 20^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$, on said easterly on the northwesterly right-of-way line of Mesa Ridge Parkway, Addition, 1154.97 feet, more or less, to a point records of said El Paso County Colorado; the following two northwesterly right-of-way line of Mesa Ridge Parkway two (2) courses are on the aforementioned curve to the left whose chord bears N46 $35^{\circ}$, $57^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$. and an arc length of 159.09 feet to a point on the southerg a central angle of $8^{\circ} 18^{\prime} 34^{\prime \prime}$, a radius of 1096.98 feet Irrigation Company as described in Book 5506 at Page line for the following five (5) courses: (1) thence $S 84^{\circ} 13^{\prime} 08^{\prime \prime}$ of said records; thence easterly on said southerly the right having a central angle of $90^{\circ} 54^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime}$ a S06 ${ }^{\circ} 40^{\prime} 52^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 209.80$ feet; (4) thence on the arc of 23.00 feet and an arc length of 36.49 feet; (3) thence radius of 152.00 feet and an arc length of 85.46 feet ( 5 ) the toft having a central angle of $32^{\circ} 12^{\prime} 44^{\prime \prime}$, a southerly line of said Main Canal as described in said Bence $\mathrm{N} 64^{\circ} 28^{\prime} 08^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 10.00$ feet to a point on the southerly line for the following forty-three (43) courses: (1) 5992 at Page 1279; thence easterly on said chord bears $S 26^{\circ} 15^{\prime} 44^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$, having a central angle of $01^{\circ} 27^{\prime} 44^{\prime \prime}$, a re on the arc of a curve to the left whose feet; (2) thence $\mathrm{S} 26^{\circ} 59^{\prime} 36^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 16.67$ feet; (3) thence on the arc of a radius of 142.00 feet and an arc length of 3.62 $31^{\circ} 18^{\prime} 30^{\prime \prime}$, a radius of 108.00 feet and an arc length of 59.01 feet. (4) the left having a central angle of thence on the arc of a curve to the left having a central angle of $49^{\circ} 09^{\prime} 42^{\prime \prime}$, $558^{\circ} 18^{\prime} 06^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 16.12$ feet; (5) length of 85.80 feet; (6) thence $N 72^{\circ} 32^{\prime} 12^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 75.82$ feet; (7) thence ${ }^{\prime} 09^{\prime} 42^{\prime \prime}$, a radius of 100.00 feet and an arc central angle of $49^{\circ} 24^{\prime} 15^{\prime \prime}$, a radius of 119.00 feet and an arc length on the arc of a curve to the left having a 36.72 feet; (9) thence on the arc of a curve to the left having a central 102.61 feet; (8) thence $\mathrm{N} 23^{\circ} 07^{\prime} 57^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$, feet and an arc length of 63.24 feet; (10) thence $N 06^{\circ} 26^{\prime} 08^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 203.01$ feet; ( 11 ) thence $\mathrm{N} 14^{\circ} 22^{\prime} 23^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E} 217.00$ feet; (12) thence $N 01^{\circ} 10^{\prime} 48^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 93.63$ feet; (13) thence on the arc of feet; (11) thence $\mathrm{N} 14^{\circ} 22^{\prime} 23^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 139.91$ of $17^{\circ} 42^{\prime} 33^{\prime \prime}$, a radius of 136.00 feet and an arc length of 42.04 feet; (14) to the right having a central angle (15) thence on the arc of a curve to the right having a central angle of $25^{\circ}{ }^{\circ} 6^{\prime} 3 l^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N} 16^{\circ} 31^{\prime} 45^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 102.28$ feet; arc length of 4.41 feet; (16) thence $N 41^{\circ} 48^{\prime} 16^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 123.05$ feet; (17) of $25^{\circ} 16^{\prime} 31$ ", a radius of 10.00 feet and an thence on the arc of a curve to the right having a central angle of $36^{\circ} 52^{\prime} 30^{\prime \prime} 1^{\circ} 05^{\prime} 39^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 110.92$ feet; (18) length of 28.32 feet; (19) thence $S 14^{\circ} 13^{\prime} 09^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 45.30$ feet; (20) of $36^{\circ} 52^{\prime} 30^{\prime \prime}$, a radius of 44.00 feet and an arc central angle of $13^{\circ} 34^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime}$, a radius of 172.00 feet and an $(20)$ thence on the arc of a curve to the left having a 319.85 feet; (22) thence $S 41^{\circ} 09^{\prime} 52^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 48.94$ feet; (23) th arc length of 40.73 feet; (21) thence $S 27^{\circ} 47^{\prime} 09^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$, angle of $28^{\circ} 52^{\prime} 45^{\prime \prime}$, a radius of 153.00 feet and an arc length on the arc of a curve to the left having a central feet; (25) thence $S 74^{\circ} 54^{\prime} 11^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 80.06$ feet; (26) thence on the 77.12 feet; (24) thence $S 70^{\circ} 02^{\prime} 37^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 94.36$ of $25^{\circ} 13^{\prime} 15^{\prime \prime}$, a radius of 51.00 feet and an arc length of 22.45 arc of a curve to the right having a central angle thence on the arc of a curve to the left having a central angle feet; (27) thence $\mathrm{S} 49^{\circ} 40^{\prime} 56^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 523.46$ feet; (28)
2. These two (2) districts shall be prohibited from issuing any debt, certifying a mill levy, exercising any condemnation authority or constructing any capital improvements until such time as a Sketch Plan generally comparable with the assumptions contained in the Service Plan is approved by the Board of County Commissioners or the property is annexed into the City of Fountain and that entity authorizes these activities.
3. In the event a Sketch Plan generally conforming to the financial assumptions of the Service Plan is not approved by the Board of County Commissioners on or before June 30, 2005 or the property is not annexed by the City of Fountain, the applicants agree to either dissolve these districts or consent to schedule this Service Plan or an amendment thereof for further consideration by the Board of County Commissioners on or before December 31, 2005.
4. Any future annexation of territory by either of these two (2) districts which encompasses any territory more than five (5) miles from any of the currently proposed District boundary lines shall be considered a material modification of the Service Plans and shall require prior Board of County Commissioners' approval if the Board of County Commissioners is the approval authority at the time.
5. These Districts shall not have the authority to apply for or utilize any Conservation Trust (Lottery") funds without the express prior consent of both the Board of County Commissioners and the Widefield School District. The Districts shall have the authority to apply for and use any other grant funds including, but not limited to, Great Outdoors Colorado (GOCO) discretionary grants.
6. The Districts shall agree to formation of a Board of County five (5) property owners within the service area of the Mesa Ridge Metropolitan District No. 2. Council membership shall be open to otherwise qualifying directors of Metropolitan Districts No, 2. Meetings will be held at times and locations convenient to the property owners. The elected Chair of the Council will be given an ex officio seat on the Board of Directors of District No. 1 during their tenure as Chair.
length of 90.52 feet; (29) thence $\mathrm{S} 79^{\circ} 19^{\prime} 08^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 312.08$ feet; (30) thence on the arc of a curve to the right having a central angle of $37^{\circ} 39^{\prime} 42^{\prime \prime}$, a radius of 58.00 feet and an arc length of 38.12 feet; (31) thence S41 ${ }^{\circ} 39^{\prime} 26^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 256.99$ feet; (32) thence on the arc of a curve to the right having a central angle of $34^{\circ} 30^{\prime} 25^{\prime \prime}$, a radius of 55.00 feet and an arc length of 33.12 feet; (33) thence $\mathrm{S} 07^{\circ} 09^{\prime} 01 \mathrm{IE}, 147.36$ feet; (34) thence on the arc of a curve to the left having a central angle of $77^{\circ} 34^{\prime} 32^{\prime \prime}$, a radius of 142.00 feet and an arc length of 192.26 feet; (35) thence $S 84^{\circ} 43^{\prime} 33^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 42.45$ feet; (36) thence on the arc of a curve to the right having a central angle of $14^{\circ} 06^{\prime} 03^{\prime \prime}$, a radius of 233.00 feet and an arc length of 57.34 feet; (37) thence $570^{\circ} 37^{\prime} 30 " \mathrm{E}, 42.79$ feet; (38) thence on the arc of a curve to the left having a central angle of $89^{\circ} 02^{\prime} 05^{\prime \prime}$, a radius of 91.00 feet and an arc length of 141.41 feet; (39) thence $\mathrm{N} 20^{\circ} 20^{\prime} 25^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 404.62$ feet; ( 40 ) thence $\mathrm{N} 24^{\circ} 23^{\prime} 23^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 214.77$ feet; (41) length of 52.36 feet; (42) thence right having a central angle of $40^{\circ} 00^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime}$, a radius of 75.00 feet and an arc a central angle of $17^{\circ} 58^{\prime} 41^{\prime \prime}$, a radius of 250.00 feet and an (43) thence on the arc of a curve to the left having of the South half of the Northeast quarter of said Section 28 ; thence $N 80^{\circ} 44$ feet to a point on the North line feet to a point on the North line of the South half of the Northwest $N 9^{\circ} 44^{\prime} 40^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ on said North line, 2366.24 N89 ${ }^{\circ} 55^{\prime} 36^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ on said North line, 2118.62 feet to a point on the northwesterly said Section 27 ; thence Road; thence southwesterly on said northwesterly right-of-way line for the right-of-way line of Marksheffel thence S $33^{\circ} 56^{\prime} 45 " \mathrm{~W}, 1429.37$ feet; (2) thence on the arc of a curv for the following three (3) courses: (1) $09^{\circ} 16^{\prime} 28^{\prime \prime}$, a radius of 5699.98 feet and an arc length of 922.65 forve to the right having a central angle of point on the northerly right-of-way line of C \& S Road; thens feet; (3) thence S43 $13^{\prime} 13$ " $\mathrm{W}, 2787.86$ feet to a line, 1490.44 feet; thence $N 00^{\circ} 18^{\prime} 33^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 1290.05$ feet thence $\mathrm{S} 89^{\circ} 44^{\prime} 27^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ on said northerly right-of-way of The Fountain Suburban Homes Corporation as recorded northeast corner of Tract 1 of Subdivision No. 1 point also being on the North line of the South half forded in Plat Book L at Page 44 of said records, said $89^{\circ} 44^{\prime} 31^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ on said North line, 1075.05 feet to a point Southwest quarter of said Section 28; thence S the West line of said Section 28 ; thence $S 00^{\circ} 8^{\prime} 06^{\prime \prime} W$ on a line being 1565.00 feet East of and parallel with northerly right-of-way line of said C \& S R $2806^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ on said parallel line, 1290.11 feet to a point on the 400.00 feet to a point on a line being 1165.00 feet 28 ; thence $\mathrm{N} 00^{\circ} 28^{\prime} 06^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ on said parallel line 1290.12 easterly of and parallel with the West line of said Section Southwest quarter of said Section 28 ; thence $S 89^{\circ} 44^{\prime 3} 11^{\prime W} \mathrm{~W}$ a point on the North line of the South half of the West line of the easterly 200.00 feet of the west ${ }^{\circ} 44^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ on said North line, 400.00 feet to a point on the Suburban Homes Corporation; thence S $00^{\circ} 28^{\prime} 06^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W} .00$ feet of Tract 2 in Subdivision No. 1 of the Fountain right-of-way line of said C \& S Road; thence S $89^{\circ}$ on said West line, 1290.13 feet to a point on the northerly a point on the East line of the westerly 165 , $89^{\circ} 44^{\prime} 27^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ on said northerly right-of-way line, 600.00 feet to 1290.14 feet to a point on the North line 165.00 feet of said Tract 2; thence $\mathrm{N} 00^{\circ} 28^{\prime} 06^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ on said East line, $89^{\circ} 44^{\prime} 31$ "W on said North line, 165.00 feet thereof included within said Mesa Ridge Parkway; said of Beginning; EXCEPTING therefrom that portion which includes Mesa Ridge Parkway.
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